EXHIBIT A

Page 1 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO 3 WESTERN DIVISION 4 5 THE KROGER CO., 6 PLAINTIFF,: 7 -VS-: CASE NO.: C-1-02-439 MALEASE FOODS CORP., : 8 9 DEFENDANT.: 10 11 Deposition of EDWARD N. WALDVOGEL, a witness herein, taken by the defendant as upon 12 13 cross-examination pursuant to the Federal Rules of 14 Civil Procedure, and pursuant to agreement and stipulations hereinafter set forth at the offices 15 16 of Frost, Brown & Todd, LLC, 2200 PNC Center, 201 17 East Fifth Street, Cincinnati, Ohio at 9:10 a.m. on 18 Wednesday, March 3, 2004, before Britney L. Fisher, 19 a notary public within and for the State; of 20 Kentucky. 21 22 23 24

	Page 2						Page 3
	APPEARANCES:				by the notary pul		•
	On behalf of the Plaintiff:	2	2 trans	scribed	d by her out of th	e presence	of the witness;
	Scott D. Phillips, Esq.	;	3 that	the jur	risdiction of the r	ıotary publi	ic-court reporter
	of		4 is wa	aived;	and that the tran	scribed dep	osition is to be
	Frost, Brown & Todd, LLC	į	5 subn	nitted	to the witness for	r his examiı	nation and
	2200 PNC Center	(6 signa	ature, a	and that signature	e may be af	fixed out of the
	201 East Fifth Street	-	7 prese	ence o	f the notary publ	ic-court rep	orter.
	Cincinnati, Ohio 45202	8	3			_	
	On behalf of the Defendant:	Ç	9		INDEX		
	Robert W. Cinque, Esq.	1	0 WIT	NESS		CROSS-E	XAMINATION
	of	1	1 Edw	ard N.	Waldvogel	4	
	Cinque & Cinque, P.C.	1	2				
	845 Third Avenue	1	3		EXHIBITS		
	New York, New York 10022	1	4 DEP	OSITI	ION EXHIBITS		MARKED
	Also present:	1	5 T, a	docun	nent containing e	-mails.	81
	Mr. James E. Hodge	1	6 U, a	July 3	0th, 2001 letter.	84	
	C	1	7				
	STIPULATIONS It is stipulated by and between	1	8				
	counsel for the respective parties that the deposition of	1	9				
	EDWARD N. WALDVOGEL, a witness herein, may be taken	2	0				
22	as upon cross-examination pursuant to the Federal	2	1				
23	Rules of Civil Procedure, and pursuant to	2	2				
24	agreement; that the deposition may be taken in	2					
		2	4				
							D. C.
	1 (Witness sworn.) EDWARD N.	1		0	And how long h	ave vou he	PageS
	2 WALDVOGEL of lawful age, a witness herein,	2	posit	_	and now long in	ave you na	iu mat
	3 being first duly sworn as hereinafter certified,	3	posit		've been Vice Pr	esident of t	Canital
	4 was examined and deposed as follows:	4	Man		ent since about tw		-
	5 CROSS-EXAMINATION	5		_	t I was Director of	•	•
	6 BY MR. CINQUE:	6	D C10		And how long h	-	-
	7 Q. Good morning, Mr. Waldvogel. A. Good	7	Krog	_	and not rough	a.c.jou be	7 11 4444
	8 morning. Q. Whois Xavier? A. Just a	8			Since 1977, abou	t 27 years	
	o college I went to My daughters on there It's in	9			Okav. Were voi		in the

9 college I went to. My daughters go there. It's in 10 town. Q. Is that a Jesuit school? Yeah. I went 10 11 to Florida, which was a Jesuit 12 A. We're in the same league together. 13 O. Good training, right? 14 15 school? Right. A. Okay. By whom are you employed? Q. The Kroger Company.
A. Okay. And in what capacity?
I'm Vice President of Capital 17 18 19 Q. Management. 20 A. 21 22 Q. 23

24

initial transaction, the initial Kroger sale and 11 lease back? 12 A. Not the actual closing, no. 13 Q. And during the year 2001 were you also Vice President of Capital Management or did 14 you have a different role? 15 A. I had the same role. I got promoted 16 right around then. I was Director of Capital 17 18 Management. What was the actual date, Summer of 19 2001 I think, so right around then. 20 Q. And what were your duties at Kroger? Just describe generally in 2001, and if they have changed today, you can tell me. A. They haven't changed.

21

22

23

24

Q. Okay.

Page 6
A. Gee, Capital Management we manage all
of the capital investments of the corporation, the
capital budgets of each division. We review their
intentions on their procurement of assets and what
the financial impact is of those assets, and their
return on investment and their expectations. And
we document and we act as consultants and bankers
to all of our operating divisions to assure that
they're making decisions that add value to the
shareholders.

Q. You mentioned "return on investment," what does that mean?

- A. Return on investment?
- Q. I thought that's what you said, correct me if I'm wrong.
- A. That means when you make an investment, that you're going to get an adequate return in the form of higher sales, higher margins, lower expenses, whatever. But when you make a capital investment, you've got to get some additional income for the corporation in return.
- Q. Does Kroger, as a matter of general policy, monitor its return on capital investment?
 - A. Absolutely.

1 cetera.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

24

Q. When for the last time did Kroger compute its actual return on capital investment, for example, did it do it in a particular fiscal year or calendar year most recently?

- A. We don't do that as a regular exercise. From time to time we talk about it when issues are coming up, but we don't formally publish anything that would show that particular number. It changes every day depending on debt markets.
- Q. Let me ask you this for example: Let's say - Withdrawn.

Do you direct investments at Kroger?

- A. No. I review them.
- Q. Okay. Let's say, for example, Kroger has excess cash of \$10 million, who would make the decision as to how that excess cash is invested; is that something you wo uld do?
- 19 A. That would be a combination of my 20 boss and the capital committee upon which I'm a 21 member.
- 22 Q. Okay. Do those instances ever arise 23 at Kroger?
 - A. Yes.

Q. And does it break it out in

2 percentage terms?

3

4

7

- A. Uh-huh.
- O. And how often does it do that?
- 5 A. Well, we have what we call a hurdle 6 rate.
 - Q. What's that?
- 8 A. A hurdle rate is what we use as a
- 9 benchmark expectation for each investment that we 10 make. Our hurdle rate is 11.3 percent after tax, and 11 that's been the same, gosh, probably for at least 10 12 or 15 years.
- Q. So am I correct then that for the past 13 14 10 or 15 years typically Kroger enjoys a return 15 on its capital of 11 percent or thereabouts after 16 tax?
- A. No. That's our hurdle rate. We don't 17 18 always hit our numbers. We try and that hurdle 19 rate by intention has some room for failure. What 20 we're really trying to accomplish is our cost of 21 capital. If our cost of capital is below the hurdle 22 rate, that margin in there is room for error and for 23 nonreturn assets that we make investment in 24 sometimes like office buildings, et

PageS 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

Page 9

Page 7

Q. Typically where is that money invested, would it depend on — Withdrawn. Let me ask you that.

A. We have a policy of our free cash flow to be used to do one of three things, and we split it up buy stock, make additional capital investments or pay down debt. We usually split it up into those three pieces whenever it becomes available.

Q. When it comes to buying stock, does Kroger monitor the return on its investments?

- A. I wouldn't use the term "monitor." We believe that the return on Kroger stock is probably somewhere in the range of 10 to 14 percent after tax as an expectation on an ongoing basis.
- Q. As an expectation, and does reality bear that out?
- A. If you look at it over the past 10, 15 years since 1988,1 think it would, yes.
- Q. Okay. And that 10 to 15 percent you're talking about the growth in the value of Kroger stock?
- 23 A. Uh-huh.
 - Q. Okay. And what about investments,

	Page 10)	Page 1
1	does Kroger typical - you mentioned the hurdle	1	answer, but did you ever see a report that Mr.
2	rate, does Kroger typically earn, let's say, double	2	Libbert submitted in connection with this
3	figures over time on its investment of capital?	3	proceeding?
4	A. We believe its cost of capital, in	4	A. I know who John Libbert is. That's
5	excess of its cost of capital, which is why the	5	the guy that you hired.
6	stock would go up because cost of capital carries	6	Q. He's someone who's an expert?
7	the value of the stock inherent in it, so I would	7	A. Yes. I know who he is. I didn't see
8	say yes.	8	his report.
9	Q. Now, does Kroger regularly pay all	9	Q. Did anyone tell you what the report
10	income taxes due to the federal, state and	10	contained?
11	municipal authorities?	11	A. I think my attorney might have told
12	A. Yes, sir.	12	me that he needed to put together some kind of a
13	Q. And are you aware, by the way, that	13	report that would document potential damages to the
14	in this case there's a claim on the part of Kroger	14	company.
15	relating to the Butt transaction, H.E. Butt, BUI	15	Q. But you didn't review that report,
16	T?	16	correct?
		17	A. I did not review that report.
17	A. I'm not sure what you mean by "claim." I know Butt is involved in the San	18	Q. Do you know who did, if anyone, at
18		19	Kroger?
19	Marcos warehouse.	20	A. I do not know if anyone did at
20	Q. Do you know who Jonathan Libbert is?	21	Kroger.
21	LIBBERT.	22	Q. Who would have been the person
22	A. The name is vaguely familiar. No, I	23	responsible for reviewing such a report at Kroger?
23	don't know. I'm not sure who that is.	24	MR. PHILLIPS: If you know.
24	Q. So did you - I think I know the		MINITELES S. II you mio
	Page 12		Page 13
1	Q. If you don't know, don't tell me what	1	grocery retailer that's domiciled I believe in
2	you don't know.	2	Texas, and they had a need for a facility. And
3	A. No one that I know of.	3	when we decided we didn't need San Marcos anymore,
4	Q. Do you know anything about the Butt	4	we subleased it to them.
5	transaction?	5	Q. Are you aware of whether any contract
6	A. Yes.	6	of sale presently exists between Kroger and Butt
7	Q. Okay. What do you know?	7	with respect to the San Marcos property?
8	MR. PHILLIPS: Objection, vague. Go	8	A. Sure.
9	ahead.	9	Q. What do you know about that contract;
10	MR. CINQUE: His answer or my		do you know the price?
11	question?	11	A. Yes.
12	MR. PHILLIPS: The question.	12	Q. What's the price?
13	MR. CINQUE: What's vague about my	13	A. Well, I know about. I think it's
14	question? I'm asking him what he knows about Butt,	14	about \$11 million.
15	he might as well tell me.	15	Q. Now, let's assume for the moment that
16	MR. PHILLIPS: It's vague. Specify	16	Kroger — Withdrawn.
17	which transaction, what aspect of the transaction,	17	But for this lawsuit would Kroger
18	what time period of the transaction.	18	have already consummated that transaction with
19	BY MR. CINQUE:	19	Butt?
20	Q. Answer all of those questions now	20	
20 21	that he's broken it down for you.	21	A. Yes. We were supposed to consummate it in April of 2003.
22	A. H.E. Butt is operating the San Marcos	22	Q. Okay. And let's just use the number
23	warehouse. They subleased it from us back in the	23	\$11 million, we'll round it off, how much would
24	early '90s after we evacuated it. They're a	23 24	Kroger have received as a result of the Butt
4	carry 705 area we evacuated it. They ie a	∠+	im oger have received as a result of the Dull

	Page 14		Page 15
1	transaction; in other words —	1	Q. So am I correct then that as of
2	A. About \$11 million.	2	April, on or about April 1st, the San Marcos
3	Q. There were no encumbrances that had	3	mortgage was satisfied?
4	to be satisfied; is that correct?	4	A. Correct.
5	A. Not after April 1st, no. All	5	Q. And that mortgage, you don't know the
6	encumbrances would have been paid off.	6	amount of that particular mortgage?
7	Q. So there were no mortgages, correct?	7	A. The amount of that particular
8	A. Not as of that date.	8	mortgage, the last payment, the amount prior to the
9	Q. Okay. When was the mort — Was there	9	last payment was about \$10 million. The last
10	a mortgage on the San Marcos facility?	10	payment was made April 1st.
11	A. Yes.	11	Q. On San Marcos?
12	Q. And when was it satisfied?	12	A. On all three.
13	A. April 1st.	13	Q. All three. I'm trying to find out
14	Q. April 1st. What was the amount of	14	what was referable to San Marcos?
15	the mortgage in 2003?	15	A. Somewhere in the documents there's a
16	A. Gosh.	16	percentage allocation. I don't know that number
17	Q. Approximately?	17	exactly.
18	A. At that time of the last payment it	18	Q. Would it be roughly one-third?
19	was about \$ 10 million.	19	A. Probably, but I'm not sure.
20	Q. So that sum was paid on or about —	20	Q. Okay. If the transaction had gone
21	A. I'm sorry, \$10 million was the amount	21	through on April 1st, 2003, would any portion of
22	of the mortgage on all three properties. There's	22	the \$11 million have been used to pay down the
23	three properties involved in the transaction, and	23	existing mortgage?
24	that would have been split among the three.	24	A. No. It was already paid off by then.
	Page 16		
1	Page 16 O. When was it paid off?	1	Page 17
1 2	Q. When was it paid off?	1 2	Page 17 Butt?
2	Q. When was it paid off?A. April 1st. And the deal was set with	2	Page 17 Butt? MR. PHILLIPS: Objection. You can
2 3	Q. When was it paid off?A. April 1st. And the deal was set withH.E. Butt was to sell it to them after it was paid	2 3	Page 17 Butt? MR. PHILLIPS: Objection. You can answer.
2 3 4	Q. When was it paid off? A. April 1st. And the deal was set with H.E. Butt was to sell it to them after it was paid off.	2 3 4	Butt? MR. PHILLIPS: Objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I can answer?
2 3 4 5	 Q. When was it paid off? A. April 1st. And the deal was set with H.E. Butt was to sell it to them after it was paid off. Q. What was the source of the funds to 	2 3 4 5	Butt? MR. PHILLIPS: Objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I can answer? MR. PHILLIPS: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. When was it paid off? A. April 1st. And the deal was set with H.E. Butt was to sell it to them after it was paid off. Q. What was the source of the funds to pay off the mortgage? 	2 3 4	Butt? MR. PHILLIPS: Objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I can answer?
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. When was it paid off? A. April 1st. And the deal was set with H.E. Butt was to sell it to them after it was paid off. Q. What was the source of the funds to pay off the mortgage? A. The source of the funds, it was a 	2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 17 Butt? MR. PHILLIPS: Objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I can answer? MR. PHILLIPS: Yes. BY MR. CINQUE: A. I don't think so.
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. When was it paid off? A. April 1st. And the deal was set with H.E. Butt was to sell it to them after it was paid off. Q. What was the source of the funds to pay off the mortgage? A. The source of the funds, it was a regular payment that Kroger was obligated to make 	2 3 4 5 6	Page 17 Butt? MR. PHILLIPS: Objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I can answer? MR. PHILLIPS: Yes. BY MR. CINQUE: A. I don't think so. Q. Okay. Why?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. When was it paid off? A. April 1st. And the deal was set with H.E. Butt was to sell it to them after it was paid off. Q. What was the source of the funds to pay off the mortgage? A. The source of the funds, it was a regular payment that Kroger was obligated to make as part of the mortgage transaction. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 17 Butt? MR. PHILLIPS: Objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I can answer? MR. PHILLIPS: Yes. BY MR. CINQUE: A. I don't think so. Q. Okay. Why? A. Because we had to pay it anyway
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. When was it paid off? A. April 1st. And the deal was set with H.E. Butt was to sell it to them after it was paid off. Q. What was the source of the funds to pay off the mortgage? A. The source of the funds, it was a regular payment that Kroger was obligated to make as part of the mortgage transaction. Q. Okay. And was it in Kroger's —	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 17 Butt? MR. PHILLIPS: Objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I can answer? MR. PHILLIPS: Yes. BY MR. CINQUE: A. I don't think so. Q. Okay. Why? A. Because we had to pay it anyway regardless of whether we sold the facility.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. When was it paid off? A. April 1st. And the deal was set with H.E. Butt was to sell it to them after it was paid off. Q. What was the source of the funds to pay off the mortgage? A. The source of the funds, it was a regular payment that Kroger was obligated to make as part of the mortgage transaction. Q. Okay. And was it in Kroger's — Again, let's put aside this lawsuit. If this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Butt? MR. PHILLIPS: Objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I can answer? MR. PHILLIPS: Yes. BY MR. CINQUE: A. I don't think so. Q. Okay. Why? A. Because we had to pay it anyway regardless of whether we sold the facility. Q. Now, would Kroger, in the normal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. When was it paid off? A. April 1st. And the deal was set with H.E. Butt was to sell it to them after it was paid off. Q. What was the source of the funds to pay off the mortgage? A. The source of the funds, it was a regular payment that Kroger was obligated to make as part of the mortgage transaction. Q. Okay. And was it in Kroger's — Again, let's put aside this lawsuit. If this lawsuit hadn't been in place, would Kroger have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12c	Butt? MR. PHILLIPS: Objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I can answer? MR. PHILLIPS: Yes. BY MR. CINQUE: A. I don't think so. Q. Okay. Why? A. Because we had to pay it anyway regardless of whether we sold the facility. Q. Now, would Kroger, in the normal your se if Kroger had received that \$11 million,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. When was it paid off? A. April 1st. And the deal was set with H.E. Butt was to sell it to them after it was paid off. Q. What was the source of the funds to pay off the mortgage? A. The source of the funds, it was a regular payment that Kroger was obligated to make as part of the mortgage transaction. Q. Okay. And was it in Kroger's — Again, let's put aside this lawsuit. If this lawsuit hadn't been in place, would Kroger have simultaneously satisfied the mortgage at the same	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12c 13v	Butt? MR. PHILLIPS: Objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I can answer? MR. PHILLIPS: Yes. BY MR. CINQUE: A. I don't think so. Q. Okay. Why? A. Because we had to pay it anyway regardless of whether we sold the facility. Q. Now, would Kroger, in the normal our se if Kroger had received that \$11 million,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. When was it paid off? A. April 1st. And the deal was set with H.E. Butt was to sell it to them after it was paid off. Q. What was the source of the funds to pay off the mortgage? A. The source of the funds, it was a regular payment that Kroger was obligated to make as part of the mortgage transaction. Q. Okay. And was it in Kroger's — Again, let's put aside this lawsuit. If this lawsuit hadn't been in place, would Kroger have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12c 13v	Butt? MR. PHILLIPS: Objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I can answer? MR. PHILLIPS: Yes. BY MR. CINQUE: A. I don't think so. Q. Okay. Why? A. Because we had to pay it anyway regardless of whether we sold the facility. Q. Now, would Kroger, in the normal cour se if Kroger had received that \$11 million, would it have paid any income tax on those oroc eeds?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. When was it paid off? A. April 1st. And the deal was set with H.E. Butt was to sell it to them after it was paid off. Q. What was the source of the funds to pay off the mortgage? A. The source of the funds, it was a regular payment that Kroger was obligated to make as part of the mortgage transaction. Q. Okay. And was it in Kroger's — Again, let's put aside this lawsuit. If this lawsuit hadn't been in place, would Kroger have simultaneously satisfied the mortgage at the same time that it sold to Butt; is that how it would have worked?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12c 13v 14p	Butt? MR. PHILLIPS: Objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I can answer? MR. PHILLIPS: Yes. BY MR. CINQUE: A. I don't think so. Q. Okay. Why? A. Because we had to pay it anyway regardless of whether we sold the facility. Q. Now, would Kroger, in the normal your se if Kroger had received that \$11 million, would it have paid any income tax on those oroc eeds? A. I believe we actually had a tax basis
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. When was it paid off? A. April 1st. And the deal was set with H.E. Butt was to sell it to them after it was paid off. Q. What was the source of the funds to pay off the mortgage? A. The source of the funds, it was a regular payment that Kroger was obligated to make as part of the mortgage transaction. Q. Okay. And was it in Kroger's — Again, let's put aside this lawsuit. If this lawsuit hadn't been in place, would Kroger have simultaneously satisfied the mortgage at the same time that it sold to Butt; is that how it would have worked? A. Probably not. We would have made the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12c 13v 14p 15	Butt? MR. PHILLIPS: Objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I can answer? MR. PHILLIPS: Yes. BY MR. CINQUE: A. I don't think so. Q. Okay. Why? A. Because we had to pay it anyway regardless of whether we sold the facility. Q. Now, would Kroger, in the normal cour se if Kroger had received that \$11 million, would it have paid any income tax on those oroc eeds?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. When was it paid off? A. April 1st. And the deal was set with H.E. Butt was to sell it to them after it was paid off. Q. What was the source of the funds to pay off the mortgage? A. The source of the funds, it was a regular payment that Kroger was obligated to make as part of the mortgage transaction. Q. Okay. And was it in Kroger's — Again, let's put aside this lawsuit. If this lawsuit hadn't been in place, would Kroger have simultaneously satisfied the mortgage at the same time that it sold to Butt; is that how it would have worked? A. Probably not. We would have made the normal payment we had to make on April 1st. Then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12c 13v 14p 15 16	Butt? MR. PHILLIPS: Objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I can answer? MR. PHILLIPS: Yes. BY MR. CINQUE: A. I don't think so. Q. Okay. Why? A. Because we had to pay it anyway regardless of whether we sold the facility. Q. Now, would Kroger, in the normal your se if Kroger had received that \$11 million, would it have paid any income tax on those proceeds? A. I believe we actually had a tax basis that was higher because of the accounting of the structure. I'd have to check into that, I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. When was it paid off? A. April 1st. And the deal was set with H.E. Butt was to sell it to them after it was paid off. Q. What was the source of the funds to pay off the mortgage? A. The source of the funds, it was a regular payment that Kroger was obligated to make as part of the mortgage transaction. Q. Okay. And was it in Kroger's — Again, let's put aside this lawsuit. If this lawsuit hadn't been in place, would Kroger have simultaneously satisfied the mortgage at the same time that it sold to Butt; is that how it would have worked? A. Probably not. We would have made the normal payment we had to make on April 1st. Then we would have gone through some legal due diligence	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12c 13w 14p 15 16 17	Butt? MR. PHILLIPS: Objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I can answer? MR. PHILLIPS: Yes. BY MR. CINQUE: A. I don't think so. Q. Okay. Why? A. Because we had to pay it anyway regardless of whether we sold the facility. Q. Now, would Kroger, in the normal your se if Kroger had received that \$11 million, would it have paid any income tax on those proc eeds? A. I believe we actually had a tax basis that was higher because of the accounting of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. When was it paid off? A. April 1st. And the deal was set with H.E. Butt was to sell it to them after it was paid off. Q. What was the source of the funds to pay off the mortgage? A. The source of the funds, it was a regular payment that Kroger was obligated to make as part of the mortgage transaction. Q. Okay. And was it in Kroger's — Again, let's put aside this lawsuit. If this lawsuit hadn't been in place, would Kroger have simultaneously satisfied the mortgage at the same time that it sold to Butt; is that how it would have worked? A. Probably not. We would have made the normal payment we had to make on April 1st. Then we would have gone through some legal due diligence documentation, title, all of that kind of stuff.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12c 13v 14p 15 16 17 18 19	Butt? MR. PHILLIPS: Objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I can answer? MR. PHILLIPS: Yes. BY MR. CINQUE: A. I don't think so. Q. Okay. Why? A. Because we had to pay it anyway regardless of whether we sold the facility. Q. Now, would Kroger, in the normal four se if Kroger had received that \$11 million, would it have paid any income tax on those oroc eeds? A. I believe we actually had a tax basis that was higher because of the accounting of the structure. I'd have to check into that, I don't know, but my immediate answer is I don't think so. I think there might have actually been a refund.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. When was it paid off? A. April 1st. And the deal was set with H.E. Butt was to sell it to them after it was paid off. Q. What was the source of the funds to pay off the mortgage? A. The source of the funds, it was a regular payment that Kroger was obligated to make as part of the mortgage transaction. Q. Okay. And was it in Kroger's — Again, let's put aside this lawsuit. If this lawsuit hadn't been in place, would Kroger have simultaneously satisfied the mortgage at the same time that it sold to Butt; is that how it would have worked? A. Probably not. We would have made the normal payment we had to make on April 1st. Then we would have gone through some legal due diligence documentation, title, all of that kind of stuff. It probably would have been 30 to 60 days, I would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12c 13v 14p 15 16 17 18 19 20	Butt? MR. PHILLIPS: Objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I can answer? MR. PHILLIPS: Yes. BY MR. CINQUE: A. I don't think so. Q. Okay. Why? A. Because we had to pay it anyway regardless of whether we sold the facility. Q. Now, would Kroger, in the normal four se if Kroger had received that \$11 million, would it have paid any income tax on those proc eeds? A. I believe we actually had a tax basis that was higher because of the accounting of the structure. I'd have to check into that, I don't know, but my immediate answer is I don't think so. I think there might have actually been a refund. Q. And what makes you say that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. When was it paid off? A. April 1st. And the deal was set with H.E. Butt was to sell it to them after it was paid off. Q. What was the source of the funds to pay off the mortgage? A. The source of the funds, it was a regular payment that Kroger was obligated to make as part of the mortgage transaction. Q. Okay. And was it in Kroger's — Again, let's put aside this lawsuit. If this lawsuit hadn't been in place, would Kroger have simultaneously satisfied the mortgage at the same time that it sold to Butt; is that how it would have worked? A. Probably not. We would have made the normal payment we had to make on April 1st. Then we would have gone through some legal due diligence documentation, title, all of that kind of stuff. It probably would have been 30 to 60 days, I would guess.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12c 13w 14p 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Butt? MR. PHILLIPS: Objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I can answer? MR. PHILLIPS: Yes. BY MR. CINQUE: A. I don't think so. Q. Okay. Why? A. Because we had to pay it anyway regardless of whether we sold the facility. Q. Now, would Kroger, in the normal four se if Kroger had received that \$11 million, would it have paid any income tax on those oroc eeds? A. I believe we actually had a tax basis that was higher because of the accounting of the structure. I'd have to check into that, I don't know, but my immediate answer is I don't think so. I think there might have actually been a refund. Q. And what makes you say that? A. Because we had bought the facility
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. When was it paid off? A. April 1st. And the deal was set with H.E. Butt was to sell it to them after it was paid off. Q. What was the source of the funds to pay off the mortgage? A. The source of the funds, it was a regular payment that Kroger was obligated to make as part of the mortgage transaction. Q. Okay. And was it in Kroger's — Again, let's put aside this lawsuit. If this lawsuit hadn't been in place, would Kroger have simultaneously satisfied the mortgage at the same time that it sold to Butt; is that how it would have worked? A. Probably not. We would have made the normal payment we had to make on April 1st. Then we would have gone through some legal due diligence documentation, title, all of that kind of stuff. It probably would have been 30 to 60 days, I would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12c 13v 14p 15 16 17 18 19 20	Butt? MR. PHILLIPS: Objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I can answer? MR. PHILLIPS: Yes. BY MR. CINQUE: A. I don't think so. Q. Okay. Why? A. Because we had to pay it anyway regardless of whether we sold the facility. Q. Now, would Kroger, in the normal four se if Kroger had received that \$11 million, would it have paid any income tax on those proc eeds? A. I believe we actually had a tax basis that was higher because of the accounting of the structure. I'd have to check into that, I don't know, but my immediate answer is I don't think so. I think there might have actually been a refund. Q. And what makes you say that?

		Page 18		Page 19
1	_	basis we already had.	1	MR. PHILLIPS: Objection.
2	Q.	Was that \$30 million above the	2	Q. Is that your testimony?
3	mortgag	ge?	3	A. Pure profit?
4		That included the mortgage.	4	Q. Well, you said there was no income
5	Q.	How much did you actually pay then?	5	tax referable to the transaction, there is no
6	A.	I think we paid about 11 and assumed	6	encumbrance, so the \$11 million?
7	a mortga	ge of about 20, 21, something like that.	7	A. I'm saying it was about equal to our
8	Q.	So you paid 11 for three properties	8	basis, which is why there would be no income tax
9	and assu	med a mortgage of 21?	9	It would be about equal to a break even situation.
10	A.	Twenty to twenty-one.	10	Q. What would be the gain for Kroger, if
11	Q.	Thereabouts?	11	any, on that transaction that you've been
12	Ä.	Uh-huh.	12	describing?
13	Q.	And you had a contract to sell one of	13	A. I'd have to check with the
14	_	ities for \$11 million, correct?	14	accountant. I don't think it would be very large.
15		I don't know if we had the contract	15	Q. Why do you say that?
16		me or not.	16	A. Again, because we paid about S30
17		Okay. But there did come a point	17	million, \$31 million. There were three properties
18		ou had a contract to sell one of the	18	involved, so their bases would be about 10 to \$ 11
19	-	, i.e. San Marcos for \$11 million?	19	
20		Right.	20	million each. Over two years you don't take a lot
21		Is that correct?	21	of depreciation on land and buildings. That's a long depreciation schedule in land and buildings.
22	_	Right.		
23		And that would have been pure profit?	22	Q. Now, in computing the bases of those
24		No.	23	three buildings, did you take into account any
24	Λ.	110.	24	existing mortgages?
		Page 20		Page 21
1	A.	Uh-huh.	1	A. Absolutely.
2	Q.	You did.	2	Q. And how does it factor?
3	_	Okay. And would you explain how you	3	A. It's an assumption of debt as the
4		the existing mortgage against the \$30	4	same as basis.
5	million?	0 00	5	Q. So what would your basis be in those
6	A.	I'm sorry, the existing, we're	6	three properties taking into account the mortgages?
7		bout the 20.	7	A. About 30 to \$31 million for all three
8	_	Twenty what?	8	of them.
9	_	The 20 to \$21 million mortgage that	9	Q. Okay. So in terms of computing your
10		med. That's the existing mortgage I was	10	gain, if you were to sell all three properties the
11	referring		11	next day, what would your gain be? Let's say you
12	_	You had a basis, you said, of what;	12	sold it for \$31 million.
13		s your basis when you bought the three	13	A. If we sold it for \$31 million, our
14	properti	·	14	gain would be the \$31 million minus our basis,
15		After we bought it?	15	adjusted for any depreciation we took depending on
16		Yeah.	16	the time that passed between the day we bought it
17	_	It would have been about 30 to S31	17	and the day we sold it.
18	million.	n would have been about 50 to 551	18	Q. And your basis would be \$30 million;
19		Now, do the mortgages — were there	19	is that correct?
20	_	ges at the time?	20	A. Adjusted for whatever depreciation
21		The \$21 million that we assumed is	21	was taken between the time of our basis and the
22		mortgage.	22	sale, yes.
23	•	Do you factor in — In any way do you	23	Q. And the mortgage, the existing
24		that mortgage in computing the basis?	24	mortgages would in no way be factored into a
- -	100001 111	· ······· · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3

	Page 22		Page 23
1	computation of basis; is that right?	1	you Exhibit E. It's headed Bulkhouse San Marcos
2	A. I thought I said they would be part	2	termination October 1995 from Scott Butler to Jim
3	of the basis.	3	Price.
4	Q. All right. You paid 30 over and	4	A. Oh, the economic abandonment. I
5	above the mortgages, right, you had about 19?	5	remember talking to Scott Butler from time to time
6	MR. PHILLIPS: No. You're not	6	about how the economic abandonment worked in the
7	listening, Bob.	7	transaction. It was actually even prior 1995, but
8	Q. Go ahead. 30 above, you tell me.	8	sure.
9	A. I paid 11,1 assumed 20, which adds	9	Q. Did you ever see this memo, Exhibit
10	up to 30, 31. That's your basis.	10	E?
11	MR. CINQUE: Okay. Now, do you	11	
12	have — Off the record.	12	A. It's not familiar. No, not to my knowledge.
13			
	(Brief recess.)	13	Q. Okay. Other than what you read here,
14	BY MR. CINQUE:	14	do you have any independent memory of any aspect of
15	Q. Mr. Waldvogel, were you involved in	15	this Bulkhouse San Marcos termination or economic
16	any aspect of the Balkhouse San Marcos termination	16	abandonment?
17	transaction or contemplated transaction which	17	A. Yeah, yes. My recollection would be
18	occurred in 1995?	18	that back in 1990 or '91 when Kroger stopped using
19	MR. PHILLIPS: I'll just object to	19	the San Marcos warehouse, I knew that Scott Butler
20	termination. I'm not sure what that's in reference	20	and Jim Price had done the original transaction.
21	to. If you understand, you can answer.	21	And I talked to them from time to time and asked
22	A. I don't know of any termination in	22	them to explain to me what my options were, because
23	1995.	23	we had abandoned the warehouse, and it was sitting
24	Q. Okay. Let me show you, I'm handing	24	empty prior to our sublease of it, and what were
	Page 24		Page 25
1	our options to get out of this mortgage, if any.	1	documents in connection with your testimony?
1 2	our options to get out of this mortgage, if any. And we discussed it from time to time	1 2	documents in connection with your testimony? A. The meeting we had, I had a meeting
	our options to get out of this mortgage, if any.		A. The meeting we had, I had a meeting with my lawyer a week ago and we talked about some
2	our options to get out of this mortgage, if any. And we discussed it from time to time	2	documents in connection with your testimony? A. The meeting we had, I had a meeting
2 3	our options to get out of this mortgage, if any. And we discussed it from time to time about what that might be. Ever since we abandoned	2	A. The meeting we had, I had a meeting with my lawyer a week ago and we talked about some
2 3 4	our options to get out of this mortgage, if any. And we discussed it from time to time about what that might be. Ever since we abandoned the warehouse, we've been trying to figure out how	2 3 4	A. The meeting we had, I had a meeting with my lawyer a week ago and we talked about some documents that might be discussed.
2 3 4 5	our options to get out of this mortgage, if any. And we discussed it from time to time about what that might be. Ever since we abandoned the warehouse, we've been trying to figure out how to unwind ourselves of this surplus asset.	2 3 4 5	A. The meeting we had, I had a meeting with my lawyer a week ago and we talked about some documents that might be discussed. Q- I don't want to know what the two of
2 3 4 5 6	our options to get out of this mortgage, if any. And we discussed it from time to time about what that might be. Ever since we abandoned the warehouse, we've been trying to figure out how to unwind ourselves of this surplus asset. Q. Okay. And Exhibit E, toward the	2 3 4 5 6	A. The meeting we had, I had a meeting with my lawyer a week ago and we talked about some documents that might be discussed. Q- I don't want to know what the two of you discussed, I want to know did you review
2 3 4 5 6 7	our options to get out of this mortgage, if any. And we discussed it from time to time about what that might be. Ever since we abandoned the warehouse, we've been trying to figure out how to unwind ourselves of this surplus asset. Q. Okay. And Exhibit E, toward the bottom has a series of numbers. You see it says PM	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. The meeting we had, I had a meeting with my lawyer a week ago and we talked about some documents that might be discussed. Q- I don't want to know what the two of you discussed, I want to know did you review documents at the time? A. One or two.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	our options to get out of this mortgage, if any. And we discussed it from time to time about what that might be. Ever since we abandoned the warehouse, we've been trying to figure out how to unwind ourselves of this surplus asset. Q. Okay. And Exhibit E, toward the bottom has a series of numbers. You see it says PM 610,000, Kadish 1,372,500 versus 350,000, and Kroger \$,117,500 or more. Do you recall discussing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. The meeting we had, I had a meeting with my lawyer a week ago and we talked about some documents that might be discussed. Q- I don't want to know what the two of you discussed, I want to know did you review documents at the time? A. One or two. Q. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	our options to get out of this mortgage, if any. And we discussed it from time to time about what that might be. Ever since we abandoned the warehouse, we've been trying to figure out how to unwind ourselves of this surplus asset. Q. Okay. And Exhibit E, toward the bottom has a series of numbers. You see it says PM 610,000, Kadish 1,372,500 versus 350,000, and Kroger \$,117,500 or more. Do you recall discussing those calculations with Butler or Price back in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. The meeting we had, I had a meeting with my lawyer a week ago and we talked about some documents that might be discussed. Q- I don't want to know what the two of you discussed, I want to know did you review documents at the time? A. One or two. Q. Okay. A. A few. It might have been more than
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	And we discussed it from time to time about what that might be. Ever since we abandoned the warehouse, we've been trying to figure out how to unwind ourselves of this surplus asset. Q. Okay. And Exhibit E, toward the bottom has a series of numbers. You see it says PM 610,000, Kadish 1,372,500 versus 350,000, and Kroger \$,117,500 or more. Do you recall discussing those calculations with Butler or Price back in October of 1995?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. The meeting we had, I had a meeting with my lawyer a week ago and we talked about some documents that might be discussed. Q- I don't want to know what the two of you discussed, I want to know did you review documents at the time? A. One or two. Q. Okay. A. A few. It might have been more than two, a few.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	our options to get out of this mortgage, if any. And we discussed it from time to time about what that might be. Ever since we abandoned the warehouse, we've been trying to figure out how to unwind ourselves of this surplus asset. Q. Okay. And Exhibit E, toward the bottom has a series of numbers. You see it says PM 610,000, Kadish 1,372,500 versus 350,000, and Kroger \$,117,500 or more. Do you recall discussing those calculations with Butler or Price back in October of 1995? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. The meeting we had, I had a meeting with my lawyer a week ago and we talked about some documents that might be discussed. Q- I don't want to know what the two of you discussed, I want to know did you review documents at the time? A. One or two. Q. Okay. A. A few. It might have been more than two, a few. Q. If you see as I'm handing you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	our options to get out of this mortgage, if any. And we discussed it from time to time about what that might be. Ever since we abandoned the warehouse, we've been trying to figure out how to unwind ourselves of this surplus asset. Q. Okay. And Exhibit E, toward the bottom has a series of numbers. You see it says PM 610,000, Kadish 1,372,500 versus 350,000, and Kroger \$,117,500 or more. Do you recall discussing those calculations with Butler or Price back in October of 1995? A. No. Q. As you sit here today, do you know	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. The meeting we had, I had a meeting with my lawyer a week ago and we talked about some documents that might be discussed. Q- I don't want to know what the two of you discussed, I want to know did you review documents at the time? A. One or two. Q. Okay. A. A few. It might have been more than two, a few. Q. If you see as I'm handing you exhibits, if it's anything you've ever seen before,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	our options to get out of this mortgage, if any. And we discussed it from time to time about what that might be. Ever since we abandoned the warehouse, we've been trying to figure out how to unwind ourselves of this surplus asset. Q. Okay. And Exhibit E, toward the bottom has a series of numbers. You see it says PM 610,000, Kadish 1,372,500 versus 350,000, and Kroger \$,117,500 or more. Do you recall discussing those calculations with Butler or Price back in October of 1995? A. No. Q. As you sit here today, do you know what they mean or how they were derived?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. The meeting we had, I had a meeting with my lawyer a week ago and we talked about some documents that might be discussed. Q- I don't want to know what the two of you discussed, I want to know did you review documents at the time? A. One or two. Q. Okay. A. A few. It might have been more than two, a few. Q. If you see as I'm handing you exhibits, if it's anything you've ever seen before, if you tell me that or from time to time I might
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	And we discussed it from time to time about what that might be. Ever since we abandoned the warehouse, we've been trying to figure out how to unwind ourselves of this surplus asset. Q. Okay. And Exhibit E, toward the bottom has a series of numbers. You see it says PM 610,000, Kadish 1,372,500 versus 350,000, and Kroger \$,117,500 or more. Do you recall discussing those calculations with Butler or Price back in October of 1995? A. No. Q. As you sit here today, do you know what they mean or how they were derived? A. No. All I know is we had to make a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. The meeting we had, I had a meeting with my lawyer a week ago and we talked about some documents that might be discussed. Q- I don't want to know what the two of you discussed, I want to know did you review documents at the time? A. One or two. Q. Okay. A. A few. It might have been more than two, a few. Q. If you see as I'm handing you exhibits, if it's anything you've ever seen before, if you tell me that or from time to time I might ask you. For example, is Exhibit F familiar to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	And we discussed it from time to time about what that might be. Ever since we abandoned the warehouse, we've been trying to figure out how to unwind ourselves of this surplus asset. Q. Okay. And Exhibit E, toward the bottom has a series of numbers. You see it says PM 610,000, Kadish 1,372,500 versus 350,000, and Kroger \$,117,500 or more. Do you recall discussing those calculations with Butler or Price back in October of 1995? A. No. Q. As you sit here today, do you know what they mean or how they were derived? A. No. All I know is we had to make a payment to exercise economic termination.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. The meeting we had, I had a meeting with my lawyer a week ago and we talked about some documents that might be discussed. Q- I don't want to know what the two of you discussed, I want to know did you review documents at the time? A. One or two. Q. Okay. A. A few. It might have been more than two, a few. Q. If you see as I'm handing you exhibits, if it's anything you've ever seen before, if you tell me that or from time to time I might ask you. For example, is Exhibit F familiar to you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	our options to get out of this mortgage, if any. And we discussed it from time to time about what that might be. Ever since we abandoned the warehouse, we've been trying to figure out how to unwind ourselves of this surplus asset. Q. Okay. And Exhibit E, toward the bottom has a series of numbers. You see it says PM 610,000, Kadish 1,372,500 versus 350,000, and Kroger \$,117,500 or more. Do you recall discussing those calculations with Butler or Price back in October of 1995? A. No. Q. As you sit here today, do you know what they mean or how they were derived? A. No. All I know is we had to make a payment to exercise economic termination. Q. Okay. And that never occurred,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. The meeting we had, I had a meeting with my lawyer a week ago and we talked about some documents that might be discussed. Q- I don't want to know what the two of you discussed, I want to know did you review documents at the time? A. One or two. Q. Okay. A. A few. It might have been more than two, a few. Q. If you see as I'm handing you exhibits, if it's anything you've ever seen before, if you tell me that or from time to time I might ask you. For example, is Exhibit F familiar to you? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	our options to get out of this mortgage, if any. And we discussed it from time to time about what that might be. Ever since we abandoned the warehouse, we've been trying to figure out how to unwind ourselves of this surplus asset. Q. Okay. And Exhibit E, toward the bottom has a series of numbers. You see it says PM 610,000, Kadish 1,372,500 versus 350,000, and Kroger \$,117,500 or more. Do you recall discussing those calculations with Butler or Price back in October of 1995? A. No. Q. As you sit here today, do you know what they mean or how they were derived? A. No. All I know is we had to make a payment to exercise economic termination. Q. Okay. And that never occurred, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. The meeting we had, I had a meeting with my lawyer a week ago and we talked about some documents that might be discussed. Q- I don't want to know what the two of you discussed, I want to know did you review documents at the time? A. One or two. Q. Okay. A. A few. It might have been more than two, a few. Q. If you see as I'm handing you exhibits, if it's anything you've ever seen before, if you tell me that or from time to time I might ask you. For example, is Exhibit F familiar to you? A. No. Q. Now, Exhibit F is a memo to the file
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	our options to get out of this mortgage, if any. And we discussed it from time to time about what that might be. Ever since we abandoned the warehouse, we've been trying to figure out how to unwind ourselves of this surplus asset. Q. Okay. And Exhibit E, toward the bottom has a series of numbers. You see it says PM 610,000, Kadish 1,372,500 versus 350,000, and Kroger \$,117,500 or more. Do you recall discussing those calculations with Butler or Price back in October of 1995? A. No. Q. As you sit here today, do you know what they mean or how they were derived? A. No. All I know is we had to make a payment to exercise economic termination. Q. Okay. And that never occurred, correct? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. The meeting we had, I had a meeting with my lawyer a week ago and we talked about some documents that might be discussed. Q- I don't want to know what the two of you discussed, I want to know did you review documents at the time? A. One or two. Q. Okay. A. A few. It might have been more than two, a few. Q. If you see as I'm handing you exhibits, if it's anything you've ever seen before, if you tell me that or from time to time I might ask you. For example, is Exhibit F familiar to you? A. No. Q. Now, Exhibit F is a memo to the file from James Price dated October 25th, 1995, re: The
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	And we discussed it from time to time about what that might be. Ever since we abandoned the warehouse, we've been trying to figure out how to unwind ourselves of this surplus asset. Q. Okay. And Exhibit E, toward the bottom has a series of numbers. You see it says PM 610,000, Kadish 1,372,500 versus 350,000, and Kroger \$,117,500 or more. Do you recall discussing those calculations with Butler or Price back in October of 1995? A. No. Q. As you sit here today, do you know what they mean or how they were derived? A. No. All I know is we had to make a payment to exercise economic termination. Q. Okay. And that never occurred, correct? A. Correct. Q. I'm going to show you what — By the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. The meeting we had, I had a meeting with my lawyer a week ago and we talked about some documents that might be discussed. Q- I don't want to know what the two of you discussed, I want to know did you review documents at the time? A. One or two. Q. Okay. A. A few. It might have been more than two, a few. Q. If you see as I'm handing you exhibits, if it's anything you've ever seen before, if you tell me that or from time to time I might ask you. For example, is Exhibit F familiar to you? A. No. Q. Now, Exhibit F is a memo to the file from James Price dated October 25th, 1995, re: The Kroger Co. and it begins Jim Hodge, Sandra Linn
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	And we discussed it from time to time about what that might be. Ever since we abandoned the warehouse, we've been trying to figure out how to unwind ourselves of this surplus asset. Q. Okay. And Exhibit E, toward the bottom has a series of numbers. You see it says PM 610,000, Kadish 1,372,500 versus 350,000, and Kroger \$,117,500 or more. Do you recall discussing those calculations with Butler or Price back in October of 1995? A. No. Q. As you sit here today, do you know what they mean or how they were derived? A. No. All I know is we had to make a payment to exercise economic termination. Q. Okay. And that never occurred, correct? A. Correct. Q. I'm going to show you what — By the way, prior to coming here did you review any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. The meeting we had, I had a meeting with my lawyer a week ago and we talked about some documents that might be discussed. Q- I don't want to know what the two of you discussed, I want to know did you review documents at the time? A. One or two. Q. Okay. A. A few. It might have been more than two, a few. Q. If you see as I'm handing you exhibits, if it's anything you've ever seen before, if you tell me that or from time to time I might ask you. For example, is Exhibit F familiar to you? A. No. Q. Now, Exhibit F is a memo to the file from James Price dated October 25th, 1995, re: The Kroger Co. and it begins Jim Hodge, Sandra Linn and Ed Waldvogel called to follow up and discuss mj
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	And we discussed it from time to time about what that might be. Ever since we abandoned the warehouse, we've been trying to figure out how to unwind ourselves of this surplus asset. Q. Okay. And Exhibit E, toward the bottom has a series of numbers. You see it says PM 610,000, Kadish 1,372,500 versus 350,000, and Kroger \$,117,500 or more. Do you recall discussing those calculations with Butler or Price back in October of 1995? A. No. Q. As you sit here today, do you know what they mean or how they were derived? A. No. All I know is we had to make a payment to exercise economic termination. Q. Okay. And that never occurred, correct? A. Correct. Q. I'm going to show you what — By the way, prior to coming here did you review any documents in connection with your testimony?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. The meeting we had, I had a meeting with my lawyer a week ago and we talked about some documents that might be discussed. Q- I don't want to know what the two of you discussed, I want to know did you review documents at the time? A. One or two. Q. Okay. A. A few. It might have been more than two, a few. Q. If you see as I'm handing you exhibits, if it's anything you've ever seen before, if you tell me that or from time to time I might ask you. For example, is Exhibit F familiar to you? A. No. Q. Now, Exhibit F is a memo to the file from James Price dated October 25th, 1995, re: The Kroger Co. and it begins Jim Hodge, Sandra Linn and Ed Waldvogel called to follow up and discuss mj letter of October 17th.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	And we discussed it from time to time about what that might be. Ever since we abandoned the warehouse, we've been trying to figure out how to unwind ourselves of this surplus asset. Q. Okay. And Exhibit E, toward the bottom has a series of numbers. You see it says PM 610,000, Kadish 1,372,500 versus 350,000, and Kroger \$,117,500 or more. Do you recall discussing those calculations with Butler or Price back in October of 1995? A. No. Q. As you sit here today, do you know what they mean or how they were derived? A. No. All I know is we had to make a payment to exercise economic termination. Q. Okay. And that never occurred, correct? A. Correct. Q. I'm going to show you what — By the way, prior to coming here did you review any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. The meeting we had, I had a meeting with my lawyer a week ago and we talked about some documents that might be discussed. Q- I don't want to know what the two of you discussed, I want to know did you review documents at the time? A. One or two. Q. Okay. A. A few. It might have been more than two, a few. Q. If you see as I'm handing you exhibits, if it's anything you've ever seen before, if you tell me that or from time to time I might ask you. For example, is Exhibit F familiar to you? A. No. Q. Now, Exhibit F is a memo to the file from James Price dated October 25th, 1995, re: The Kroger Co. and it begins Jim Hodge, Sandra Linn and Ed Waldvogel called to follow up and discuss mj

	rage 20		1 age 27
1	that he's referring to? You can read it.	1	connection with these various Bulkhouse
2	A . I refer — I remember talking to Jim	2	transactions?
3	about the economic abandonment back in a number of	3	A. I mean, in a nutshell Jim is a real
4	times during the '90s. This may have been one of	4	estate expert and I'm the financial expert, so he
5	them, sure.	5	does the real estate work and I do the financial
6	Q. Okay. There's a reference down here	6	work.
7	to Jim Hodge, that's the gentleman seated here in	7	Q. Okay. Now, at the bottom of this
8	the room with us, right?	8	memo the last bullet point is get Kadish to agree
9	A. Uh-huh.	9	on fixed price; do you know what that refers to?
10	Q. Okay. Is he a Kroger employee?	10	A. This is from 1995? No, I don't.
11	A. Correct.	11	Q. Okay. Who is Sandra Linn, L I N N,
12	Q. Okay. What is Mr. Hodge's position	12	or who was she in 1995?
13	at Kroger?	13	A. Sandra Linn worked in Capital
14	A. He's Vice President of Real Estate.	14	Management as well. She had my job previous to me,
15	Q. Is he senior or subordinate to you or	15	and she worked for me previous to that.
16	equivalent?	16	Q. So in 1995 did she report to you?
17	A. He's senior to me.	17	A. No. 1995 I was in logistics then in
18	Q. Do you report to him at all?	18	1995, and Sandra Linn had my position and reported
19	A. I do not.	19	to someone else. I'm not sure, Rodney McMullen or
20	Q. But from time to time you work with	20	someone else.
21	him on various transactions?	21	
22	A. I work with him all of the time.	22	Q. Now, to your knowledge, as of October of 1995 was the firm of Price & Marshall performing
23	Q. And just describe the role that you		•
24	play and the role that he plays, let's say, in the	23	any services for Kroger?
	r ag a said a real real real real real real real re	24	A. I'm not sure what you mean by
	Page 28		Page 29
1	"services." We did not have them engaged to do	1	Price & Marshall was with respect to Kroger as of
2	any official work for us. They were — From time	2	January 12th, '96?
3	to time we discussed doing transactions. We've	3	That's probably a bad question. You
4	done a number of transactions with them throughout	4	see he's sending Hodge an engagement letter, which
5	the 20 years we've known them.	5	is attached, were you ever consulted about that
6	MR. PHILLIPS: Off the record.	6	engagement letter?
7	(Off the record.)	7	A. I may have been. It was Sandra Linn
8	BY MR. CINQUE:	8	was working as in charge of Capital Management, but
9	Q. I'm handing you Exhibit G. Did you	9	I was the one who was most familiar with all of the
10	ever see Exhibit G? And take a look at what it is,	10	old real estate transactions from the 80s. Sol
11	did you see that before today?	11	probably talked to Jim about this from time to
12	A. I may have.	12	time, Jim Hodge.
13	Q. It's not something that you reviewed	13	Q. Okay. And do you remember the
14	in connection with your testimony today, correct?	14	proposal that is attached as the second page?
15	A. Recently, no.	15	A. It's the second page?
16	Q. Okay. For the record Exhibit G is a	16	Q. Yeah. On the first page the author,
17	letter dated January 12th, 1996 from Price &	17	which I believe to be Price since we have JDP at
18	Marshall to James Hodge. And it has attached to ii	18	the lower left-hand side, states I've also included
19	three pages, which have previously been described.	19	a summary of two proposals from Malease Foods Corp.
20	A. Should I look at all three?	20	to purchase their master lease on all three
21	Q. Sure. I'm going to ask you	21	properties.
22		22	Do you recognize this proposal?
	questions, look at anything you want if it will	22	Do you recognize this proposar.
	questions, look at anything you want if it will help you answe r the question.	23	A. This one here?
23 24	questions, look at anything you want if it will help you answe r the question. Do you know what the relationship of		

	Page 30		Page 31
1	PM01175.	1	A. You want me to read this?
2	A. I can't say that I remember that one,	2	Q. Have you seen that before today?
3	no.	3	A. No.
4	Q. Do you remember being involved in	4	Q. Okay. Why don't you just read it.
5	this transaction or proposed transaction at the	5	A. Okay.
6	time?	6	Q. Okay. At the bottom of this memo the
7	A. I can't say that I remember exactly	7	author is saying, he says here Sandra and Jim wan
8	this one. Like I said, we've talked to Price &	8	to go over agenda Friday. Before I get to that, do
9	Marshall many, many times since 1991 about	9	you recall being consulted about any aspect of the
10	unwinding this. This is one of many. They weren't	10	dealings that are reflected in this memo; were you
11	all put in writing, sometimes they were just phone	11	involved or did you discuss it with Jim Hodge or
12	calls.	12	Sandra Linn?
13	Q. Okay. You see he states I include a	13	A. I can't say that I was involved in
14	summary of two proposals from Malease Foods Corp.	14	every discussion that occurred. Like I said, I was
15	do you know, as you sit here today, whether these	15	involved in this transaction early on. I knew
16	proposals came from Malease Foods?	16	about it as well as anyone. At the time I was
17	A. I do not.	17	working in another function, logistics, and
18	Q. All right. Do you know whether this	18	whenever they had questions about history and
19	engagement letter was ever signed?	19	comparing economics of the transaction, they would
20	A. I doubt that it was, because we	20	ask me about them at that time. If they didn't
21	didn't1 don't know for sure.	21	have any questions, they didn't ask.
22	Q. Okay. Now, Exhibit H is a memo dated	22	Q. At the conclusion of this memo the
23	January 30th, 1996 from JDP, which I believe is	23	author states Sandra and Jim wanted to go over
24	James D. Price of Price & Marshall to the file.	24	agenda Friday, said okay. Got the feeling no one
			•
	Page 32		Page 33
1	at Kroger understands the financing and they are	1	thereabouts?
2	proceeding but walking on eggs.	2	A. I don't recall.
3	From your vantage point was that a	3	Q. Okay. Exhibit J, have you seen
4	fair appraisal of the knowledge of Kroger at the	4	Exhibit J before?
5	time?	5	A. I'm sure I have.
6	A. Not of Kroger. I think it was of	6	Q. When?
7	Sandra. I think Sandra was struggling with the	7	A. Since it was addressed to me, I
8	structure of the transaction.	8	imagine I read it right after it came in to my
9	Q. And what makes you say that?	9	office some time in June of 2000.
10	A. Because every time it got complicated	10	Q. And since then you've never read it
11	and they asked questions they would call me and ask	11	again; is that right?
12	me about it.	12	A. I can't say I haven't read it since
13	Q. Do you remember having said that? Do	13	then. I haven't read it in the last month or few
14	you remember Sandra or Jim Hodge calling you and	14	months.
15	asking you anything about the transaction described	15	Q. Okay. Can you tell me the discussion
16	in this memo?	16	that is referenced in the first line of this
17	A. I can't speak to this particular	17	letter? By that I mean, did you contact Price, die
18	memo. Like I said, from time to time throughout	18	he contact you; how did this come about that he
19	1993, 1995, 1997 we talked about it many times.	19	wrote you a letter?
20	Q. Okay. In the memo Price talks about	20	A. We talked on the phone and I told him
21 22	coming out to Cincinnati. He says asked us to come out to Cincinnati and go over the steps, et	21	that as I told him since 1991, we would like to get
44		22	out of this transaction in any way we can. I think
23	cetera Do vou recall did Price and/or Rutler come	22	at that time he might have told me that Marrill
2324	cetera. Do you recall did Price and/or Butler come to Cincinnati in '96 in January of 1996, or	23 24	at that time he might have told me that Merrill Lynch was interested in selling their position.

Page 35 Page 34 And I said if that's the case, we'd be a buyer. 1 1 that what you're talking about? 2 2 Q. And when you say "get out of this A. Uh-huh, right. transaction," what do you mean? 3 Q. Were there any tax considerations, 3 4 A. Unwind the structure so that we 4 let's say, since starting in 1991? 5 5 could - San Marcos was a surplus asset and we A. Absolutely. O. What were the tax considerations. wanted to dispose of it, and we wanted to own our 6 7 other two assets that were in this transaction at 7 A. This was a sale lease back, and it 8 the end of the day. 8 was a very complicated transaction that had 9 Q. And this was something that was in 9 high/low payments, and because of that the the contemplation of Kroger since at least 1996 and 10 10 accounting entries and tax entries were different 11 prior; is that right? for our books. And because of that we had a basis 11 12 A. 'Ninety-one, sure. 12 that caused our tax situation to be — I'm trying 13 Q. And what was the reason for that; 13 to remember the way the numbers worked, it's a long 14 what motivated you folks? 14 time ago when we looked at that. 15 A. It was a surplus asset. 15 My recollection was book rent and tax 16 Q. San Marcos was? 16 rent were different, and because of that unwinding 17 A. Right. 17 this transaction at certain points in time would Q. And the other two you wanted to own? 18 cause us to have a tax liability. And that changed 18 19 A. Right. And the financing 19 over time because of the amortization of some of 20 transaction, which might have been attractive when 20 the original costs of this transaction. it was originally done was expensive at that time, 21 21 Q. As far as you were concerned was it 22 and we're constantly looking for ways to reduce our 22 more beneficial to Kroger to unwind these 23 23 cost by repaying expensive mortgages. transactions, say, in 1991 as opposed to 2001; in 24 Q. Do you mean the interest rate, is 24 other words, as each year went by did it become Page 37 Page 36 less beneficial to Kroger or more beneficial? 1 Bulkhouse before the termination of the lease and 1 2 A. Because of the tax situation it 2 offer to buy? 3 became more beneficial as time passed. 3 A. I believe you can go to anybody and 4 Q. So it actually benefited you to wait? 4 offer to buy, sure. 5 A. Right. 5 Q. So there was nothing stopping you Q. So if you were able to accomplish 6 6 from doing that, correct? 7 7 what you were talking about by unwinding the A. No, hmm-um. 8 transactions in '91, it would not have been as 8 Q. You could have gone to Malease, the 9 beneficial as what actually happened when you did 9 holder of the master lease as well, correct, or was it in 2001; is that right? there some prohibition against you doing that? 10 10 11 A. Based on the options at that time, 11 A. None to my knowledge. We weren't--12 correct, yes, um-hmm. It was a complicated transaction. We weren't sure 12 13 Q. Okay. Now, were any efforts being 13 until you read the documents who you had to deal made to - other than the San Marcos that we've 14 with. 14 addressed and the economic abandonment, were there 15 Q. Did you ever figure out who you had any efforts made prior to 2000 for Kroger to to deal with? 16 16 purchase the other two facilities? 17 A. Sure. 17 18 Q. What did you learn? 18 A. No efforts that 1 can document. We 19 also had an interest in buying them. We thought we 19 A. Merrill Lynch was the person we dealt 20 had to wait until the end of the lease, because 20 with on an ongoing basis from 1983 through 2000 and that's when we had a fair market value purchase 21 21 whenever 1, when we finally bought it, and 22 option, which is what we have in all of our 22 ultimately it was a question of buying out their 23 transactions, most of our transactions. 23 position. And they convinced us that we could do 24 Q. Did you believe that you could go to 24 that and still end up owning the properties.

	Page 38		Page 39
1	Q. And who convinced you? You said	1	there that would interfere with our ability to buy
2	"they convinced us," who was that?	2	these properties.
3	A. Merrill Lynch.	3	Q. You thought somebody might try and
4	Q. Who, do you know?	4	compete with you, is that it, for the purchase?
5	A. Gosh, there was a bunch of guys we	5	MR. PHILLIPS: Objection. You can
6	talked to over time. Al DeFurioni. No, I'm	6	answer.
7	forgetting the names.	7	A. No. It wasn't the question of
8	Q. Giobbi?	8	competing in the purchase. It was a question of
9	A. Mike Giobbi was one. Offendorpher	9	some of these deals had encumbrances because of tax
10	was one. DeFurioni, Al DeFurioni was one. There	10	considerations that you didn't even know about,
11	were four or five guys we talked to over the years	11	that you had to make sure that there wasn't some
12	at Merrill Lynch.	12	contract buried in the documents that allowed them
13	Q. So I'm clear then, are you telling me	13	to do things that could cause you problems.
14tl		14	Q. Okay. And did you discuss that with
	o nvinced you that the transaction could be done	15	Merrill Lynch, that there might be this type of
	s that what you said?	16	problem or issue?
17	A. Well, it was a combination of talking	17	A. Merrill Lynch and Scott Butler, yes.
18	to folks at Merrill Lynch, reading the documents	18	Q. And that was over a period of years
19	and discussions with Scott Butler over the ten	19	you had those discussions?
20	years that convinced us that we could do what we	20	A. About the entire transaction was over
21	wanted to do without risk.	21	a period of years.
22	Q. When you say "risk," what do you	22	Q. But I'm talking about this
23m		23	possibility that there was something out there that
24 24	A. The risk that there was a party out	24	you've just described, was that a subject that was
27	71. The fisk that there was a party out		you ve just described, was that a subject that was
			-
	Page 40		Page 41
1	discussed over a period of years?	1	that we were concerned about, yes.
1 2	discussed over a period of years? A. I think that was the subject that was	2	that we were concerned about, yes. Q. And what was the Malease encumbrance
	discussed over a period of years? A. I think that was the subject that was discussed in 2001, 2000, 2001 mainly.		that we were concerned about, yes. Q. And what was the Malease encumbrance as you understood it?
2	A. I think that was the subject that was discussed in 2001, 2000, 2001 mainly. Q. What was the essence or gist of those	2	that we were concerned about, yes. Q. And what was the Malease encumbrance as you understood it? A. All we knew is it was a master lease
2 3	discussed over a period of years? A. I think that was the subject that was discussed in 2001, 2000, 2001 mainly.	2 3	that we were concerned about, yes. Q. And what was the Malease encumbrance as you understood it? A. All we knew is it was a master lease that had a shirttail that was put in for tax
2 3 4	A. I think that was the subject that was discussed in 2001, 2000, 2001 mainly. Q. What was the essence or gist of those discussions? A. Was there a clear end gain to get	2 3 4 5 6	that we were concerned about, yes. Q. And what was the Malease encumbrance as you understood it? A. All we knew is it was a master lease that had a shirttail that was put in for tax purposes solely.
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. I think that was the subject that was discussed in 2001, 2000, 2001 mainly. Q. What was the essence or gist of those discussions? A. Was there a clear end gain to get clear title to the assets if we bought out their	2 3 4 5 6 7	that we were concerned about, yes. Q. And what was the Malease encumbrance as you understood it? A. All we knew is it was a master lease that had a shirttail that was put in for tax purposes solely. Q. Okay. And how was that an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. I think that was the subject that was discussed in 2001, 2000, 2001 mainly. Q. What was the essence or gist of those discussions? A. Was there a clear end gain to get clear title to the assets if we bought out their position.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that we were concerned about, yes. Q. And what was the Malease encumbrance as you understood it? A. All we knew is it was a master lease that had a shirttail that was put in for tax purposes solely. Q. Okay. And how was that an encumbrance to your transaction with Bulkhouse?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	discussed over a period of years? A. I think that was the subject that was discussed in 2001, 2000, 2001 mainly. Q. What was the essence or gist of those discussions? A. Was there a clear end gain to get clear title to the assets if we bought out their position. Q. When you say "their position," you're	2 3 4 5 6 7	that we were concerned about, yes. Q. And what was the Malease encumbrance as you understood it? A. All we knew is it was a master lease that had a shirttail that was put in for tax purposes solely. Q. Okay. And how was that an encumbrance to your transaction with Bulkhouse? A. Because it's a master lease that had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I think that was the subject that was discussed in 2001, 2000, 2001 mainly. Q. What was the essence or gist of those discussions? A. Was there a clear end gain to get clear title to the assets if we bought out their position. Q. When you say "their position," you're talking about Bulkhouse?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that we were concerned about, yes. Q. And what was the Malease encumbrance as you understood it? A. All we knew is it was a master lease that had a shirttail that was put in for tax purposes solely. Q. Okay. And how was that an encumbrance to your transaction with Bulkhouse? A. Because it's a master lease that had to be eliminated to own the property free and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	discussed over a period of years? A. I think that was the subject that was discussed in 2001, 2000, 2001 mainly. Q. What was the essence or gist of those discussions? A. Was there a clear end gain to get clear title to the assets if we bought out their position. Q. When you say "their position," you're talking about Bulkhouse? A. Uh-huh.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that we were concerned about, yes. Q. And what was the Malease encumbrance as you understood it? A. All we knew is it was a master lease that had a shirttail that was put in for tax purposes solely. Q. Okay. And how was that an encumbrance to your transaction with Bulkhouse? A. Because it's a master lease that had to be eliminated to own the property free and clear.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. I think that was the subject that was discussed in 2001, 2000, 2001 mainly. Q. What was the essence or gist of those discussions? A. Was there a clear end gain to get clear title to the assets if we bought out their position. Q. When you say "their position," you're talking about Bulkhouse? A. Uh-huh. Q. And did you ever reach a conclusion	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that we were concerned about, yes. Q. And what was the Malease encumbrance as you understood it? A. All we knew is it was a master lease that had a shirttail that was put in for tax purposes solely. Q. Okay. And how was that an encumbrance to your transaction with Bulkhouse? A. Because it's a master lease that had to be eliminated to own the property free and clear. Q. When you say "eliminated," you mean
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I think that was the subject that was discussed in 2001, 2000, 2001 mainly. Q. What was the essence or gist of those discussions? A. Was there a clear end gain to get clear title to the assets if we bought out their position. Q. When you say "their position," you're talking about Bulkhouse? A. Uh-huh. Q. And did you ever reach a conclusion on that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that we were concerned about, yes. Q. And what was the Malease encumbrance as you understood it? A. All we knew is it was a master lease that had a shirttail that was put in for tax purposes solely. Q. Okay. And how was that an encumbrance to your transaction with Bulkhouse? A. Because it's a master lease that had to be eliminated to own the property free and clear. Q. When you say "eliminated," you mean bought out?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	discussed over a period of years? A. I think that was the subject that was discussed in 2001, 2000, 2001 mainly. Q. What was the essence or gist of those discussions? A. Was there a clear end gain to get clear title to the assets if we bought out their position. Q. When you say "their position," you're talking about Bulkhouse? A. Uh-huh. Q. And did you ever reach a conclusion on that? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that we were concerned about, yes. Q. And what was the Malease encumbrance as you understood it? A. All we knew is it was a master lease that had a shirttail that was put in for tax purposes solely. Q. Okay. And how was that an encumbrance to your transaction with Bulkhouse? A. Because it's a master lease that had to be eliminated to own the property free and clear. Q. When you say "eliminated," you mean bought out? A. Relieved. No, relieved from title.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I think that was the subject that was discussed in 2001, 2000, 2001 mainly. Q. What was the essence or gist of those discussions? A. Was there a clear end gain to get clear title to the assets if we bought out their position. Q. When you say "their position," you're talking about Bulkhouse? A. Uh-huh. Q. And did you ever reach a conclusion on that? A. Yes. Q. And what was the conclusion?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that we were concerned about, yes. Q. And what was the Malease encumbrance as you understood it? A. All we knew is it was a master lease that had a shirttail that was put in for tax purposes solely. Q. Okay. And how was that an encumbrance to your transaction with Bulkhouse? A. Because it's a master lease that had to be eliminated to own the property free and clear. Q. When you say "eliminated," you mean bought out? A. Relieved. No, relieved from title. Q. Okay. What does that mean?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	discussed over a period of years? A. I think that was the subject that was discussed in 2001, 2000, 2001 mainly. Q. What was the essence or gist of those discussions? A. Was there a clear end gain to get clear title to the assets if we bought out their position. Q. When you say "their position," you're talking about Bulkhouse? A. Uh-huh. Q. And did you ever reach a conclusion on that? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that we were concerned about, yes. Q. And what was the Malease encumbrance as you understood it? A. All we knew is it was a master lease that had a shirttail that was put in for tax purposes solely. Q. Okay. And how was that an encumbrance to your transaction with Bulkhouse? A. Because it's a master lease that had to be eliminated to own the property free and clear. Q. When you say "eliminated," you mean bought out? A. Relieved. No, relieved from title. Q. Okay. What does that mean? A. So that we have a free and clear
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I think that was the subject that was discussed in 2001, 2000, 2001 mainly. Q. What was the essence or gist of those discussions? A. Was there a clear end gain to get clear title to the assets if we bought out their position. Q. When you say "their position," you're talking about Bulkhouse? A. Uh-huh. Q. And did you ever reach a conclusion on that? A. Yes. Q. And what was the conclusion? A. Well, the conclusion was we had a fair market value purchase option; and, therefore,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that we were concerned about, yes. Q. And what was the Malease encumbrance as you understood it? A. All we knew is it was a master lease that had a shirttail that was put in for tax purposes solely. Q. Okay. And how was that an encumbrance to your transaction with Bulkhouse? A. Because it's a master lease that had to be eliminated to own the property free and clear. Q. When you say "eliminated," you mean bought out? A. Relieved. No, relieved from title. Q. Okay. What does that mean? A. So that we have a free and clear title to sell an asset.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. I think that was the subject that was discussed in 2001, 2000, 2001 mainly. Q. What was the essence or gist of those discussions? A. Was there a clear end gain to get clear title to the assets if we bought out their position. Q. When you say "their position," you're talking about Bulkhouse? A. Uh-huh. Q. And did you ever reach a conclusion on that? A. Yes. Q. And what was the conclusion? A. Well, the conclusion was we had a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that we were concerned about, yes. Q. And what was the Malease encumbrance as you understood it? A. All we knew is it was a master lease that had a shirttail that was put in for tax purposes solely. Q. Okay. And how was that an encumbrance to your transaction with Bulkhouse? A. Because it's a master lease that had to be eliminated to own the property free and clear. Q. When you say "eliminated," you mean bought out? A. Relieved. No, relieved from title. Q. Okay. What does that mean? A. So that we have a free and clear title to sell an asset. Q. And did you people discuss how you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I think that was the subject that was discussed in 2001, 2000, 2001 mainly. Q. What was the essence or gist of those discussions? A. Was there a clear end gain to get clear title to the assets if we bought out their position. Q. When you say "their position," you're talking about Bulkhouse? A. Uh-huh. Q. And did you ever reach a conclusion on that? A. Yes. Q. And what was the conclusion? A. Well, the conclusion was we had a fair market value purchase option; and, therefore, the documents had to have a path of settling all encumbrances so they could give us clear title to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that we were concerned about, yes. Q. And what was the Malease encumbrance as you understood it? A. All we knew is it was a master lease that had a shirttail that was put in for tax purposes solely. Q. Okay. And how was that an encumbrance to your transaction with Bulkhouse? A. Because it's a master lease that had to be eliminated to own the property free and clear. Q. When you say "eliminated," you mean bought out? A. Relieved. No, relieved from title. Q. Okay. What does that mean? A. So that we have a free and clear title to sell an asset. Q. And did you people discuss how you might relieve Malease from title?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I think that was the subject that was discussed in 2001, 2000, 2001 mainly. Q. What was the essence or gist of those discussions? A. Was there a clear end gain to get clear title to the assets if we bought out their position. Q. When you say "their position," you're talking about Bulkhouse? A. Uh-huh. Q. And did you ever reach a conclusion on that? A. Yes. Q. And what was the conclusion? A. Well, the conclusion was we had a fair market value purchase option; and, therefore, the documents had to have a path of settling all encumbrances so they could give us clear title to the property when we exercised that option.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that we were concerned about, yes. Q. And what was the Malease encumbrance as you understood it? A. All we knew is it was a master lease that had a shirttail that was put in for tax purposes solely. Q. Okay. And how was that an encumbrance to your transaction with Bulkhouse? A. Because it's a master lease that had to be eliminated to own the property free and clear. Q. When you say "eliminated," you mean bought out? A. Relieved. No, relieved from title. Q. Okay. What does that mean? A. So that we have a free and clear title to sell an asset. Q. And did you people discuss how you might relieve Malease from title? A. "You people?"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I think that was the subject that was discussed in 2001, 2000, 2001 mainly. Q. What was the essence or gist of those discussions? A. Was there a clear end gain to get clear title to the assets if we bought out their position. Q. When you say "their position," you're talking about Bulkhouse? A. Uh-huh. Q. And did you ever reach a conclusion on that? A. Yes. Q. And what was the conclusion? A. Well, the conclusion was we had a fair market value purchase option; and, therefore, the documents had to have a path of settling all encumbrances so they could give us clear title to the property when we exercised that option. Q. Did the rights of Malease ever come	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that we were concerned about, yes. Q. And what was the Malease encumbrance as you understood it? A. All we knew is it was a master lease that had a shirttail that was put in for tax purposes solely. Q. Okay. And how was that an encumbrance to your transaction with Bulkhouse? A. Because it's a master lease that had to be eliminated to own the property free and clear. Q. When you say "eliminated," you mean bought out? A. Relieved. No, relieved from title. Q. Okay. What does that mean? A. So that we have a free and clear title to sell an asset. Q. And did you people discuss how you might relieve Malease from title? A. "You people?" Q. In your discussions with Merrill
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I think that was the subject that was discussed in 2001, 2000, 2001 mainly. Q. What was the essence or gist of those discussions? A. Was there a clear end gain to get clear title to the assets if we bought out their position. Q. When you say "their position," you're talking about Bulkhouse? A. Uh-huh. Q. And did you ever reach a conclusion on that? A. Yes. Q. And what was the conclusion? A. Well, the conclusion was we had a fair market value purchase option; and, therefore, the documents had to have a path of settling all encumbrances so they could give us clear title to the property when we exercised that option. Q. Did the rights of Malease ever come up at any of those discussions that you've just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that we were concerned about, yes. Q. And what was the Malease encumbrance as you understood it? A. All we knew is it was a master lease that had a shirttail that was put in for tax purposes solely. Q. Okay. And how was that an encumbrance to your transaction with Bulkhouse? A. Because it's a master lease that had to be eliminated to own the property free and clear. Q. When you say "eliminated," you mean bought out? A. Relieved. No, relieved from title. Q. Okay. What does that mean? A. So that we have a free and clear title to sell an asset. Q. And did you people discuss how you might relieve Malease from title? A. "You people?" Q. In your discussions with Merrill Lynch you're talking about this encumbrance,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I think that was the subject that was discussed in 2001, 2000, 2001 mainly. Q. What was the essence or gist of those discussions? A. Was there a clear end gain to get clear title to the assets if we bought out their position. Q. When you say "their position," you're talking about Bulkhouse? A. Uh-huh. Q. And did you ever reach a conclusion on that? A. Yes. Q. And what was the conclusion? A. Well, the conclusion was we had a fair market value purchase option; and, therefore, the documents had to have a path of settling all encumbrances so they could give us clear title to the property when we exercised that option. Q. Did the rights of Malease ever come	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that we were concerned about, yes. Q. And what was the Malease encumbrance as you understood it? A. All we knew is it was a master lease that had a shirttail that was put in for tax purposes solely. Q. Okay. And how was that an encumbrance to your transaction with Bulkhouse? A. Because it's a master lease that had to be eliminated to own the property free and clear. Q. When you say "eliminated," you mean bought out? A. Relieved. No, relieved from title. Q. Okay. What does that mean? A. So that we have a free and clear title to sell an asset. Q. And did you people discuss how you might relieve Malease from title? A. "You people?" Q. In your discussions with Merrill

	1 age 42		1 age 43
1	A. Right.	1	party — I forget the name of the document, Bob.
2	Q. Okay. And what I want to know is:	2	Q. Whatever you remember?
3	Were there any discussions about how that might	3	A. There was a document included in the
4	occur with Merrill Lynch?	4	binder that called for the 360-day notice to
5	A. Probably. I can't remember a	5	exercise a buyout of the master lease by paying the
6	specific discussion between Merrill Lynch and Scott	6	shirttail rent, two years of rent, present value at
7	Butler. And reading the documents we tried to	7	the discount rate of the document plus 100 basis
8	determine that the documents had a clear path to	8	points.
9	relieve all encumbrances, and we concluded that	9	Q. Are you aware, by the way, of
10	they did.	10	Malease's claim in this lawsuit?
11	Q. Okay. And how did you conclude that	11	A. No. I don't know what he's claiming,
12	with respect to Malease, or what did you conclude	12	I really don't.
13	with respect to the rights of Malease?	13	Q. Did you ever ask anybody?
14	A. That there was a document in the	14	A. Yes. I've asked my lawyers and I've
15	binders that clearly said exercising certain	15	asked our lawyers. They don't understand his
16	notices and making certain payments took them out	16	position.
17	of the transaction.	17	Q. So they just said we don't understand
18	Q. Did you ever have any discussion as	18	what Malease is claiming; is that right?
19	to when Kroger might be in a position to deal with	19	MR. PHILLIPS: Answer that but no
20	Malease?	20	specifics.
21	A. Yes.	21	A. Correct.
22	Q. Okay. Did you - What was the	22	Q. Are you aware that within the past
23	discussion that you had?	23	few days your lawyers have submitted a set of
24	A. That there was a — there was a two	24	papers to The Court telling The Court in substance
	Page 44		Page 45
1	that not only do they full understand the claim of	1	MR. PHILLIPS: Objection,
2	that not only do they full understand the claim of Malease, but, in fact, it is entirely without	2	MR. PHILLIPS: Objection, argumentative. Go ahead.
2 3	that not only do they full understand the claim of Malease, but, in fact, it is entirely without merit; and therefore, it should be summarily	2	MR. PHILLIPS: Objection, argumentative. Go ahead. A. I don't understand why he doesn't
2 3 4	that not only do they full understand the claim of Malease, but, in fact, it is entirely without merit; and therefore, it should be summarily dismissed; do you know any of that?	2 3 4	MR. PHILLIPS: Objection, argumentative. Go ahead. A. I don't understand why he doesn't accept the documents and the payment we tried to
2 3 4 5	that not only do they full understand the claim of Malease, but, in fact, it is entirely without merit; and therefore, it should be summarily dismissed; do you know any of that? A. I'm sure they have. When I say we	2 3 4 5	MR. PHILLIPS: Objection, argumentative. Go ahead. A. I don't understand why he doesn't accept the documents and the payment we tried to tender him and follow ahead with the contract that
2 3 4 5 6	that not only do they full understand the claim of Malease, but, in fact, it is entirely without merit; and therefore, it should be summarily dismissed; do you know any of that? A. I'm sure they have. When I say we don't understand it, what I meant was we don't	2 3 4 5 6	MR. PHILLIPS: Objection, argumentative. Go ahead. A. I don't understand why he doesn't accept the documents and the payment we tried to tender him and follow ahead with the contract that was agreed to in 1983.
2 3 4 5 6 7	that not only do they full understand the claim of Malease, but, in fact, it is entirely without merit; and therefore, it should be summarily dismissed; do you know any of that? A. I'm sure they have. When I say we don't understand it, what I meant was we don't understand the basis for it.	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. PHILLIPS: Objection, argumentative. Go ahead. A. I don't understand why he doesn't accept the documents and the payment we tried to tender him and follow ahead with the contract that was agreed to in 1983. Q. Do you know what Malease's contention
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that not only do they full understand the claim of Malease, but, in fact, it is entirely without merit; and therefore, it should be summarily dismissed; do you know any of that? A. I'm sure they have. When I say we don't understand it, what I meant was we don't understand the basis for it. Q. My question to you is: Did you ever	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. PHILLIPS: Objection, argumentative. Go ahead. A. I don't understand why he doesn't accept the documents and the payment we tried to tender him and follow ahead with the contract that was agreed to in 1983. Q. Do you know what Malease's contention is or don't you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that not only do they full understand the claim of Malease, but, in fact, it is entirely without merit; and therefore, it should be summarily dismissed; do you know any of that? A. I'm sure they have. When I say we don't understand it, what I meant was we don't understand the basis for it. Q. My question to you is: Did you ever read the summary judgement motion that your lawyers	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. PHILLIPS: Objection, argumentative. Go ahead. A. I don't understand why he doesn't accept the documents and the payment we tried to tender him and follow ahead with the contract that was agreed to in 1983. Q. Do you know what Malease's contention is or don't you? A. No, I don't.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that not only do they full understand the claim of Malease, but, in fact, it is entirely without merit; and therefore, it should be summarily dismissed; do you know any of that? A. I'm sure they have. When I say we don't understand it, what I meant was we don't understand the basis for it. Q. My question to you is: Did you ever read the summary judgement motion that your lawyers served us with the past few day?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. PHILLIPS: Objection, argumentative. Go ahead. A. I don't understand why he doesn't accept the documents and the payment we tried to tender him and follow ahead with the contract that was agreed to in 1983. Q. Do you know what Malease's contention is or don't you? A. No, I don't. Q. Did you ever hear the word
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that not only do they full understand the claim of Malease, but, in fact, it is entirely without merit; and therefore, it should be summarily dismissed; do you know any of that? A. I'm sure they have. When I say we don't understand it, what I meant was we don't understand the basis for it. Q. My question to you is: Did you ever read the summary judgement motion that your lawyers served us with the past few day? A. I did not, no.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. PHILLIPS: Objection, argumentative. Go ahead. A. I don't understand why he doesn't accept the documents and the payment we tried to tender him and follow ahead with the contract that was agreed to in 1983. Q. Do you know what Malease's contention is or don't you? A. No, I don't. Q. Did you ever hear the word simultaneously used in connection with any aspect
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that not only do they full understand the claim of Malease, but, in fact, it is entirely without merit; and therefore, it should be summarily dismissed; do you know any of that? A. I'm sure they have. When I say we don't understand it, what I meant was we don't understand the basis for it. Q. My question to you is: Did you ever read the summary judgement motion that your lawyers served us with the past few day? A. I did not, no. Q. Did anybody ever tell you in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. PHILLIPS: Objection, argumentative. Go ahead. A. I don't understand why he doesn't accept the documents and the payment we tried to tender him and follow ahead with the contract that was agreed to in 1983. Q. Do you know what Malease's contention is or don't you? A. No, I don't. Q. Did you ever hear the word simultaneously used in connection with any aspect of Malease's claim?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that not only do they full understand the claim of Malease, but, in fact, it is entirely without merit; and therefore, it should be summarily dismissed; do you know any of that? A. I'm sure they have. When I say we don't understand it, what I meant was we don't understand the basis for it. Q. My question to you is: Did you ever read the summary judgement motion that your lawyers served us with the past few day? A. I did not, no. Q. Did anybody ever tell you in substance that Kroger is now taking the position	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. PHILLIPS: Objection, argumentative. Go ahead. A. I don't understand why he doesn't accept the documents and the payment we tried to tender him and follow ahead with the contract that was agreed to in 1983. Q. Do you know what Malease's contention is or don't you? A. No, I don't. Q. Did you ever hear the word simultaneously used in connection with any aspect of Malease's claim? A. Simultaneously?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that not only do they full understand the claim of Malease, but, in fact, it is entirely without merit; and therefore, it should be summarily dismissed; do you know any of that? A. I'm sure they have. When I say we don't understand it, what I meant was we don't understand the basis for it. Q. My question to you is: Did you ever read the summary judgement motion that your lawyers served us with the past few day? A. I did not, no. Q. Did anybody ever tell you in substance that Kroger is now taking the position that the issue relating to Malease is crystal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. PHILLIPS: Objection, argumentative. Go ahead. A. I don't understand why he doesn't accept the documents and the payment we tried to tender him and follow ahead with the contract that was agreed to in 1983. Q. Do you know what Malease's contention is or don't you? A. No, I don't. Q. Did you ever hear the word simultaneously used in connection with any aspect of Malease's claim? A. Simultaneously? Q. Right. That particular word. I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that not only do they full understand the claim of Malease, but, in fact, it is entirely without merit; and therefore, it should be summarily dismissed; do you know any of that? A. I'm sure they have. When I say we don't understand it, what I meant was we don't understand the basis for it. Q. My question to you is: Did you ever read the summary judgement motion that your lawyers served us with the past few day? A. I did not, no. Q. Did anybody ever tell you in substance that Kroger is now taking the position that the issue relating to Malease is crystal clear, incandescent, to the point that it should be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. PHILLIPS: Objection, argumentative. Go ahead. A. I don't understand why he doesn't accept the documents and the payment we tried to tender him and follow ahead with the contract that was agreed to in 1983. Q. Do you know what Malease's contention is or don't you? A. No, I don't. Q. Did you ever hear the word simultaneously used in connection with any aspect of Malease's claim? A. Simultaneously? Q. Right. That particular word. I'm choosing that precise word, did it ever come up in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that not only do they full understand the claim of Malease, but, in fact, it is entirely without merit; and therefore, it should be summarily dismissed; do you know any of that? A. I'm sure they have. When I say we don't understand it, what I meant was we don't understand the basis for it. Q. My question to you is: Did you ever read the summary judgement motion that your lawyers served us with the past few day? A. I did not, no. Q. Did anybody ever tell you in substance that Kroger is now taking the position that the issue relating to Malease is crystal clear, incandescent, to the point that it should be summarily resolved in favor of Kroger? And when I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. PHILLIPS: Objection, argumentative. Go ahead. A. I don't understand why he doesn't accept the documents and the payment we tried to tender him and follow ahead with the contract that was agreed to in 1983. Q. Do you know what Malease's contention is or don't you? A. No, I don't. Q. Did you ever hear the word simultaneously used in connection with any aspect of Malease's claim? A. Simultaneously? Q. Right. That particular word. I'm choosing that precise word, did it ever come up in any discussions you had with anyone?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that not only do they full understand the claim of Malease, but, in fact, it is entirely without merit; and therefore, it should be summarily dismissed; do you know any of that? A. I'm sure they have. When I say we don't understand it, what I meant was we don't understand the basis for it. Q. My question to you is: Did you ever read the summary judgement motion that your lawyers served us with the past few day? A. I did not, no. Q. Did anybody ever tell you in substance that Kroger is now taking the position that the issue relating to Malease is crystal clear, incandescent, to the point that it should be summarily resolved in favor of Kroger? And when I say "summarily resolved," what I mean is it doesn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. PHILLIPS: Objection, argumentative. Go ahead. A. I don't understand why he doesn't accept the documents and the payment we tried to tender him and follow ahead with the contract that was agreed to in 1983. Q. Do you know what Malease's contention is or don't you? A. No, I don't. Q. Did you ever hear the word simultaneously used in connection with any aspect of Malease's claim? A. Simultaneously? Q. Right. That particular word. I'm choosing that precise word, did it ever come up in any discussions you had with anyone? A. That word doesn't ring a bell as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that not only do they full understand the claim of Malease, but, in fact, it is entirely without merit; and therefore, it should be summarily dismissed; do you know any of that? A. I'm sure they have. When I say we don't understand it, what I meant was we don't understand the basis for it. Q. My question to you is: Did you ever read the summary judgement motion that your lawyers served us with the past few day? A. I did not, no. Q. Did anybody ever tell you in substance that Kroger is now taking the position that the issue relating to Malease is crystal clear, incandescent, to the point that it should be summarily resolved in favor of Kroger? And when I say "summarily resolved," what I mean is it doesn't even require a deliberation by a jury.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. PHILLIPS: Objection, argumentative. Go ahead. A. I don't understand why he doesn't accept the documents and the payment we tried to tender him and follow ahead with the contract that was agreed to in 1983. Q. Do you know what Malease's contention is or don't you? A. No, I don't. Q. Did you ever hear the word simultaneously used in connection with any aspect of Malease's claim? A. Simultaneously? Q. Right. That particular word. I'm choosing that precise word, did it ever come up in any discussions you had with anyone? A. That word doesn't ring a bell as being important.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that not only do they full understand the claim of Malease, but, in fact, it is entirely without merit; and therefore, it should be summarily dismissed; do you know any of that? A. I'm sure they have. When I say we don't understand it, what I meant was we don't understand the basis for it. Q. My question to you is: Did you ever read the summary judgement motion that your lawyers served us with the past few day? A. I did not, no. Q. Did anybody ever tell you in substance that Kroger is now taking the position that the issue relating to Malease is crystal clear, incandescent, to the point that it should be summarily resolved in favor of Kroger? And when I say "summarily resolved," what I mean is it doesn't even require a deliberation by a jury. A. Sure, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. PHILLIPS: Objection, argumentative. Go ahead. A. I don't understand why he doesn't accept the documents and the payment we tried to tender him and follow ahead with the contract that was agreed to in 1983. Q. Do you know what Malease's contention is or don't you? A. No, I don't. Q. Did you ever hear the word simultaneously used in connection with any aspect of Malease's claim? A. Simultaneously? Q. Right. That particular word. I'm choosing that precise word, did it ever come up in any discussions you had with anyone? A. That word doesn't ring a bell as being important. Q. Do you regard this lawsuit as an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that not only do they full understand the claim of Malease, but, in fact, it is entirely without merit; and therefore, it should be summarily dismissed; do you know any of that? A. I'm sure they have. When I say we don't understand it, what I meant was we don't understand the basis for it. Q. My question to you is: Did you ever read the summary judgement motion that your lawyers served us with the past few day? A. I did not, no. Q. Did anybody ever tell you in substance that Kroger is now taking the position that the issue relating to Malease is crystal clear, incandescent, to the point that it should be summarily resolved in favor of Kroger? And when I say "summarily resolved," what I mean is it doesn't even require a deliberation by a jury. A. Sure, yes. Q. You're aware of that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. PHILLIPS: Objection, argumentative. Go ahead. A. I don't understand why he doesn't accept the documents and the payment we tried to tender him and follow ahead with the contract that was agreed to in 1983. Q. Do you know what Malease's contention is or don't you? A. No, I don't. Q. Did you ever hear the word simultaneously used in connection with any aspect of Malease's claim? A. Simultaneously? Q. Right. That particular word. I'm choosing that precise word, did it ever come up in any discussions you had with anyone? A. That word doesn't ring a bell as being important. Q. Do you regard this lawsuit as an important part of Kroger's business life?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that not only do they full understand the claim of Malease, but, in fact, it is entirely without merit; and therefore, it should be summarily dismissed; do you know any of that? A. I'm sure they have. When I say we don't understand it, what I meant was we don't understand the basis for it. Q. My question to you is: Did you ever read the summary judgement motion that your lawyers served us with the past few day? A. I did not, no. Q. Did anybody ever tell you in substance that Kroger is now taking the position that the issue relating to Malease is crystal clear, incandescent, to the point that it should be summarily resolved in favor of Kroger? And when I say "summarily resolved," what I mean is it doesn't even require a deliberation by a jury. A. Sure, yes. Q. You're aware of that? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. PHILLIPS: Objection, argumentative. Go ahead. A. I don't understand why he doesn't accept the documents and the payment we tried to tender him and follow ahead with the contract that was agreed to in 1983. Q. Do you know what Malease's contention is or don't you? A. No, I don't. Q. Did you ever hear the word simultaneously used in connection with any aspect of Malease's claim? A. Simultaneously? Q. Right. That particular word. I'm choosing that precise word, did it ever come up in any discussions you had with anyone? A. That word doesn't ring a bell as being important. Q. Do you regard this lawsuit as an important part of Kroger's business life? A. It's important to settle it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that not only do they full understand the claim of Malease, but, in fact, it is entirely without merit; and therefore, it should be summarily dismissed; do you know any of that? A. I'm sure they have. When I say we don't understand it, what I meant was we don't understand the basis for it. Q. My question to you is: Did you ever read the summary judgement motion that your lawyers served us with the past few day? A. I did not, no. Q. Did anybody ever tell you in substance that Kroger is now taking the position that the issue relating to Malease is crystal clear, incandescent, to the point that it should be summarily resolved in favor of Kroger? And when I say "summarily resolved," what I mean is it doesn't even require a deliberation by a jury. A. Sure, yes. Q. You're aware of that? A. Yes. Q. But yet you, as you sit here today,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. PHILLIPS: Objection, argumentative. Go ahead. A. I don't understand why he doesn't accept the documents and the payment we tried to tender him and follow ahead with the contract that was agreed to in 1983. Q. Do you know what Malease's contention is or don't you? A. No, I don't. Q. Did you ever hear the word simultaneously used in connection with any aspect of Malease's claim? A. Simultaneously? Q. Right. That particular word. I'm choosing that precise word, did it ever come up in any discussions you had with anyone? A. That word doesn't ring a bell as being important. Q. Do you regard this lawsuit as an important part of Kroger's business life? A. It's important to settle it. Q. But not important enough for you to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that not only do they full understand the claim of Malease, but, in fact, it is entirely without merit; and therefore, it should be summarily dismissed; do you know any of that? A. I'm sure they have. When I say we don't understand it, what I meant was we don't understand the basis for it. Q. My question to you is: Did you ever read the summary judgement motion that your lawyers served us with the past few day? A. I did not, no. Q. Did anybody ever tell you in substance that Kroger is now taking the position that the issue relating to Malease is crystal clear, incandescent, to the point that it should be summarily resolved in favor of Kroger? And when I say "summarily resolved," what I mean is it doesn't even require a deliberation by a jury. A. Sure, yes. Q. You're aware of that? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. PHILLIPS: Objection, argumentative. Go ahead. A. I don't understand why he doesn't accept the documents and the payment we tried to tender him and follow ahead with the contract that was agreed to in 1983. Q. Do you know what Malease's contention is or don't you? A. No, I don't. Q. Did you ever hear the word simultaneously used in connection with any aspect of Malease's claim? A. Simultaneously? Q. Right. That particular word. I'm choosing that precise word, did it ever come up in any discussions you had with anyone? A. That word doesn't ring a bell as being important. Q. Do you regard this lawsuit as an important part of Kroger's business life? A. It's important to settle it.

	Page 46		Page 47
1	MR. PHILLIPS: Objection,	1	A. We bought the Balkhouse position and
2	argumentative again. Go ahead.	2	exercised the purchase option that was in the
3		3	documents, and should have completely unwound the
4	_	4	transaction. We made our final mortgage payments
5		5	on April 1st, 2003, and we hoped it would all be
6		6	done by then.
7	1	7	Q. What I'm trying to find out is: You
8		8	personally have not analyzed the merits or lack of
9		9	merit of Malease's position, correct?
10		10	A. Correct.
11		11	Q. Okay. You relied upon someone else
12		12	for that analysis, right?
13	*****	13	• • •
14	4 4 4 4 4 4		A. For the analysis of Malease's
15	3.6.1.1.6.1.7.		position?
16	:441:	15	Q. Right?
17		l6	A. Yes.
18	0.01	17	Q. And upon whom did you rely, was it
19	A XXV location in the all of the contractions		Merrill Lynch?
		9	A. No. My lawyers.
20		20	Q. Okay. These lawyers, you're talking
21		21	about the Frost, Brown firm?
22		22	A. Yes.
23	6 41 1 1 1 1 1 4 4 1 0	23	Q. So am I correct then that in addition
24	for your thinking you did the right thing?	24	to talking to Merrill Lynch, you also spoke to your
			Page 49
1	lawyers; is that right?		1 clarify for the record whether or not you're asking
	2 MR. PHILLIPS: Objection to the time		2 him back in 2000 when he was having these
	3 frame.		3 discussions with Butler and Merrill Lynch or now
	4 A. I didn't say that.		4 are you talking about with respect to the
	5 Q. Okay. I know you were discussing		5 counterclaim?
	6 whether there was something out there, some		6 MR. CINQUE: Okay, fair.
	7 encumbrances, you described it previously?		7 BY MR. CINQUE:
	8 A. Right.		8 Q. I'll do it this way: Did there come
	9 Q. That was the subject of discussion		9 a point in time where you satisfied yourself that
	10 with Merrill Lynch, correct?		10 Malease was being — the Malease situation was noi
	11 A. And Scott Butler, yes, um-hmm.		11 an encumbrance?
	12 Q. Did you also discuss that with your		12 A. Yes.
	13 lawyers Frost, Brown or anyone?		13 Q. When was that?
	14 A. I'm sure I told them that we looked for the	nis	14 A. When I read what I think is called
	15 document and had it and thought it was clear enough		15 the two-party agreement, that was a purchase option
	16 that we could proceed with our investment of \$30	<i></i>	16 to buy out the master lease.
	17 million without any risk of not having clear title.		17 Q. When you read the two-party
	18 Q. And what I'm trying to find is upon		18 agreement, did you see the words simultaneously
	19 whom did you rely to reach that conclusion; was	il	19 anywhere in that document?
	20 Price, Butler, Merrill Lynch, your lawyers? I'm		•
	21 trying to find out who you relied upon.		
	22 MR. PHILLIPS: And we've been jumpin	ıg	Q. Okay. So did you rely upon your own
	23 around between 2000 and current, I want to	0	reading of the two-party agreement to reach your
	24		23 conclusion?
			A. I'm sure I had my attorney look at it

		ge 50	Page 51
1	as well.		1 depositions?
	2 Q.		2 A. No.
	Which attorney?		3 Q. So when you say you "heard they were
	4 A. Tom O'Brien.		4 deposed," what did you hear?
	5 Q. Is he at the Frost, Brown firm?		5 MR. PHILLIPS: Objection. It came
	6 A. No. He's with Kroger.		6 from counsel.
	7 Q. Okay. Did Mr. O'Brien give you any		7 MR. CINQUE: Pardon.
	8 kind of a written opinion as to the rights of		8 MR. PHILLIPS: It came from counsel.
	9 Malease?		9 MR. CINQUE. How do I know that?
	MR. PHILLIPS: You can answer that		MR. PHILLIPS: I know so why don't
	11 yes or no.		11 you ask him the question where he heard it from and
	12 A. I don't think so.		12 we'll get to it.
	Q. Now, are you aware that in this lawsu	it	13 BY MR. CINQUE:
	14 Messrs. Price and Butler have submitted a repo		14 Q. Tell me where you heard it from.
	15 or statement of position?	- •	15 A. Counsel.
	16 A. I heard they were deposed, so I		16 Q. Okay. And what did counsel tell you?
	17 assume that was documented.		17 MR. PHILLIPS: Don't answer that.
	18 Q. Aside from hearing that they were		18 MR. CINQUE: Why?
	19 deposed are you aware of any written statement	s ol	19 MR. PHILLIPS: It's privileged.
	20 any kind that Price or Butler have submitted in		20 MR. CINQUE: Whether someone was
	21 connection with this proceeding?		21 deposed or not?
	22 A. Other than their deposition?		<u> </u>
	23 Q. Right.		•
	24 A. No.		that. I thought you were asking him the content of the conversation with him about the deposition.
	Q. Did you read the transcript of any		24 the conversation with film about the deposition.
	Q. Did you read the transcript of any		
	Page 52		Page 53
1	BY MR. CINQUE:	1	submitted an expert report on behalf of Kroger in
2	Q. Are you saying that the sole source	2	this lawsuit?
3	of your knowledge that Price and/or Butler wen	3	A. Expert report?
4	deposed comes from counsel?	4	Q. Right.
5	A. Yes.	5	A. Is that the guy that -
6	Q. Okay. Did you discuss with counsel	6	Q. I'm not talking about Libbert now?
7	what Price or Butler said?	7	A. Libbert.
8	MR. PHILLIPS: You can answer that	8	Q. No. Aside from Libbert are you aware
9	yes or no.	9	of any other expert reports that have been
10	A. Yes.	10	submitted on Kroger's behalf in this lawsuit?
11	Q. Do you remember learning anything	11	A. Other than their depositions, no.
12	about what Price or Butler said?	12	Q. So therefore, I think the answer is
13	MR. PHILLIPS: You can answer that	13	self-evident, but you have never read nor have you
14	yes or no.	14	been told about any expert opinions or conclusions
15	A. Yes.	15	that have been put forth on Kroger's behalf in this
16	Q. Elid you ever read any written	16	lawsuit, correct?
17	statement emanating from Price or Butler?	17	MR. PHILLIPS: Other than Libbert?
18	A. From the deposition, do you mean?	18	MR. CINQUE: Other than Libbert.
19	Q. Any written statement of any kind,	19	BY MR. CINQUE:
20	whether it's a deposition.	20	A. Correct.
21	A. Is this a written statement?	21	Q. So if I told you that Price &
22			V
	Q. That's a letter. I'll be more	22	Marshall submitted a report of some ten pages, give
23	specific.	22 23	Marshall submitted a report of some ten pages, give or take, that would be news to you?
23 24	•		Marshall submitted a report of some ten pages, give or take, that would be news to you? A. Um-hmm.

	Page 54		Page 55
1	Q. Yes?	1	Q. Was that your agreement at the time?
2	A. Yes.	2	A. Correct.
3	Q. You have to say yes.	3	Q. Okay. Now, he uses a figure in
4	Okay. Now, did you engage Price &	4	paragraph 1 of \$9 million in cash?
5	Marshall in or around June of 2000 to assist	5	A. Uh-huh.
6	Kroger?	6	Q. Ultimately that number became what?
7	A. Yes.	7	A. Eleven.
8	Q. Did you sign a written letter of	8	Q. Okay.
9	engagement.	9	A. I believe.
10	A. Probably.	10	Q. Now, paragraph 3 on the second page
11	Q. I don't believe I've ever seen one,	11	starts Kroger would buy the three properties and
12	but you think you did?	12	all permits, leases, contracts and other intangible
13	A. It wouldn't surprise me, but I don't	13	assets relating to the property or their use. Do
14	recall.	14	you understand what that means?
15	Q. Typically would Kroger proceed on a	15	A. I think I do.
16	handshake basis in a transaction like this with	16	Q. Okay. What does it mean to you?
17	Price & Marshall, or would it be corporate policy	17	A . I think we would have all of the
18	to have a written engagement agreement?	18	rights that Bulkhouse had in the transaction.
19	A. I believe we have a written	19	Q. It doesn't say Bulkhouse there,
20	engagement.	20	that's what I'm trying to get at. Does that
21	Q. He does say at the second page of	21	include any rights of Malease or was that your
22	this letter that Price & Marshall would be paid a	22	understanding at the time when it says Kroger woulc
23	flat fee of \$100,000?	23	buy the three properties and all permits, leases,
24	A. Uh-huh.	24	contracts and other intangible assets relating to
	Page 56		Page 57
1	the properties or their use; was it your		deganihad? Wardd I harra to laalr at gamathing alga?
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	described? Would I have to look at something else?
2	understanding that that would include the rights o	2	A. The documents themselves I guess.
2 3	understanding that that would include the rights o Malease?	2 3	A. The documents themselves I guess.Q. You guess, you're not sure?
2 3 4	understanding that that would include the rights o Malease? A. No. It would include the right to	2 3 4	A. The documents themselves I guess.Q. You guess, you're not sure?A. That's what I intended it to mean.
2 3 4 5	understanding that that would include the rights o Malease? A. No. It would include the right to buy out Malease.	2 3 4 5	 A. The documents themselves I guess. Q. You guess, you're not sure? A. That's what I intended it to mean. Q. Well, I didn't write this letter,
2 3 4 5 6	understanding that that would include the rights o Malease? A. No. It would include the right to buy out Malease. Q. What do you mean by that?	2 3 4 5 6	 A. The documents themselves I guess. Q. You guess, you're not sure? A. That's what I intended it to mean. Q. Well, I didn't write this letter, though?
2 3 4 5 6 7	 understanding that that would include the rights o Malease? A. No. It would include the right to buy out Malease. Q. What do you mean by that? A. The two-party agreement or whatever 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. The documents themselves I guess. Q. You guess, you're not sure? A. That's what I intended it to mean. Q. Well, I didn't write this letter, though? A. No. But whenever we talked to Jim
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	understanding that that would include the rights o Malease? A. No. It would include the right to buy out Malease. Q. What do you mean by that? A. The two-party agreement or whatever that thing was called.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. The documents themselves I guess. Q. You guess, you're not sure? A. That's what I intended it to mean. Q. Well, I didn't write this letter, though? A. No. But whenever we talked to Jim Price about what we wanted him to do, we wanted to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	understanding that that would include the rights o Malease? A. No. It would include the right to buy out Malease. Q. What do you mean by that? A. The two-party agreement or whatever that thing was called. Q. The right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. The documents themselves I guess. Q. You guess, you're not sure? A. That's what I intended it to mean. Q. Well, I didn't write this letter, though? A. No. But whenever we talked to Jim Price about what we wanted him to do, we wanted to make sure that we had everything we needed to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	understanding that that would include the rights o Malease? A. No. It would include the right to buy out Malease. Q. What do you mean by that? A. The two-party agreement or whatever that thing was called. Q. The right? A. The option to prepay the master	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. The documents themselves I guess. Q. You guess, you're not sure? A. That's what I intended it to mean. Q. Well, I didn't write this letter, though? A. No. But whenever we talked to Jim Price about what we wanted him to do, we wanted to make sure that we had everything we needed to end — at the end of the day we had free and clear
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	understanding that that would include the rights o Malease? A. No. It would include the right to buy out Malease. Q. What do you mean by that? A. The two-party agreement or whatever that thing was called. Q. The right? A. The option to prepay the master lease.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. The documents themselves I guess. Q. You guess, you're not sure? A. That's what I intended it to mean. Q. Well, I didn't write this letter, though? A. No. But whenever we talked to Jim Price about what we wanted him to do, we wanted to make sure that we had everything we needed to end — at the end of the day we had free and clear title to all of the properties.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	understanding that that would include the rights o Malease? A. No. It would include the right to buy out Malease. Q. What do you mean by that? A. The two-party agreement or whatever that thing was called. Q. The right? A. The option to prepay the master lease. Q. So you're saying that paragraph 3	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. The documents themselves I guess. Q. You guess, you're not sure? A. That's what I intended it to mean. Q. Well, I didn't write this letter, though? A. No. But whenever we talked to Jim Price about what we wanted him to do, we wanted to make sure that we had everything we needed to end — at the end of the day we had free and clear title to all of the properties. Q. Let me put it this way: Let's assume
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	understanding that that would include the rights o Malease? A. No. It would include the right to buy out Malease. Q. What do you mean by that? A. The two-party agreement or whatever that thing was called. Q. The right? A. The option to prepay the master lease. Q. So you're saying that paragraph 3 here that I've just read would include the right to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. The documents themselves I guess. Q. You guess, you're not sure? A. That's what I intended it to mean. Q. Well, I didn't write this letter, though? A. No. But whenever we talked to Jim Price about what we wanted him to do, we wanted to make sure that we had everything we needed to end — at the end of the day we had free and clear title to all of the properties. Q. Let me put it this way: Let's assume for the moment that someone were to walk up to you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	understanding that that would include the rights o Malease? A. No. It would include the right to buy out Malease. Q. What do you mean by that? A. The two-party agreement or whatever that thing was called. Q. The right? A. The option to prepay the master lease. Q. So you're saying that paragraph 3 here that I've just read would include the right to buy out Malease?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. The documents themselves I guess. Q. You guess, you're not sure? A. That's what I intended it to mean. Q. Well, I didn't write this letter, though? A. No. But whenever we talked to Jim Price about what we wanted him to do, we wanted to make sure that we had everything we needed to end — at the end of the day we had free and clear title to all of the properties. Q. Let me put it this way: Let's assume for the moment that someone were to walk up to you and say, Mr. Waldvogel, paragraph 3, does that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	understanding that that would include the rights o Malease? A. No. It would include the right to buy out Malease. Q. What do you mean by that? A. The two-party agreement or whatever that thing was called. Q. The right? A. The option to prepay the master lease. Q. So you're saying that paragraph 3 here that I've just read would include the right to buy out Malease? A. It would include the ability to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. The documents themselves I guess. Q. You guess, you're not sure? A. That's what I intended it to mean. Q. Well, I didn't write this letter, though? A. No. But whenever we talked to Jim Price about what we wanted him to do, we wanted to make sure that we had everything we needed to end — at the end of the day we had free and clear title to all of the properties. Q. Let me put it this way: Let's assume for the moment that someone were to walk up to you and say, Mr. Waldvogel, paragraph 3, does that include the rights of Malease as far as you were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	understanding that that would include the rights o Malease? A. No. It would include the right to buy out Malease. Q. What do you mean by that? A. The two-party agreement or whatever that thing was called. Q. The right? A. The option to prepay the master lease. Q. So you're saying that paragraph 3 here that I've just read would include the right to buy out Malease? A. It would include the ability to exercise the purchase options that Bulkhouse had in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. The documents themselves I guess. Q. You guess, you're not sure? A. That's what I intended it to mean. Q. Well, I didn't write this letter, though? A. No. But whenever we talked to Jim Price about what we wanted him to do, we wanted to make sure that we had everything we needed to end — at the end of the day we had free and clear title to all of the properties. Q. Let me put it this way: Let's assume for the moment that someone were to walk up to you and say, Mr. Waldvogel, paragraph 3, does that include the rights of Malease as far as you were concerned, would you say, yes, I understand that to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	understanding that that would include the rights o Malease? A. No. It would include the right to buy out Malease. Q. What do you mean by that? A. The two-party agreement or whatever that thing was called. Q. The right? A. The option to prepay the master lease. Q. So you're saying that paragraph 3 here that I've just read would include the right to buy out Malease? A. It would include the ability to exercise the purchase options that Bulkhouse had in the documents.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. The documents themselves I guess. Q. You guess, you're not sure? A. That's what I intended it to mean. Q. Well, I didn't write this letter, though? A. No. But whenever we talked to Jim Price about what we wanted him to do, we wanted to make sure that we had everything we needed to end — at the end of the day we had free and clear title to all of the properties. Q. Let me put it this way: Let's assume for the moment that someone were to walk up to you and say, Mr. Waldvogel, paragraph 3, does that include the rights of Malease as far as you were concerned, would you say, yes, I understand that to include that or no?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	understanding that that would include the rights o Malease? A. No. It would include the right to buy out Malease. Q. What do you mean by that? A. The two-party agreement or whatever that thing was called. Q. The right? A. The option to prepay the master lease. Q. So you're saying that paragraph 3 here that I've just read would include the right to buy out Malease? A. It would include the ability to exercise the purchase options that Bulkhouse had in the documents. Q. Okay. Can I find that anywhere in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. The documents themselves I guess. Q. You guess, you're not sure? A. That's what I intended it to mean. Q. Well, I didn't write this letter, though? A. No. But whenever we talked to Jim Price about what we wanted him to do, we wanted to make sure that we had everything we needed to end — at the end of the day we had free and clear title to all of the properties. Q. Let me put it this way: Let's assume for the moment that someone were to walk up to you and say, Mr. Waldvogel, paragraph 3, does that include the rights of Malease as far as you were concerned, would you say, yes, I understand that to include that or no? MR. PHILLIPS: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	understanding that that would include the rights o Malease? A. No. It would include the right to buy out Malease. Q. What do you mean by that? A. The two-party agreement or whatever that thing was called. Q. The right? A. The option to prepay the master lease. Q. So you're saying that paragraph 3 here that I've just read would include the right to buy out Malease? A. It would include the ability to exercise the purchase options that Bulkhouse had in the documents. Q. Okay. Can I find that anywhere in this letter, what you just said?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. The documents themselves I guess. Q. You guess, you're not sure? A. That's what I intended it to mean. Q. Well, I didn't write this letter, though? A. No. But whenever we talked to Jim Price about what we wanted him to do, we wanted to make sure that we had everything we needed to end — at the end of the day we had free and clear title to all of the properties. Q. Let me put it this way: Let's assume for the moment that someone were to walk up to you and say, Mr. Waldvogel, paragraph 3, does that include the rights of Malease as far as you were concerned, would you say, yes, I understand that to include that or no? MR. PHILLIPS: Objection. A. The rights of Malease?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	understanding that that would include the rights o Malease? A. No. It would include the right to buy out Malease. Q. What do you mean by that? A. The two-party agreement or whatever that thing was called. Q. The right? A. The option to prepay the master lease. Q. So you're saying that paragraph 3 here that I've just read would include the right to buy out Malease? A. It would include the ability to exercise the purchase options that Bulkhouse had in the documents. Q. Okay. Can I find that anywhere in this letter, what you just said? A. I don't know. I don't think so, what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. The documents themselves I guess. Q. You guess, you're not sure? A. That's what I intended it to mean. Q. Well, I didn't write this letter, though? A. No. But whenever we talked to Jim Price about what we wanted him to do, we wanted to make sure that we had everything we needed to end — at the end of the day we had free and clear title to all of the properties. Q. Let me put it this way: Let's assume for the moment that someone were to walk up to you and say, Mr. Waldvogel, paragraph 3, does that include the rights of Malease as far as you were concerned, would you say, yes, I understand that to include that or no? MR. PHILLIPS: Objection. A. The rights of Malease? Q. Yeah. In other words, when it says
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	understanding that that would include the rights o Malease? A. No. It would include the right to buy out Malease. Q. What do you mean by that? A. The two-party agreement or whatever that thing was called. Q. The right? A. The option to prepay the master lease. Q. So you're saying that paragraph 3 here that I've just read would include the right to buy out Malease? A. It would include the ability to exercise the purchase options that Bulkhouse had in the documents. Q. Okay. Can I find that anywhere in this letter, what you just said? A. I don't know. I don't think so, what I just said.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. The documents themselves I guess. Q. You guess, you're not sure? A. That's what I intended it to mean. Q. Well, I didn't write this letter, though? A. No. But whenever we talked to Jim Price about what we wanted him to do, we wanted to make sure that we had everything we needed to end — at the end of the day we had free and clear title to all of the properties. Q. Let me put it this way: Let's assume for the moment that someone were to walk up to you and say, Mr. Waldvogel, paragraph 3, does that include the rights of Malease as far as you were concerned, would you say, yes, I understand that to include that or no? MR. PHILLIPS: Objection. A. The rights of Malease? Q. Yeah. In other words, when it says Kroger would buy all three properties and leases
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	understanding that that would include the rights o Malease? A. No. It would include the right to buy out Malease. Q. What do you mean by that? A. The two-party agreement or whatever that thing was called. Q. The right? A. The option to prepay the master lease. Q. So you're saying that paragraph 3 here that I've just read would include the right to buy out Malease? A. It would include the ability to exercise the purchase options that Bulkhouse had in the documents. Q. Okay. Can I find that anywhere in this letter, what you just said? A. I don't know. I don't think so, what I just said. Q. What makes you say what you just said	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. The documents themselves I guess. Q. You guess, you're not sure? A. That's what I intended it to mean. Q. Well, I didn't write this letter, though? A. No. But whenever we talked to Jim Price about what we wanted him to do, we wanted to make sure that we had everything we needed to end — at the end of the day we had free and clear title to all of the properties. Q. Let me put it this way: Let's assume for the moment that someone were to walk up to you and say, Mr. Waldvogel, paragraph 3, does that include the rights of Malease as far as you were concerned, would you say, yes, I understand that to include that or no? MR. PHILLIPS: Objection. A. The rights of Malease? Q. Yeah. In other words, when it says Kroger would buy all three properties and leases contracts, did that include the master lease?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	understanding that that would include the rights o Malease? A. No. It would include the right to buy out Malease. Q. What do you mean by that? A. The two-party agreement or whatever that thing was called. Q. The right? A. The option to prepay the master lease. Q. So you're saying that paragraph 3 here that I've just read would include the right to buy out Malease? A. It would include the ability to exercise the purchase options that Bulkhouse had in the documents. Q. Okay. Can I find that anywhere in this letter, what you just said? A. I don't know. I don't think so, what I just said.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. The documents themselves I guess. Q. You guess, you're not sure? A. That's what I intended it to mean. Q. Well, I didn't write this letter, though? A. No. But whenever we talked to Jim Price about what we wanted him to do, we wanted to make sure that we had everything we needed to end — at the end of the day we had free and clear title to all of the properties. Q. Let me put it this way: Let's assume for the moment that someone were to walk up to you and say, Mr. Waldvogel, paragraph 3, does that include the rights of Malease as far as you were concerned, would you say, yes, I understand that to include that or no? MR. PHILLIPS: Objection. A. The rights of Malease? Q. Yeah. In other words, when it says Kroger would buy all three properties and leases

	Page 58		Page 59
1	A. I don't think so.		1 A. I don't know what simultaneous
2	Q. So Kroger was not buying all? There		2 means. I just knew that we could take them out.
3	was something as far as you're contemplation at the		Q. You don't know what simultaneous
4	time, right, Kroger was not going to buy all		4 means, I understand.
5	rights, leases, et cetera, it was only going to buy		5 Okay. Paragraph 4 says a 2000 closing
6	the Bulkhouse interest; is that right?		6 would provide benefits to the partners by
7	A. Correct.		7 simplifying their tax situation for 2001. What does
8	Q. What about Malease, what was going to		8 that mean, do you know?
9	happen with Malease?		9 A. I think that meant that, if we acted
10	A. The Bulkhouse interest had the option		10 now, we could get a better purchase price from
11	to take Malease out through the purchase option.		11 Merrill Lynch.
12	Q. So in other words, you think that by		12 Q. Did he tell you why?
13	acquiring the Bulkhouse ~		A. Just that there were tax situations
14	A. The contract.		14 that compelled them to sell now versus later.
15	Q. — rights, you in turn would require		15 Q. Do you have any background in taxation;
16	whatever rights Bulkhouse had vis-a-vis Malease; u		16 have you studied any courses in taxation?
17	that what you're saying? I'm not trying to put		17 A. Yeah, I'maCPA.
18	words in your mouth.		18 Q. You're a CPA, great. You
19	A. Yes. We're buying the contract to		19 went to Xavier, right?
20	exercise the purchase option to take out the master		A. Um-hmm.
21	lease, yes.		21 Q. And when did you graduate?
22	Q. And did you understand at the time		A. I graduated with my Master's degree
23	that the right that Bulkhouse had was to have a		23 in 1975.
24	simultaneous transaction?		Q. Master's in which?
	Page 60		Page 61
1	A. Business Administration.	1	A. I didn't know when that would
2	Q. Okay. And when did you become	2	happen. I knew it was going to happen.
3	certified?	3	Q. Now, was there any particular tax
4	A. 1976.	4	benefit that you were aware of or other benefit,
5	Q. Do you have any — Did you have any	5	for that matter, to Kroger to close this
6	specialty following your certification or at the	6	transaction in 2000?
7	time of your certification; was there a particular	7	A. As far as we're concerned the sooner
8	area of accounting that you worked in?	8	the better. Like I said, I've been working it
9	A. Not necessarily, no.	9	since 1991.
10	Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the term	10	Q. Okay.
11	phantom income?	11	MR. PHILLIPS: Bob, can you let me
12	A. Yes.	12	know when you get to a good point to take a break?
13	Q. Okay. Do you have an understanding	13	MR. CINQUE: Good point, sure.
14	of that term?	14	(Brief recess.)
15	A. Uh-huh.	15	(Mr. Hodge entered the room.)
16	Q. What is your understanding?	16	BY MR. CINQUE:
17	A. That means that you basically have	17	Q. I've placed before you Exhibit K,
18	income that was caused by previous accelerated tax	18	which is a June 26th, 2000 letter to Michael
19	deductions that now is payable, but you have no	19	Giobbi, GI O B B I, from Jim Price.
20	cash inflow to support it.	20	A. Okay.
21	(Mr. Hodge left the room.)	21	Q. And it has attached to it a 3-page
22	Q. As of 2000, to your knowledge, were	22	document. Do you recall seeing this letter in or
23	the Bulkhouse partners receiving phantom income in	23	about June of 2000 or the attachment?
24	respect of these properties?	24	A. Probably, yeah.

Page 62 Page 63 1 Q. At Kroger who would be the one who 1 A. That was the investment banker's. We 2 would review this before it would be sent out? 2 simply said to them we want to finance these 3 A. Jirn or me, Jim Hodge or myself or 3 properties, what is our rent rate, and by using 4 both. 4 financial tax loopholes, I guess, if you want to 5 5 Q. Was Jim Hodge equally capable or call them that, they were able to lower our total competent to review this? I don't know the man's cost of occupancy. 6 6 7 background, I've seen his name, but as far as you Q. Were you involved in the original 7 were concerned did he have the same level? You 8 8 transaction? 9 said he was in real estate, but we have the same 9 A. I was not. 10 Q. Okay. Did you know about it? 10 level or necessary level of expertise to review this in its entirety or would it have to be a joint 11 A. I knew about it starting in 1986. 12 Q. Okay. Now, when he makes reference 12 collaboration? A. It's usually a joint collaboration, 13 13 in this to loopholes, did you object to his use of 14 because this is a complicated financial structure. 14 that term? Q. Okay. Now, referring your attention 15 15 A. I did not, no. to the first page of the summary, second page of 16 Q. It was acceptable to Kroger or to you 16 17 the document, reference is made in the first full 17 as a representative of Kroger? paragraph to it states many aspects of the 18 18 A. Sure. 19 structure were designed to take advantage of 19 Q. Okay. Now, it goes on under loopholes in the tax code as it existed in the 20 20 structure, toward the end it states this complex early 1980s. 21 structure provided enormous tax advantages to 21 22 As far as you were concerned was 22 Kroger. You agree with that statement? 23 Kroger taking advantage of loopholes in the tax 23 A. What statement again? code in 1980 or was that Price's? 24 Q. Toward the end of the second Page 64 Page 65 1 paragraph three lines up. BY MR. CINQUE: 1 A. No, I don't agree with that. 2 Q. Kroger has retained us, et cetera, so 3 Q. Why? 3 as of June he was - Price & Marshall were Kroger's 4 A. Because all we did is pay and deduct 4 agents, correct? 5 rent. 5 A. Correct. 6 Q. At the time this was — Prior to it being 6 Q. And they had the authority to speak 7 sent out, you believed you looked at it; is that 7 8 right? A. On this transaction to buy this 8 9 MR. PHILLIPS: Objection. Go 9 property, yes, uh-huh. 10 ahead. 10 Q. But yet he's saying here this complex 11 A. I may have. structure provided enormous tax advantages to 11 Q. Well, do you recall asking Price to change 12 Kroger, and you don't agree with that statement, 13 any part of his cover letter or this summary before it 13 correct? 14 was sent ou t? 14 A. I don't know why he said that. I'm A. No. We had engaged him to negotiate a 15 not sure what those tax advantages were. 16 price and that's — we let him do that. 16 Q. Okay. Did you ever ask him?

17 (Pages 62 to 65)

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A. No.

Q. Did you care?

Q. Why is that?

A. At that time, no.

sentence, to be honest with you.

A. I don't think that I read that

Q. Do you typically allow people to send

things out on behalf of Kroger without reading

Q. He states in his letter of June 26th, 2000

MR. PHILLIPS: Retained. You said

MR. CINQUE: Retained. I thought I

(Off the record.)

18 Kroger has returned us to explore with Merrill

19 Lynch -

21 returned.

23 said retained. Off the record.

20

	Page 70)	Page 71
1	Have you seen that before today within the past 30	1	buy the property, obviously the price was a
2	days?	2	consideration?
3	A. Not in the past 30 days.	3	A. At the right price.
4	Q. Okay. Do you remember seeing this	4	Q. At the right price, okay.
5	document?	5	Now, under overview the second bullet
6	A. Yeah.	6	point states leave master lease and sandwich
7	Q. You're free to look at it.	7	position in place avoiding tax recapture of
8	A. Um-hmm.	8	deferred rent; do you know what that means?
9	Q. Now, did you discuss this with anyone	9	A. Not exactly.
10	at Price & Marshall at the time you saw it?	10	Q. Okay. Then let's look at advantages,
11	A. I assume this is the one they gave	11	the next page. Are these advantages, do you know
12	us. If this is the one they gave us, then, yes, I	12	whose advantages they were; in other words, were
13	would have. I can't tell that for sure, though. I	13	they Kroger's or Bulkhouse's or both?
14	know they gave us something similar to this.	14	A. This looks like it's Merrill Lynch's
15	Q. Do you know where this document —	15	advantages, not mine.
16	Take a look at the table of contents and maybe it	16	Q. Okay. Now, under the third bullet
17	will help refresh your memory, do you know whether		point on this page, advantages, it states master
18	this was prepared for the benefit of Kroger or was	18	lease stays in place, no outlay to acquire Malease
19	it prepared as a selling tool for Merrill Lynch and	19	Foods; do you see that?
20	Bulkhouse?	20	A. Um-hmm.
21	A. I don't know. February 2001 we had	21	Q. Did you regard that as an advantage
22	already decided to buy the property, so I'm not	22	in February of 2001, an advantage to Kroger?
23	sure. I don't recall what the purpose of it was.	23	A. To Kroger, no.
24	Q. Well, when you said you decided to	24	Q. Did you discuss this with Price or
_ '	Q. Well, when you said you decided to	27	Q. Did you discuss this with Trice of
	Page 72		Page 73
1	Butler at the time, this particular statement?	1	place — Withdrawn. I don't want to characterize
2	A. When you say a particular time, I	2	it.
3	can't say. I know we talked about potentially	3	Was Malease a consideration; was
4	offering to buy the lease out prior to the	4	Malease a significant consideration at any time
5	two-party agreement when that was effective, but	5	prior to the closing of this transaction?
6	Kroger would do that, though.	6	A. Not really.
7	Q. So what do you remember discussing?	7	Q. As of February 2001 were you
8	A. Whether or not we could do that	8	satisfied that the Kroger side of things had fully
9	earlier than later at some advantage price.	9	and adequately examined the legal status of
10	Q. So in other words when you say an	10	Malease?
11	"advantage price," advantage to Malease?	11	A. I'm not sure what you mean by "legal
12	A. Uh-huh.	12	status of Malease." I think we had reviewed our
13	Q. So Malease would receive a premium?	13	rights and obligations and were satisfied that we
14	A. More than just what was in the	14	could end up with clear title.
15	two-party agreement, because we're doing it sooner	15	Q. Maybe that was a bad question. I
16	and he would lose more rent and we'd compensate him	16	meant the position of Malease, whether it could be
17	for that.	17	dealt with prior to the closing or whether you had
18	Q. And do you recall any discussion	18	to wait?
19	about the feasibility of doing that as of February	19	A. We assumed we had to wait but we
20	2001?	20	thought we might be able to negotiate something at
21	A. Not really. We just talked around	21	the right time.
22	it.	22	Q. Was any effort made prior to July
23	Q. Is it fair to say that from February	23	24th, 2001 to negotiate with Malease -
24	right through July when the actual closing took	24	A. No.
			11. 110.

1	Page 74		Page 75
	Q. — to purchase this interest?	1	Q. Sure.
2	A. No.	2	Okay. Now on disadvantages, under
3	Q. Okay. Why?	3	the disadvantages we have the first bullet point
4	A. Not that I recall.	4	master lease stays in place, and it talks about
5	Q. Do you know why?	5	Malease remaining a party to the transaction for
6	A. I was getting on the cart before the	6	now. And it goes on to say but Kroger can buy out
7	horse.	7	Malease Foods in 2003 by exercising the Kroger
8	Q. What do you mean by that?	8	purchase option with itself?
9	A. We wanted to get the Bulkhouse	9	A. Um-hmm.
10	position first.	10	Q. Do you recall any discussion about
11 12	Q. And was there any discussion at any time up to the time of the closing on July 24th,	11 12	that?
13	2001 as to whether the position of Malease had to	13	MR. PHILLIPS: That being this statement?
14	be acquired at the same time as the accusation of	13	
15	the Bulkhouse interest?	15	MR. CINQUE: That statement I just read.
16	A. No.	16	BY MR. CINQUE:
17	Q. So that was never discussed one way		
18	or another?	17 18	A. Discussion with who?
19	A. (Nodding head.)		Q. Anyone, anyone?
20	O. No?	19 20	A. Probably with ourselves with maybe Jim or Tom O'Brien.
21	A. No.	21	Q. But that's sort of guessing. I'm
22	Q. You have to say it for the benefit of	22	saying do you have any memory in 2001 of discussing
23	our record.	23	that concept or that thought?
24	A. Sorry.	24	A. Yes.
	•	27	11. 103.
	Page 76		Page 77
1	Q. Okay. What do you remember	1	Q. Things have to be done at the same
2	discussing and with whom?	2	time simultaneously, anything like that?
	•	2	A. No.
3	A. What I said before. We had concluded	3	110
3 4	A. What I said before. We had concluded from reviewing the documents and discussions we had	3 4	Q. As it relates to Malease?
4	from reviewing the documents and discussions we had	4	Q. As it relates to Malease?
4 5	from reviewing the documents and discussions we had that Kroger had the right to buy the properties and	4 5	Q. As it relates to Malease?A. (Nodding head.)
4 5 6	from reviewing the documents and discussions we had that Kroger had the right to buy the properties and get free and clear title; therefore, the documents had to have a path in place that unwound every party of the transaction that took them out.	4 5 6	Q. As it relates to Malease?A. (Nodding head.)Q. Okay.
4 5 6 7	from reviewing the documents and discussions we had that Kroger had the right to buy the properties and get free and clear title; therefore, the documents had to have a path in place that unwound every party of the transaction that took them out. Q. During any of those discussions	4 5 6 7	Q. As it relates to Malease?A. (Nodding head.)Q. Okay.MR. PHILLIPS: You have to say no
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	from reviewing the documents and discussions we had that Kroger had the right to buy the properties and get free and clear title; therefore, the documents had to have a path in place that unwound every party of the transaction that took them out. Q. During any of those discussions leading up to the — prior to the time of the	4 5 6 7 8	 Q. As it relates to Malease? A. (Nodding head.) Q. Okay. MR. PHILLIPS: You have to say no for the record. A. No. Q. Okay. Exhibit D is a letter dated
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	from reviewing the documents and discussions we had that Kroger had the right to buy the properties and get free and clear title; therefore, the documents had to have a path in place that unwound every party of the transaction that took them out. Q. During any of those discussions leading up to the — prior to the time of the closing, do you recall anyone using the word	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. As it relates to Malease? A. (Nodding head.) Q. Okay. MR. PHILLIPS: You have to say no for the record. A. No. Q. Okay. Exhibit D is a letter dated February 15th, 2001 to Michael Lurie, L U RI E.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	from reviewing the documents and discussions we had that Kroger had the right to buy the properties and get free and clear title; therefore, the documents had to have a path in place that unwound every party of the transaction that took them out. Q. During any of those discussions leading up to the — prior to the time of the closing, do you recall anyone using the word simultaneously or some substitute or some similar	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. As it relates to Malease? A. (Nodding head.) Q. Okay. MR. PHILLIPS: You have to say no for the record. A. No. Q. Okay. Exhibit D is a letter dated February 15th, 2001 to Michael Lurie, L U RI E. Over in the left are the initials JDP, which is
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	from reviewing the documents and discussions we had that Kroger had the right to buy the properties and get free and clear title; therefore, the documents had to have a path in place that unwound every party of the transaction that took them out. Q. During any of those discussions leading up to the — prior to the time of the closing, do you recall anyone using the word simultaneously or some substitute or some similar word?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. As it relates to Malease? A. (Nodding head.) Q. Okay. MR. PHILLIPS: You have to say no for the record. A. No. Q. Okay. Exhibit D is a letter dated February 15th, 2001 to Michael Lurie, L U RI E. Over in the left are the initials JDP, which is Price. And specifically this letter makes an offer
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	from reviewing the documents and discussions we had that Kroger had the right to buy the properties and get free and clear title; therefore, the documents had to have a path in place that unwound every party of the transaction that took them out. Q. During any of those discussions leading up to the — prior to the time of the closing, do you recall anyone using the word simultaneously or some substitute or some similar word? MR. PHILLIPS: That's got to be the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. As it relates to Malease? A. (Nodding head.) Q. Okay. MR. PHILLIPS: You have to say no for the record. A. No. Q. Okay. Exhibit D is a letter dated February 15th, 2001 to Michael Lurie, L U RI E. Over in the left are the initials JDP, which is Price. And specifically this letter makes an offer of \$8,700,000 cash plus an assumption of \$18.3
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	from reviewing the documents and discussions we had that Kroger had the right to buy the properties and get free and clear title; therefore, the documents had to have a path in place that unwound every party of the transaction that took them out. Q. During any of those discussions leading up to the — prior to the time of the closing, do you recall anyone using the word simultaneously or some substitute or some similar word? MR. PHILLIPS: That's got to be the sixth time you've asked that question, Bob. So you	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. As it relates to Malease? A. (Nodding head.) Q. Okay. MR. PHILLIPS: You have to say no for the record. A. No. Q. Okay. Exhibit D is a letter dated February 15th, 2001 to Michael Lurie, L U RI E. Over in the left are the initials JDP, which is Price. And specifically this letter makes an offer of \$8,700,000 cash plus an assumption of \$18.3 million of debt; do you see that?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	from reviewing the documents and discussions we had that Kroger had the right to buy the properties and get free and clear title; therefore, the documents had to have a path in place that unwound every party of the transaction that took them out. Q. During any of those discussions leading up to the — prior to the time of the closing, do you recall anyone using the word simultaneously or some substitute or some similar word? MR. PHILLIPS: That's got to be the sixth time you've asked that question, Bob. So you can answer it again.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. As it relates to Malease? A. (Nodding head.) Q. Okay. MR. PHILLIPS: You have to say no for the record. A. No. Q. Okay. Exhibit D is a letter dated February 15th, 2001 to Michael Lurie, L U RI E. Over in the left are the initials JDP, which is Price. And specifically this letter makes an offer of \$8,700,000 cash plus an assumption of \$18.3 million of debt; do you see that? A. Um-hmm.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	from reviewing the documents and discussions we had that Kroger had the right to buy the properties and get free and clear title; therefore, the documents had to have a path in place that unwound every party of the transaction that took them out. Q. During any of those discussions leading up to the — prior to the time of the closing, do you recall anyone using the word simultaneously or some substitute or some similar word? MR. PHILLIPS: That's got to be the sixth time you've asked that question, Bob. So you can answer it again. MR. CINQUE: I don't think it is.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. As it relates to Malease? A. (Nodding head.) Q. Okay. MR. PHILLIPS: You have to say no for the record. A. No. Q. Okay. Exhibit D is a letter dated February 15th, 2001 to Michael Lurie, L U RI E. Over in the left are the initials JDP, which is Price. And specifically this letter makes an offer of \$8,700,000 cash plus an assumption of \$18.3 million of debt; do you see that? A. Um-hmm. Q. You authorized that offer to be made?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	from reviewing the documents and discussions we had that Kroger had the right to buy the properties and get free and clear title; therefore, the documents had to have a path in place that unwound every party of the transaction that took them out. Q. During any of those discussions leading up to the — prior to the time of the closing, do you recall anyone using the word simultaneously or some substitute or some similar word? MR. PHILLIPS: That's got to be the sixth time you've asked that question, Bob. So you can answer it again. MR. CINQUE: I don't think it is. If it is, I think it's important.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. As it relates to Malease? A. (Nodding head.) Q. Okay. MR. PHILLIPS: You have to say no for the record. A. No. Q. Okay. Exhibit D is a letter dated February 15th, 2001 to Michael Lurie, L U RI E. Over in the left are the initials JDP, which is Price. And specifically this letter makes an offer of \$8,700,000 cash plus an assumption of \$18.3 million of debt; do you see that? A. Um-hmm. Q. You authorized that offer to be made? A. I certainly did.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	from reviewing the documents and discussions we had that Kroger had the right to buy the properties and get free and clear title; therefore, the documents had to have a path in place that unwound every party of the transaction that took them out. Q. During any of those discussions leading up to the — prior to the time of the closing, do you recall anyone using the word simultaneously or some substitute or some similar word? MR. PHILLIPS: That's got to be the sixth time you've asked that question, Bob. So you can answer it again. MR. CINQUE: I don't think it is. If it is, I think it's important. BY MR. CINQUE:	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. As it relates to Malease? A. (Nodding head.) Q. Okay. MR. PHILLIPS: You have to say no for the record. A. No. Q. Okay. Exhibit D is a letter dated February 15th, 2001 to Michael Lurie, L U RI E. Over in the left are the initials JDP, which is Price. And specifically this letter makes an offer of \$8,700,000 cash plus an assumption of \$18.3 million of debt; do you see that? A. Um-hmm. Q. You authorized that offer to be made? A. I certainly did. Q. Were you the person at Kroger who hac
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	from reviewing the documents and discussions we had that Kroger had the right to buy the properties and get free and clear title; therefore, the documents had to have a path in place that unwound every party of the transaction that took them out. Q. During any of those discussions leading up to the — prior to the time of the closing, do you recall anyone using the word simultaneously or some substitute or some similar word? MR. PHILLIPS: That's got to be the sixth time you've asked that question, Bob. So you can answer it again. MR. CINQUE: I don't think it is. If it is, I think it's important. BY MR. CINQUE: Q. At any time up to the time of the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. As it relates to Malease? A. (Nodding head.) Q. Okay. MR. PHILLIPS: You have to say no for the record. A. No. Q. Okay. Exhibit D is a letter dated February 15th, 2001 to Michael Lurie, L U RI E. Over in the left are the initials JDP, which is Price. And specifically this letter makes an offer of \$8,700,000 cash plus an assumption of \$18.3 million of debt; do you see that? A. Um-hmm. Q. You authorized that offer to be made? A. I certainly did. Q. Were you the person at Kroger who hac the final say on authorizing that or did that
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	from reviewing the documents and discussions we had that Kroger had the right to buy the properties and get free and clear title; therefore, the documents had to have a path in place that unwound every party of the transaction that took them out. Q. During any of those discussions leading up to the — prior to the time of the closing, do you recall anyone using the word simultaneously or some substitute or some similar word? MR. PHILLIPS: That's got to be the sixth time you've asked that question, Bob. So you can answer it again. MR. CINQUE: I don't think it is. If it is, I think it's important. BY MR. CINQUE: Q. At any time up to the time of the closing?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. As it relates to Malease? A. (Nodding head.) Q. Okay. MR. PHILLIPS: You have to say no for the record. A. No. Q. Okay. Exhibit D is a letter dated February 15th, 2001 to Michael Lurie, L U RI E. Over in the left are the initials JDP, which is Price. And specifically this letter makes an offer of \$8,700,000 cash plus an assumption of \$18.3 million of debt; do you see that? A. Um-hmm. Q. You authorized that offer to be made? A. I certainly did. Q. Were you the person at Kroger who hac the final say on authorizing that or did that require Board approval?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	from reviewing the documents and discussions we had that Kroger had the right to buy the properties and get free and clear title; therefore, the documents had to have a path in place that unwound every party of the transaction that took them out. Q. During any of those discussions leading up to the — prior to the time of the closing, do you recall anyone using the word simultaneously or some substitute or some similar word? MR. PHILLIPS: That's got to be the sixth time you've asked that question, Bob. So you can answer it again. MR. CINQUE: I don't think it is. If it is, I think it's important. BY MR. CINQUE: Q. At any time up to the time of the closing? A. No.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. As it relates to Malease? A. (Nodding head.) Q. Okay. MR. PHILLIPS: You have to say no for the record. A. No. Q. Okay. Exhibit D is a letter dated February 15th, 2001 to Michael Lurie, L U RI E. Over in the left are the initials JDP, which is Price. And specifically this letter makes an offer of \$8,700,000 cash plus an assumption of \$18.3 million of debt; do you see that? A. Um-hmm. Q. You authorized that offer to be made? A. I certainly did. Q. Were you the person at Kroger who hac the final say on authorizing that or did that require Board approval? A. It didn't require Board approval. It
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	from reviewing the documents and discussions we had that Kroger had the right to buy the properties and get free and clear title; therefore, the documents had to have a path in place that unwound every party of the transaction that took them out. Q. During any of those discussions leading up to the — prior to the time of the closing, do you recall anyone using the word simultaneously or some substitute or some similar word? MR. PHILLIPS: That's got to be the sixth time you've asked that question, Bob. So you can answer it again. MR. CINQUE: I don't think it is. If it is, I think it's important. BY MR. CINQUE: Q. At any time up to the time of the closing? A. No. Q. No recollection?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. As it relates to Malease? A. (Nodding head.) Q. Okay. MR. PHILLIPS: You have to say no for the record. A. No. Q. Okay. Exhibit D is a letter dated February 15th, 2001 to Michael Lurie, L U RI E. Over in the left are the initials JDP, which is Price. And specifically this letter makes an offer of \$8,700,000 cash plus an assumption of \$18.3 million of debt; do you see that? A. Um-hmm. Q. You authorized that offer to be made? A. I certainly did. Q. Were you the person at Kroger who hac the final say on authorizing that or did that require Board approval? A. It didn't require Board approval. It required I had to review it with my superiors,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	from reviewing the documents and discussions we had that Kroger had the right to buy the properties and get free and clear title; therefore, the documents had to have a path in place that unwound every party of the transaction that took them out. Q. During any of those discussions leading up to the — prior to the time of the closing, do you recall anyone using the word simultaneously or some substitute or some similar word? MR. PHILLIPS: That's got to be the sixth time you've asked that question, Bob. So you can answer it again. MR. CINQUE: I don't think it is. If it is, I think it's important. BY MR. CINQUE: Q. At any time up to the time of the closing? A. No.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. As it relates to Malease? A. (Nodding head.) Q. Okay. MR. PHILLIPS: You have to say no for the record. A. No. Q. Okay. Exhibit D is a letter dated February 15th, 2001 to Michael Lurie, L U RI E. Over in the left are the initials JDP, which is Price. And specifically this letter makes an offer of \$8,700,000 cash plus an assumption of \$18.3 million of debt; do you see that? A. Um-hmm. Q. You authorized that offer to be made? A. I certainly did. Q. Were you the person at Kroger who hac the final say on authorizing that or did that require Board approval? A. It didn't require Board approval. It

	Page 78		Page 79
1	Q. Who is that?	1	these properties by July 1st; do you agree with
2	A. Was that Mike or Rodney then? It was	2	that?
3	Mike Schlotman or Rodney McMullen, they changed.	3	MR. PHILLIPS: Does he agree that's
4	Probably reviewed it with both of them, though.	4	what it says?
5	Q. Okay. Now, the statement is made in	5	MR. CINQUE: Do you think that's the
6	the second paragraph, last sentence, the economics	6 7	question? MR. PHILLIPS: I don't know what the
7	of our offer has a window of 120 days and needs to	8	question is, Bob. That's why I asked.
8	close on or before July 1st, 2001. Do you know	9	MR. CINQUE: I bet he can answer it
9	whose economics he's talking about?	10	better than that.
10	A. No.	11	BY MR. CINQUE:
11	Q. As far as you knew back in February	12	~
12	of 2001, was July 1st a critical date from the	13	Q. Go ahead. Do you agree with it?
13	point of view of the economics of Kroger?	13	A. Do I agree with this, the last sentence?
14	A. Not terrible.	15	Q. Yes. With your lawyer's
15	Q. Did you ever ask Price what he meant	16	qualification believe it or not. He wants to know
16	by that sentence?	17	first if you can read.
17	A. Can't say that I did, no.	18	A. Yeah.
18	Q. Okay. It goes on to say the offer is	19	Q. I think we all agree you can read,
19	timely to us, because we're currently reviewing our	20	right?
20	5-year capital expenditures, et cetera; do you see	21	A. Yes.
21	that?	22	Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you if
22	A. Yes.	23	you agree with the statement as made?
23	Q. It goes on to say it's important in	24	A. It had some importance, sure.
24	our analysis to know whether we will be able to own		r
	Page 80		Page 81
1	Q. You just told me a minute ago that it	1	Page 81 MR. CINQUE: I beg to differ. We'll
1 2	-	1 2	~
	Q. You just told me a minute ago that it		MR. CINQUE: I beg to differ. We'll
2	Q. You just told me a minute ago that it didn't really make that much difference as far as	2	MR. CINQUE: I beg to differ. We'll do it again.
2 3	Q. You just told me a minute ago that it didn't really make that much difference as far as this July 1st, 2001 date? A. It wasn't walk away critical, but, like I said before, once you decide to buy	2 3	MR. CINQUE: I beg to differ. We'll do it again. BY MR. CINQUE:
2 3 4	Q. You just told me a minute ago that it didn't really make that much difference as far as this July 1st, 2001 date? A. It wasn't walk away critical, but, like I said before, once you decide to buy something the sooner the better.	2 3 4	MR. CINQUE: I beg to differ. We'll do it again. BY MR. CINQUE: Q. You see the sentence the economics of
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. You just told me a minute ago that it didn't really make that much difference as far as this July 1st, 2001 date? A. It wasn't walk away critical, but, like I said before, once you decide to buy something the sooner the better. Q. Well, that's not what he says, is it,	2 3 4 5	MR. CINQUE: I beg to differ. We'll do it again. BY MR. CINQUE: Q. You see the sentence the economics of our offer has a window of 120 days, and we touched
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. You just told me a minute ago that it didn't really make that much difference as far as this July 1st, 2001 date? A. It wasn't walk away critical, but, like I said before, once you decide to buy something the sooner the better. Q. Well, that's not what he says, is it, though? He seems to be talking about reviewing our	2 3 4 5 6	MR. CINQUE: I beg to differ. We'll do it again. BY MR. CINQUE: Q. You see the sentence the economics of our offer has a window of 120 days, and we touched on that earlier, right? A. And all of these negotiates time kills deals. We usually try to set a window that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. You just told me a minute ago that it didn't really make that much difference as far as this July 1st, 2001 date? A. It wasn't walk away critical, but, like I said before, once you decide to buy something the sooner the better. Q. Well, that's not what he says, is it, though? He seems to be talking about reviewing our S-year capital expenditures, et cetera, new	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. CINQUE: I beg to differ. We'll do it again. BY MR. CINQUE: Q. You see the sentence the economics of our offer has a window of 120 days, and we touched on that earlier, right? A. And all of these negotiates time
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. You just told me a minute ago that it didn't really make that much difference as far as this July 1st, 2001 date? A. It wasn't walk away critical, but, like I said before, once you decide to buy something the sooner the better. Q. Well, that's not what he says, is it, though? He seems to be talking about reviewing our S-year capital expenditures, et cetera, new facilities?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. CINQUE: I beg to differ. We'll do it again. BY MR. CINQUE: Q. You see the sentence the economics of our offer has a window of 120 days, and we touched on that earlier, right? A. And all of these negotiates time kills deals. We usually try to set a window that we're going to do it by so it doesn't drag out forever. That's not unusual in any kind of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. You just told me a minute ago that it didn't really make that much difference as far as this July 1st, 2001 date? A. It wasn't walk away critical, but, like I said before, once you decide to buy something the sooner the better. Q. Well, that's not what he says, is it, though? He seems to be talking about reviewing our S-year capital expenditures, et cetera, new facilities? MR. PHILLIPS: We'll stipulate what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. CINQUE: I beg to differ. We'll do it again. BY MR. CINQUE: Q. You see the sentence the economics of our offer has a window of 120 days, and we touched on that earlier, right? A. And all of these negotiates time kills deals. We usually try to set a window that we're going to do it by so it doesn't drag out forever. That's not unusual in any kind of negotiation like this.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. You just told me a minute ago that it didn't really make that much difference as far as this July 1st, 2001 date? A. It wasn't walk away critical, but, like I said before, once you decide to buy something the sooner the better. Q. Well, that's not what he says, is it, though? He seems to be talking about reviewing our S-year capital expenditures, et cetera, new facilities? MR. PHILLIPS: We'll stipulate what the letter says.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. CINQUE: I beg to differ. We'll do it again. BY MR. CINQUE: Q. You see the sentence the economics of our offer has a window of 120 days, and we touched on that earlier, right? A. And all of these negotiates time kills deals. We usually try to set a window that we're going to do it by so it doesn't drag out forever. That's not unusual in any kind of negotiation like this. Q. So are you telling me that in your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. You just told me a minute ago that it didn't really make that much difference as far as this July 1st, 2001 date? A. It wasn't walk away critical, but, like I said before, once you decide to buy something the sooner the better. Q. Well, that's not what he says, is it, though? He seems to be talking about reviewing our S-year capital expenditures, et cetera, new facilities? MR. PHILLIPS: We'll stipulate what the letter says. Q. So it was important then, the date of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. CINQUE: I beg to differ. We'll do it again. BY MR. CINQUE: Q. You see the sentence the economics of our offer has a window of 120 days, and we touched on that earlier, right? A. And all of these negotiates time kills deals. We usually try to set a window that we're going to do it by so it doesn't drag out forever. That's not unusual in any kind of negotiation like this. Q. So are you telling me that in your judgement this was a device to move the thing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. You just told me a minute ago that it didn't really make that much difference as far as this July 1st, 2001 date? A. It wasn't walk away critical, but, like I said before, once you decide to buy something the sooner the better. Q. Well, that's not what he says, is it, though? He seems to be talking about reviewing our S-year capital expenditures, et cetera, new facilities? MR. PHILLIPS: We'll stipulate what the letter says. Q. So it was important then, the date of July 1st was important from the point of view of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. CINQUE: I beg to differ. We'll do it again. BY MR. CINQUE: Q. You see the sentence the economics of our offer has a window of 120 days, and we touched on that earlier, right? A. And all of these negotiates time kills deals. We usually try to set a window that we're going to do it by so it doesn't drag out forever. That's not unusual in any kind of negotiation like this. Q. So are you telling me that in your judgement this was a device to move the thing along?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. You just told me a minute ago that it didn't really make that much difference as far as this July 1st, 2001 date? A. It wasn't walk away critical, but, like I said before, once you decide to buy something the sooner the better. Q. Well, that's not what he says, is it, though? He seems to be talking about reviewing our S-year capital expenditures, et cetera, new facilities? MR. PHILLIPS: We'll stipulate what the letter says. Q. So it was important then, the date of July 1st was important from the point of view of your economic analysis, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. CINQUE: I beg to differ. We'll do it again. BY MR. CINQUE: Q. You see the sentence the economics of our offer has a window of 120 days, and we touched on that earlier, right? A. And all of these negotiates time kills deals. We usually try to set a window that we're going to do it by so it doesn't drag out forever. That's not unusual in any kind of negotiation like this. Q. So are you telling me that in your judgement this was a device to move the thing along? A. Sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. You just told me a minute ago that it didn't really make that much difference as far as this July 1st, 2001 date? A. It wasn't walk away critical, but, like I said before, once you decide to buy something the sooner the better. Q. Well, that's not what he says, is it, though? He seems to be talking about reviewing our S-year capital expenditures, et cetera, new facilities? MR. PHILLIPS: We'll stipulate what the letter says. Q. So it was important then, the date of July 1st was important from the point of view of your economic analysis, correct? MR. PHILLIPS: He just testified to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. CINQUE: I beg to differ. We'll do it again. BY MR. CINQUE: Q. You see the sentence the economics of our offer has a window of 120 days, and we touched on that earlier, right? A. And all of these negotiates time kills deals. We usually try to set a window that we're going to do it by so it doesn't drag out forever. That's not unusual in any kind of negotiation like this. Q. So are you telling me that in your judgement this was a device to move the thing along? A. Sure. Q. So it really didn't mean what he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. You just told me a minute ago that it didn't really make that much difference as far as this July 1st, 2001 date? A. It wasn't walk away critical, but, like I said before, once you decide to buy something the sooner the better. Q. Well, that's not what he says, is it, though? He seems to be talking about reviewing our S-year capital expenditures, et cetera, new facilities? MR. PHILLIPS: We'll stipulate what the letter says. Q. So it was important then, the date of July 1st was important from the point of view of your economic analysis, correct? MR. PHILLIPS: He just testified to the opposite of that, Bob.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. CINQUE: I beg to differ. We'll do it again. BY MR. CINQUE: Q. You see the sentence the economics of our offer has a window of 120 days, and we touched on that earlier, right? A. And all of these negotiates time kills deals. We usually try to set a window that we're going to do it by so it doesn't drag out forever. That's not unusual in any kind of negotiation like this. Q. So are you telling me that in your judgement this was a device to move the thing along? A. Sure. Q. So it really didn't mean what he said, it was just a method trying to stimulate the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. You just told me a minute ago that it didn't really make that much difference as far as this July 1st, 2001 date? A. It wasn't walk away critical, but, like I said before, once you decide to buy something the sooner the better. Q. Well, that's not what he says, is it, though? He seems to be talking about reviewing our S-year capital expenditures, et cetera, new facilities? MR. PHILLIPS: We'll stipulate what the letter says. Q. So it was important then, the date of July 1st was important from the point of view of your economic analysis, correct? MR. PHILLIPS: He just testified to the opposite of that, Bob. MR. CINQUE: You tell me. He said	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. CINQUE: I beg to differ. We'll do it again. BY MR. CINQUE: Q. You see the sentence the economics of our offer has a window of 120 days, and we touched on that earlier, right? A. And all of these negotiates time kills deals. We usually try to set a window that we're going to do it by so it doesn't drag out forever. That's not unusual in any kind of negotiation like this. Q. So are you telling me that in your judgement this was a device to move the thing along? A. Sure. Q. So it really didn't mean what he said, it was just a method trying to stimulate the other side?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. You just told me a minute ago that it didn't really make that much difference as far as this July 1st, 2001 date? A. It wasn't walk away critical, but, like I said before, once you decide to buy something the sooner the better. Q. Well, that's not what he says, is it, though? He seems to be talking about reviewing our S-year capital expenditures, et cetera, new facilities? MR. PHILLIPS: We'll stipulate what the letter says. Q. So it was important then, the date of July 1st was important from the point of view of your economic analysis, correct? MR. PHILLIPS: He just testified to the opposite of that, Bob. MR. CINQUE: You tell me. He said both I think.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. CINQUE: I beg to differ. We'll do it again. BY MR. CINQUE: Q. You see the sentence the economics of our offer has a window of 120 days, and we touched on that earlier, right? A. And all of these negotiates time kills deals. We usually try to set a window that we're going to do it by so it doesn't drag out forever. That's not unusual in any kind of negotiation like this. Q. So are you telling me that in your judgement this was a device to move the thing along? A. Sure. Q. So it really didn't mean what he said, it was just a method trying to stimulate the other side? A. Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. You just told me a minute ago that it didn't really make that much difference as far as this July 1st, 2001 date? A. It wasn't walk away critical, but, like I said before, once you decide to buy something the sooner the better. Q. Well, that's not what he says, is it, though? He seems to be talking about reviewing our S-year capital expenditures, et cetera, new facilities? MR. PHILLIPS: We'll stipulate what the letter says. Q. So it was important then, the date of July 1st was important from the point of view of your economic analysis, correct? MR. PHILLIPS: He just testified to the opposite of that, Bob. MR. CINQUE: You tell me. He said both I think. THE WITNESS: I said the sooner the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. CINQUE: I beg to differ. We'll do it again. BY MR. CINQUE: Q. You see the sentence the economics of our offer has a window of 120 days, and we touched on that earlier, right? A. And all of these negotiates time kills deals. We usually try to set a window that we're going to do it by so it doesn't drag out forever. That's not unusual in any kind of negotiation like this. Q. So are you telling me that in your judgement this was a device to move the thing along? A. Sure. Q. So it really didn't mean what he said, it was just a method trying to stimulate the other side? A. Right. MR. CINQUE: Okay. Why don't we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. You just told me a minute ago that it didn't really make that much difference as far as this July 1st, 2001 date? A. It wasn't walk away critical, but, like I said before, once you decide to buy something the sooner the better. Q. Well, that's not what he says, is it, though? He seems to be talking about reviewing our S-year capital expenditures, et cetera, new facilities? MR. PHILLIPS: We'll stipulate what the letter says. Q. So it was important then, the date of July 1st was important from the point of view of your economic analysis, correct? MR. PHILLIPS: He just testified to the opposite of that, Bob. MR. CINQUE: You tell me. He said both I think. THE WITNESS: I said the sooner the better.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. CINQUE: I beg to differ. We'll do it again. BY MR. CINQUE: Q. You see the sentence the economics of our offer has a window of 120 days, and we touched on that earlier, right? A. And all of these negotiates time kills deals. We usually try to set a window that we're going to do it by so it doesn't drag out forever. That's not unusual in any kind of negotiation like this. Q. So are you telling me that in your judgement this was a device to move the thing along? A. Sure. Q. So it really didn't mean what he said, it was just a method trying to stimulate the other side? A. Right. MR. CINQUE: Okay. Why don't we mark as.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. You just told me a minute ago that it didn't really make that much difference as far as this July 1st, 2001 date? A. It wasn't walk away critical, but, like I said before, once you decide to buy something the sooner the better. Q. Well, that's not what he says, is it, though? He seems to be talking about reviewing our S-year capital expenditures, et cetera, new facilities? MR. PHILLIPS: We'll stipulate what the letter says. Q. So it was important then, the date of July 1st was important from the point of view of your economic analysis, correct? MR. PHILLIPS: He just testified to the opposite of that, Bob. MR. CINQUE: You tell me. He said both I think. THE WITNESS: I said the sooner the better. MR. PHILLIPS: I think you said one	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. CINQUE: I beg to differ. We'll do it again. BY MR. CINQUE: Q. You see the sentence the economics of our offer has a window of 120 days, and we touched on that earlier, right? A. And all of these negotiates time kills deals. We usually try to set a window that we're going to do it by so it doesn't drag out forever. That's not unusual in any kind of negotiation like this. Q. So are you telling me that in your judgement this was a device to move the thing along? A. Sure. Q. So it really didn't mean what he said, it was just a method trying to stimulate the other side? A. Right. MR. CINQUE: Okay. Why don't we mark as. (Deposition Exhibit T was marked for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. You just told me a minute ago that it didn't really make that much difference as far as this July 1st, 2001 date? A. It wasn't walk away critical, but, like I said before, once you decide to buy something the sooner the better. Q. Well, that's not what he says, is it, though? He seems to be talking about reviewing our S-year capital expenditures, et cetera, new facilities? MR. PHILLIPS: We'll stipulate what the letter says. Q. So it was important then, the date of July 1st was important from the point of view of your economic analysis, correct? MR. PHILLIPS: He just testified to the opposite of that, Bob. MR. CINQUE: You tell me. He said both I think. THE WITNESS: I said the sooner the better.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. CINQUE: I beg to differ. We'll do it again. BY MR. CINQUE: Q. You see the sentence the economics of our offer has a window of 120 days, and we touched on that earlier, right? A. And all of these negotiates time kills deals. We usually try to set a window that we're going to do it by so it doesn't drag out forever. That's not unusual in any kind of negotiation like this. Q. So are you telling me that in your judgement this was a device to move the thing along? A. Sure. Q. So it really didn't mean what he said, it was just a method trying to stimulate the other side? A. Right. MR. CINQUE: Okay. Why don't we mark as.

Page 83

1	Q. Are you familiar with Exhibit T?	1	Q. You approved the \$100,000 payment to
2	A. It looks familiar.	2	Price & Marshall, correct?
3	Q. Am I correct basically that this is	3	A. Correct.
		4	Q. Okay. And had you approved the
4	on the Bulkhouse transaction, it's Jim Hodge's	5	
5	communication and he's asking Jen on July 25th		payment before or after the closing?
6	2001 to initiate a payment to Price & Marshall oi	6	A. I would have thought we had done it
7	\$100,000 for its fee on the Bulkhouse deal, right?	7	after closing.
8	A. Well, I'm not sure which paragraph	8	Q. Okay. In any event Price & Marshall
9	you're talking about. It's actually my	9	did receive \$100,000, right?
10	communication on July 27th, the most recent one,	10	A. Correct.
11	the one on the top.	11	Q. And they received it in August; is
12	Q. Okay. I'm looking at the July 25th,	12	that right?
13	I took it in date order.	13	A. It would have been after closing I
14	MR. PHILLIPS: There's three.	14	would think.
15	THE WITNESS: There's 23, 25 and 27.	15	Q. Just take a look at Exhibit N.
16	MR. PHILLIPS: There's three	16	A. August 22nd, I would have sent it the
17	communications on the 25th.	17	day after I got that check, uh-huh.
18	MR. CINQUE: The one right in the	18	Q. Okay. I'll take that from you.
19	middle.	19	Okay. Now, the closing occurred on
20	MR. PHILLIPS: The one at 9:33?	20	July 24th, 2001, correct? I'll show you.
21	THE WITNESS: Author Jim Hodge?	21	A. If you say so. I'd have to look at
22	MR. CINQUE: Sure, right.	22	the records. That sounds familiar.
23	BY MR. CINQUE:	23	Q. July 24th?
24	A. Okay.	24	A. Okay.
1	Page 84	1	Page 85
1	Q. Just fixing a day. Now, if you'll	1	A. Okay. We had rent obligations that
2	Q. Just fixing a day. Now, if you'll please take a look at this, it is going to be U.	2	A. Okay. We had rent obligations that we had already been obligated to pay, and by buying
2 3	Q. Just fixing a day. Now, if you'll please take a look at this, it is going to be U. (Deposition Exhibit U was marked for	2 3	A. Okay. We had rent obligations that we had already been obligated to pay, and by buying out the Bulkhouse position we're simply converting
2 3 4	Q. Just fixing a day. Now, if you'll please take a look at this, it is going to be U. (Deposition Exhibit U was marked for identification.)	2 3 4	A. Okay. We had rent obligations that we had already been obligated to pay, and by buying out the Bulkhouse position we're simply converting our rent obligations to mortgage obligations.
2 3 4 5	Q. Just fixing a day. Now, if you'll please take a look at this, it is going to be U. (Deposition Exhibit U was marked for identification.) BY MR. CINQUE:	2 3 4 5	A. Okay. We had rent obligations that we had already been obligated to pay, and by buying out the Bulkhouse position we're simply converting our rent obligations to mortgage obligations. That's what I'm trying to say.
2 3 4 5 6	Q. Just fixing a day. Now, if you'll please take a look at this, it is going to be U. (Deposition Exhibit U was marked for identification.) BY MR. CINQUE: Q. Okay. Exhibit U is a letter on	2 3 4 5 6	A. Okay. We had rent obligations that we had already been obligated to pay, and by buying out the Bulkhouse position we're simply converting our rent obligations to mortgage obligations. That's what I'm trying to say. Q. Okay. And was that beneficial to
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Just fixing a day. Now, if you'll please take a look at this, it is going to be U. (Deposition Exhibit U was marked for identification.) BY MR. CINQUE: Q. Okay. Exhibit U is a letter on Kroger stationery?	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Okay. We had rent obligations that we had already been obligated to pay, and by buying out the Bulkhouse position we're simply converting our rent obligations to mortgage obligations. That's what I'm trying to say. Q. Okay. And was that beneficial to Kroger in some way?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Just fixing a day. Now, if you'll please take a look at this, it is going to be U. (Deposition Exhibit U was marked for identification.) BY MR. CINQUE: Q. Okay. Exhibit U is a letter on Kroger stationery? MR. PHILLIPS: Do you want him to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Okay. We had rent obligations that we had already been obligated to pay, and by buying out the Bulkhouse position we're simply converting our rent obligations to mortgage obligations. That's what I'm trying to say. Q. Okay. And was that beneficial to Kroger in some way? A. It wasn't so much beneficial as it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Just fixing a day. Now, if you'll please take a look at this, it is going to be U. (Deposition Exhibit U was marked for identification.) BY MR. CINQUE: Q. Okay. Exhibit U is a letter on Kroger stationery? MR. PHILLIPS: Do you want him to look at the one that's been marked? He doesn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Okay. We had rent obligations that we had already been obligated to pay, and by buying out the Bulkhouse position we're simply converting our rent obligations to mortgage obligations. That's what I'm trying to say. Q. Okay. And was that beneficial to Kroger in some way? A. It wasn't so much beneficial as it was neutral.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Just fixing a day. Now, if you'll please take a look at this, it is going to be U. (Deposition Exhibit U was marked for identification.) BY MR. CINQUE: Q. Okay. Exhibit U is a letter on Kroger stationery? MR. PHILLIPS: Do you want him to look at the one that's been marked? He doesn't have it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Okay. We had rent obligations that we had already been obligated to pay, and by buying out the Bulkhouse position we're simply converting our rent obligations to mortgage obligations. That's what I'm trying to say. Q. Okay. And was that beneficial to Kroger in some way? A. It wasn't so much beneficial as it was neutral. Q. So then why comment upon it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. Just fixing a day. Now, if you'll please take a look at this, it is going to be U. (Deposition Exhibit U was marked for identification.) BY MR. CINQUE: Q. Okay. Exhibit U is a letter on Kroger stationery? MR. PHILLIPS: Do you want him to look at the one that's been marked? He doesn't have it. MR. CINQUE: He can use that one.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Okay. We had rent obligations that we had already been obligated to pay, and by buying out the Bulkhouse position we're simply converting our rent obligations to mortgage obligations. That's what I'm trying to say. Q. Okay. And was that beneficial to Kroger in some way? A. It wasn't so much beneficial as it was neutral. Q. So then why comment upon it? A. Because the actual CA said \$30
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. Just fixing a day. Now, if you'll please take a look at this, it is going to be U. (Deposition Exhibit U was marked for identification.) BY MR. CINQUE: Q. Okay. Exhibit U is a letter on Kroger stationery? MR. PHILLIPS: Do you want him to look at the one that's been marked? He doesn't have it. MR. CINQUE: He can use that one. BY MR. CINQUE:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Okay. We had rent obligations that we had already been obligated to pay, and by buying out the Bulkhouse position we're simply converting our rent obligations to mortgage obligations. That's what I'm trying to say. Q. Okay. And was that beneficial to Kroger in some way? A. It wasn't so much beneficial as it was neutral. Q. So then why comment upon it? A. Because the actual CA said \$30 million, and I wanted to make them clear we weren't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Just fixing a day. Now, if you'll please take a look at this, it is going to be U. (Deposition Exhibit U was marked for identification.) BY MR. CINQUE: Q. Okay. Exhibit U is a letter on Kroger stationery? MR. PHILLIPS: Do you want him to look at the one that's been marked? He doesn't have it. MR. CINQUE: He can use that one. BY MR. CINQUE: Q. July 30th, 2001 your letter for your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Okay. We had rent obligations that we had already been obligated to pay, and by buying out the Bulkhouse position we're simply converting our rent obligations to mortgage obligations. That's what I'm trying to say. Q. Okay. And was that beneficial to Kroger in some way? A. It wasn't so much beneficial as it was neutral. Q. So then why comment upon it? A. Because the actual CA said \$30 million, and I wanted to make them clear we weren't writing a check for S30 million.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Just fixing a day. Now, if you'll please take a look at this, it is going to be U. (Deposition Exhibit U was marked for identification.) BY MR. CINQUE: Q. Okay. Exhibit U is a letter on Kroger stationery? MR. PHILLIPS: Do you want him to look at the one that's been marked? He doesn't have it. MR. CINQUE: He can use that one. BY MR. CINQUE: Q. July 30th, 2001 your letter for your memo, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Okay. We had rent obligations that we had already been obligated to pay, and by buying out the Bulkhouse position we're simply converting our rent obligations to mortgage obligations. That's what I'm trying to say. Q. Okay. And was that beneficial to Kroger in some way? A. It wasn't so much beneficial as it was neutral. Q. So then why comment upon it? A. Because the actual CA said \$30 million, and I wanted to make them clear we weren't writing a check for S30 million. Q. Okay. Now, Exhibit Q. Exhibit Q is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Just fixing a day. Now, if you'll please take a look at this, it is going to be U. (Deposition Exhibit U was marked for identification.) BY MR. CINQUE: Q. Okay. Exhibit U is a letter on Kroger stationery? MR. PHILLIPS: Do you want him to look at the one that's been marked? He doesn't have it. MR. CINQUE: He can use that one. BY MR. CINQUE: Q. July 30th, 2001 your letter for your memo, right? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Okay. We had rent obligations that we had already been obligated to pay, and by buying out the Bulkhouse position we're simply converting our rent obligations to mortgage obligations. That's what I'm trying to say. Q. Okay. And was that beneficial to Kroger in some way? A. It wasn't so much beneficial as it was neutral. Q. So then why comment upon it? A. Because the actual CA said \$30 million, and I wanted to make them clear we weren't writing a check for S30 million. Q. Okay. Now, Exhibit Q. Exhibit Q is a letter on Kroger letterhead from you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Just fixing a day. Now, if you'll please take a look at this, it is going to be U. (Deposition Exhibit U was marked for identification.) BY MR. CINQUE: Q. Okay. Exhibit U is a letter on Kroger stationery? MR. PHILLIPS: Do you want him to look at the one that's been marked? He doesn't have it. MR. CINQUE: He can use that one. BY MR. CINQUE: Q. July 30th, 2001 your letter for your memo, right? A. Correct. Q. Do you recognize this?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Okay. We had rent obligations that we had already been obligated to pay, and by buying out the Bulkhouse position we're simply converting our rent obligations to mortgage obligations. That's what I'm trying to say. Q. Okay. And was that beneficial to Kroger in some way? A. It wasn't so much beneficial as it was neutral. Q. So then why comment upon it? A. Because the actual CA said \$30 million, and I wanted to make them clear we weren't writing a check for S30 million. Q. Okay. Now, Exhibit Q. Exhibit Q is a letter on Kroger letterhead from you? A. Uh-huh.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Just fixing a day. Now, if you'll please take a look at this, it is going to be U. (Deposition Exhibit U was marked for identification.) BY MR. CINQUE: Q. Okay. Exhibit U is a letter on Kroger stationery? MR. PHILLIPS: Do you want him to look at the one that's been marked? He doesn't have it. MR. CINQUE: He can use that one. BY MR. CINQUE: Q. July 30th, 2001 your letter for your memo, right? A. Correct. Q. Do you recognize this? A. Uh-huh, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Okay. We had rent obligations that we had already been obligated to pay, and by buying out the Bulkhouse position we're simply converting our rent obligations to mortgage obligations. That's what I'm trying to say. Q. Okay. And was that beneficial to Kroger in some way? A. It wasn't so much beneficial as it was neutral. Q. So then why comment upon it? A. Because the actual CA said \$30 million, and I wanted to make them clear we weren't writing a check for \$30 million. Q. Okay. Now, Exhibit Q. Exhibit Q is a letter on Kroger letterhead from you? A. Uh-huh. Q. To Jim Price dated August 27th, 2001?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Just fixing a day. Now, if you'll please take a look at this, it is going to be U. (Deposition Exhibit U was marked for identification.) BY MR. CINQUE: Q. Okay. Exhibit U is a letter on Kroger stationery? MR. PHILLIPS: Do you want him to look at the one that's been marked? He doesn't have it. MR. CINQUE: He can use that one. BY MR. CINQUE: Q. July 30th, 2001 your letter for your memo, right? A. Correct. Q. Do you recognize this? A. Uh-huh, yes. Q. You say in this second paragraph	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Okay. We had rent obligations that we had already been obligated to pay, and by buying out the Bulkhouse position we're simply converting our rent obligations to mortgage obligations. That's what I'm trying to say. Q. Okay. And was that beneficial to Kroger in some way? A. It wasn't so much beneficial as it was neutral. Q. So then why comment upon it? A. Because the actual CA said \$30 million, and I wanted to make them clear we weren't writing a check for \$30 million. Q. Okay. Now, Exhibit Q. Exhibit Q is a letter on Kroger letterhead from you? A. Uh-huh. Q. To Jim Price dated August 27th, 2001? A. Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Just fixing a day. Now, if you'll please take a look at this, it is going to be U. (Deposition Exhibit U was marked for identification.) BY MR. CINQUE: Q. Okay. Exhibit U is a letter on Kroger stationery? MR. PHILLIPS: Do you want him to look at the one that's been marked? He doesn't have it. MR. CINQUE: He can use that one. BY MR. CINQUE: Q. July 30th, 2001 your letter for your memo, right? A. Correct. Q. Do you recognize this? A. Uh-huh, yes. Q. You say in this second paragraph while it looks like we are paying 30 mill today,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Okay. We had rent obligations that we had already been obligated to pay, and by buying out the Bulkhouse position we're simply converting our rent obligations to mortgage obligations. That's what I'm trying to say. Q. Okay. And was that beneficial to Kroger in some way? A. It wasn't so much beneficial as it was neutral. Q. So then why comment upon it? A. Because the actual CA said \$30 million, and I wanted to make them clear we weren't writing a check for S30 million. Q. Okay. Now, Exhibit Q. Exhibit Q is a letter on Kroger letterhead from you? A. Uh-huh. Q. To Jim Price dated August 27th, 2001? A. Right. Q. All right. And it begins, well,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Just fixing a day. Now, if you'll please take a look at this, it is going to be U. (Deposition Exhibit U was marked for identification.) BY MR. CINQUE: Q. Okay. Exhibit U is a letter on Kroger stationery? MR. PHILLIPS: Do you want him to look at the one that's been marked? He doesn't have it. MR. CINQUE: He can use that one. BY MR. CINQUE: Q. July 30th, 2001 your letter for your memo, right? A. Correct. Q. Do you recognize this? A. Uh-huh, yes. Q. You say in this second paragraph while it looks like we are paying 30 mill today, that is misleading, right, just continue to read	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Okay. We had rent obligations that we had already been obligated to pay, and by buying out the Bulkhouse position we're simply converting our rent obligations to mortgage obligations. That's what I'm trying to say. Q. Okay. And was that beneficial to Kroger in some way? A. It wasn't so much beneficial as it was neutral. Q. So then why comment upon it? A. Because the actual CA said \$30 million, and I wanted to make them clear we weren't writing a check for S30 million. Q. Okay. Now, Exhibit Q. Exhibit Q is a letter on Kroger letterhead from you? A. Uh-huh. Q. To Jim Price dated August 27th, 2001? A. Right. Q. All right. And it begins, well, after six years of trying we finally got it done.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Just fixing a day. Now, if you'll please take a look at this, it is going to be U. (Deposition Exhibit U was marked for identification.) BY MR. CINQUE: Q. Okay. Exhibit U is a letter on Kroger stationery? MR. PHILLIPS: Do you want him to look at the one that's been marked? He doesn't have it. MR. CINQUE: He can use that one. BY MR. CINQUE: Q. July 30th, 2001 your letter for your memo, right? A. Correct. Q. Do you recognize this? A. Uh-huh, yes. Q. You say in this second paragraph while it looks like we are paying 30 mill today, that is misleading, right, just continue to read that please and I'll ask you some questions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Okay. We had rent obligations that we had already been obligated to pay, and by buying out the Bulkhouse position we're simply converting our rent obligations to mortgage obligations. That's what I'm trying to say. Q. Okay. And was that beneficial to Kroger in some way? A. It wasn't so much beneficial as it was neutral. Q. So then why comment upon it? A. Because the actual CA said \$30 million, and I wanted to make them clear we weren't writing a check for \$30 million. Q. Okay. Now, Exhibit Q. Exhibit Q is a letter on Kroger letterhead from you? A. Uh-huh. Q. To Jim Price dated August 27th, 2001? A. Right. Q. All right. And it begins, well, after six years of trying we finally got it done. We closed on the Bulkhouse interest in the three
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Just fixing a day. Now, if you'll please take a look at this, it is going to be U. (Deposition Exhibit U was marked for identification.) BY MR. CINQUE: Q. Okay. Exhibit U is a letter on Kroger stationery? MR. PHILLIPS: Do you want him to look at the one that's been marked? He doesn't have it. MR. CINQUE: He can use that one. BY MR. CINQUE: Q. July 30th, 2001 your letter for your memo, right? A. Correct. Q. Do you recognize this? A. Uh-huh, yes. Q. You say in this second paragraph while it looks like we are paying 30 mill today, that is misleading, right, just continue to read that please and I'll ask you some questions. A. Uh-huh.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Okay. We had rent obligations that we had already been obligated to pay, and by buying out the Bulkhouse position we're simply converting our rent obligations to mortgage obligations. That's what I'm trying to say. Q. Okay. And was that beneficial to Kroger in some way? A. It wasn't so much beneficial as it was neutral. Q. So then why comment upon it? A. Because the actual CA said \$30 million, and I wanted to make them clear we weren't writing a check for \$30 million. Q. Okay. Now, Exhibit Q. Exhibit Q is a letter on Kroger letterhead from you? A. Uh-huh. Q. To Jim Price dated August 27th, 2001? A. Right. Q. All right. And it begins, well, after six years of trying we finally got it done. We closed on the Bulkhouse interest in the three properties on July 24th, 2001.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Just fixing a day. Now, if you'll please take a look at this, it is going to be U. (Deposition Exhibit U was marked for identification.) BY MR. CINQUE: Q. Okay. Exhibit U is a letter on Kroger stationery? MR. PHILLIPS: Do you want him to look at the one that's been marked? He doesn't have it. MR. CINQUE: He can use that one. BY MR. CINQUE: Q. July 30th, 2001 your letter for your memo, right? A. Correct. Q. Do you recognize this? A. Uh-huh, yes. Q. You say in this second paragraph while it looks like we are paying 30 mill today, that is misleading, right, just continue to read that please and I'll ask you some questions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Okay. We had rent obligations that we had already been obligated to pay, and by buying out the Bulkhouse position we're simply converting our rent obligations to mortgage obligations. That's what I'm trying to say. Q. Okay. And was that beneficial to Kroger in some way? A. It wasn't so much beneficial as it was neutral. Q. So then why comment upon it? A. Because the actual CA said \$30 million, and I wanted to make them clear we weren't writing a check for \$30 million. Q. Okay. Now, Exhibit Q. Exhibit Q is a letter on Kroger letterhead from you? A. Uh-huh. Q. To Jim Price dated August 27th, 2001? A. Right. Q. All right. And it begins, well, after six years of trying we finally got it done. We closed on the Bulkhouse interest in the three

1	Page 8	36	Page 87
	2 communication to Price?	1	(The record was read back by the court reporter.)
	3 A. Which statements?	2	BY MR. CINQUE:
	4 Q. The statements I just read, the two	3	Q. You're telling Price on August — Was
	5 sentences I just read to you.	4	this a legitimate letter?
	6 A. Because I had been wanting to buy these	5	A. Yes.
	7 properties forever and we finally bought them. And	6	Q. This was not manufactured for any
	8 that's what I was complementing him on, he got it	7	purpose, right?
	9 done, helped us get it done by negotiating the price	8	A. This is a letter that I sent to him
	10 with Merrill Lynch.	9	with the check for \$ 100,000.
	Q. I see you say here we closed on the	10	Q. Okay. Where is the reference to
	Bulkhouse interest in three properties on July 24th		the — Oh, you say included is our agreed fee?
	13 2001, was that something that he did not know as of		A. Right.
	14 August 27th?	13	Q. Okay. Now, are you telling me — did
	A. Right, correct.	14	he send an invoice prior to August 27th; do you
	Q. But had he already been paid for his	15	remember?
	17 services?	16	A. I don't think so.
	18 A. No.	17	Q. So as far as you were concerned you
	Q. You're confused, all right?	18	were telling him something that he didn't know, you
	A. On July 24th had he already been	19	sent him the check?
	21 paid?	20	A. Right.
	Q. Why don't I read the question back to	21	Q. And you were telling him it closed on
	you.	22	July 24th? •
	22 23 back.	23	A. Right.
	23	24	Q. So isn't it the fact that he had
	24 MR. CINQUE: Read the question		
	wire. CirvQOL. Read the question		
1	Page 88		Page 89
1	already sent an invoice weeks before August 27th? A. I don't remember that. It's		Q. I'll show you one. Take a look at hibit M. It's an invoice dated August 6th, 2001
2	A. I don't remember that. It's 2 possible, I don't remember that. 3	ĽX	A. Okay.
4	Q. Sir, isn't it true that he knew on 4		Q. To the Kroger Co., right, for
5	July 24th that the closing had been concluded? 5		00,000?
6	A. He wasn't involved in it. I don't		A. Right.
7	think he did.		Q. Did you see that invoice on or about
8	Q. When do you think he first found out, 8	Au	gust 6th?
9	when you wrote him on August 27th?		A. Probably, yes.
10	A. I don't know.		Q. So you knew that Price was billing
11	Q. Well, as far as you were concerned 11	you	ı for his services as of August 6th or
12	when you wrote that letter, you didn't know whether 12	the	reabouts, correct?
13	he knew that the transaction had concluded on 13		A. Okay, yes.
14	August ~ on July 24th?		Q. Right. And in order to be billing
15	A. Right.	you	ı for his services there had to have been a
16	Q. So you thought you were telling him	clo	sing, right?
17	something he didn't know; is that right?		A. Yes.
18	A . I didn't know whether he knew or 18		Q. He wasn't entitled to the \$100,000 if
19	not. Once he negotiated the price we took over 19	the	transaction fell through; is that correct?
20	from there. 20		A. Right.
21	Q. And you don't remember whether he 21		Q. All right. But nonetheless three
22	submitted an invoice? 22	we	eks later you're writing him this August 26th
23	A. I don't think he did, but I could be 23		er where you're telling him that the closing
24	wrong. 24	occ	curred on July 24th, correct?

	Page 90		Page 91
1	A. Right.	1	Q. Let's go on. You say but Malease is
2	Q. And your testimony is that the reason	2	still out there?
3	you wrote it this way is in your mind as of Augus	3	A. Um-hmm.
4	27th he didn't know that it had closed on July	4	(Mr. Hodge left the room.)
5	24th, correct?	5	Q. I hope you are still planning to
6	A. No. All I'm saying — I was just —	6	finish this piece of the transaction by negotiating
7	it was a letter to enclose with the check of	7	a price to buy him out early.
8	payment. It wasn't to notify him. It was to give	8	Okay. You say "you are still
9	him the money.	9	planning," what does that mean, that there had been
10	Q. It wasn't to make it look like you	10	plans in the works?
11	were first telling him about the closing on Augus	11	A. No. We had discussed buying Malease
12	27th?	12	out early instead of waiting until April 1st, 2003.
13	A. No. It was to give him the check.	13	Q. And as far as — So there was a plan
14	Q. This letter was not intended to make	14	in place?
15	it appear that Price didn't know that the closing	15	A. No, there wasn't a plan in place.
16	had occurred on July 24th, 2001; is that correct?	16	There was — What I said was I would certainly be
17	A. I was not trying to make that	17	willing to do that, if we can do it for the right
18	appearance with this letter, absolutely not.	18	price, and he would probably be in a better
19	Q. So even though he had already sent	19	position of negotiating that than me.
20	you an invoice on August 6th, you still felt it	20	Q. Did you have any discussion with
21	necessary to tell him, oh, by the way, the closing	21	Price as of August 27th as to the method to be
22	occurred on July 24th, correct?	22	applied in dealing with Malease?
23	A. That's the reason we're sending him	23	A. No. I mean, other than I said —
24	the money.	24	what I told him was whatever you think works best.
	D 00		D 02
1	Page 92 If you want to buy it from them, then I'll buy it.	1	Page 93 O Did you know it was Kadish than?
1 2	If you want to buy it from them, then I'll buy it	1 2	Q. Did you know it was Kadish then?
2	If you want to buy it from them, then I'll buy it from you or we can buy it direct or whatever	2	Q. Did you know it was Kadish then?A. Yes.
2 3	If you want to buy it from them, then I'll buy it from you or we can buy it direct or whatever works. He knew Malease, I did not.	2 3	Q. Did you know it was Kadish then?A. Yes.Q. Okay. Was there any discussion about
2 3 4	If you want to buy it from them, then I'll buy it from you or we can buy it direct or whatever works. He knew Malease, I did not. Q. When you say he could buy it from	2 3 4	Q. Did you know it was Kadish then?A. Yes.Q. Okay. Was there any discussion aboutKroger going directly to Kadish and offering to buy
2 3 4 5	If you want to buy it from them, then I'll buy it from you or we can buy it direct or whatever works. He knew Malease, I did not. Q. When you say he could buy it from him, what do you mean, Price & Marshall would buy	2 3 4 5	Q. Did you know it was Kadish then?A. Yes.Q. Okay. Was there any discussion aboutKroger going directly to Kadish and offering to buy him his position?
2 3 4 5 6	If you want to buy it from them, then I'll buy it from you or we can buy it direct or whatever works. He knew Malease, I did not. Q. When you say he could buy it from him, what do you mean, Price & Marshall would buy the Malease interest?	2 3 4 5 6	Q. Did you know it was Kadish then? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Was there any discussion about Kroger going directly to Kadish and offering to buy him his position? A. Might have been.
2 3 4 5 6 7	If you want to buy it from them, then I'll buy it from you or we can buy it direct or whatever works. He knew Malease, I did not. Q. When you say he could buy it from him, what do you mean, Price & Marshall would buy the Malease interest? A. Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Did you know it was Kadish then? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Was there any discussion about Kroger going directly to Kadish and offering to buy him his position? A. Might have been. Q. Was there any particular benefit,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	If you want to buy it from them, then I'll buy it from you or we can buy it direct or whatever works. He knew Malease, I did not. Q. When you say he could buy it from him, what do you mean, Price & Marshall would buy the Malease interest? A. Right. Q. And where was Price & Marshall going	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Did you know it was Kadish then? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Was there any discussion about Kroger going directly to Kadish and offering to buy him his position? A. Might have been. Q. Was there any particular benefit, economic benefit, to Kroger to having Price &
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	If you want to buy it from them, then I'll buy it from you or we can buy it direct or whatever works. He knew Malease, I did not. Q. When you say he could buy it from him, what do you mean, Price & Marshall would buy the Malease interest? A. Right. Q. And where was Price & Marshall going to get the money to buy the Malease interest, was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Did you know it was Kadish then? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Was there any discussion about Kroger going directly to Kadish and offering to buy him his position? A. Might have been. Q. Was there any particular benefit, economic benefit, to Kroger to having Price & Marshall buy the Malease position as opposed to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	If you want to buy it from them, then I'll buy it from you or we can buy it direct or whatever works. He knew Malease, I did not. Q. When you say he could buy it from him, what do you mean, Price & Marshall would buy the Malease interest? A. Right. Q. And where was Price & Marshall going to get the money to buy the Malease interest, was there ever any discussion about that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Did you know it was Kadish then? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Was there any discussion about Kroger going directly to Kadish and offering to buy him his position? A. Might have been. Q. Was there any particular benefit, economic benefit, to Kroger to having Price & Marshall buy the Malease position as opposed to Kroger buying it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	If you want to buy it from them, then I'll buy it from you or we can buy it direct or whatever works. He knew Malease, I did not. Q. When you say he could buy it from him, what do you mean, Price & Marshall would buy the Malease interest? A. Right. Q. And where was Price & Marshall going to get the money to buy the Malease interest, was there ever any discussion about that? A. From me. Probably talked about it on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Did you know it was Kadish then? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Was there any discussion about Kroger going directly to Kadish and offering to buy him his position? A. Might have been. Q. Was there any particular benefit, economic benefit, to Kroger to having Price & Marshall buy the Malease position as opposed to Kroger buying it? A. Our experience has always been that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	If you want to buy it from them, then I'll buy it from you or we can buy it direct or whatever works. He knew Malease, I did not. Q. When you say he could buy it from him, what do you mean, Price & Marshall would buy the Malease interest? A. Right. Q. And where was Price & Marshall going to get the money to buy the Malease interest, was there ever any discussion about that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. Did you know it was Kadish then? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Was there any discussion about Kroger going directly to Kadish and offering to buy him his position? A. Might have been. Q. Was there any particular benefit, economic benefit, to Kroger to having Price & Marshall buy the Malease position as opposed to Kroger buying it? A. Our experience has always been that when Kroger buys these things, negotiates these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	If you want to buy it from them, then I'll buy it from you or we can buy it direct or whatever works. He knew Malease, I did not. Q. When you say he could buy it from him, what do you mean, Price & Marshall would buy the Malease interest? A. Right. Q. And where was Price & Marshall going to get the money to buy the Malease interest, was there ever any discussion about that? A. From me. Probably talked about it on the phone.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Did you know it was Kadish then? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Was there any discussion about Kroger going directly to Kadish and offering to buy him his position? A. Might have been. Q. Was there any particular benefit, economic benefit, to Kroger to having Price & Marshall buy the Malease position as opposed to Kroger buying it? A. Our experience has always been that when Kroger buys these things, negotiates these things directly, we pay more money. And if we can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	If you want to buy it from them, then I'll buy it from you or we can buy it direct or whatever works. He knew Malease, I did not. Q. When you say he could buy it from him, what do you mean, Price & Marshall would buy the Malease interest? A. Right. Q. And where was Price & Marshall going to get the money to buy the Malease interest, was there ever any discussion about that? A. From me. Probably talked about it on the phone. Q. From Kroger?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Did you know it was Kadish then? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Was there any discussion about Kroger going directly to Kadish and offering to buy him his position? A. Might have been. Q. Was there any particular benefit, economic benefit, to Kroger to having Price & Marshall buy the Malease position as opposed to Kroger buying it? A. Our experience has always been that when Kroger buys these things, negotiates these things directly, we pay more money. And if we can use an agent to run interference for us, we end up
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	If you want to buy it from them, then I'll buy it from you or we can buy it direct or whatever works. He knew Malease, I did not. Q. When you say he could buy it from him, what do you mean, Price & Marshall would buy the Malease interest? A. Right. Q. And where was Price & Marshall going to get the money to buy the Malease interest, was there ever any discussion about that? A. From me. Probably talked about it on the phone. Q. From Kroger? A. Right. Q. Why is it that you were going to have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Did you know it was Kadish then? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Was there any discussion about Kroger going directly to Kadish and offering to buy him his position? A. Might have been. Q. Was there any particular benefit, economic benefit, to Kroger to having Price & Marshall buy the Malease position as opposed to Kroger buying it? A. Our experience has always been that when Kroger buys these things, negotiates these things directly, we pay more money. And if we can use an agent to run interference for us, we end up with a better price.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	If you want to buy it from them, then I'll buy it from you or we can buy it direct or whatever works. He knew Malease, I did not. Q. When you say he could buy it from him, what do you mean, Price & Marshall would buy the Malease interest? A. Right. Q. And where was Price & Marshall going to get the money to buy the Malease interest, was there ever any discussion about that? A. From me. Probably talked about it on the phone. Q. From Kroger? A. Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Did you know it was Kadish then? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Was there any discussion about Kroger going directly to Kadish and offering to buy him his position? A. Might have been. Q. Was there any particular benefit, economic benefit, to Kroger to having Price & Marshall buy the Malease position as opposed to Kroger buying it? A. Our experience has always been that when Kroger buys these things, negotiates these things directly, we pay more money. And if we can use an agent to run interference for us, we end up with a better price. Q. So when you say agent run it, "to run
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	If you want to buy it from them, then I'll buy it from you or we can buy it direct or whatever works. He knew Malease, I did not. Q. When you say he could buy it from him, what do you mean, Price & Marshall would buy the Malease interest? A. Right. Q. And where was Price & Marshall going to get the money to buy the Malease interest, was there ever any discussion about that? A. From me. Probably talked about it on the phone. Q. From Kroger? A. Right. Q. Why is it that you were going to have or you were considering having Price & Marshall bu	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Did you know it was Kadish then? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Was there any discussion about Kroger going directly to Kadish and offering to buy him his position? A. Might have been. Q. Was there any particular benefit, economic benefit, to Kroger to having Price & Marshall buy the Malease position as opposed to Kroger buying it? A. Our experience has always been that when Kroger buys these things, negotiates these things directly, we pay more money. And if we can use an agent to run interference for us, we end up with a better price. Q. So when you say agent run it, "to run interference," was it in your contemplation that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	If you want to buy it from them, then I'll buy it from you or we can buy it direct or whatever works. He knew Malease, I did not. Q. When you say he could buy it from him, what do you mean, Price & Marshall would buy the Malease interest? A. Right. Q. And where was Price & Marshall going to get the money to buy the Malease interest, was there ever any discussion about that? A. From me. Probably talked about it on the phone. Q. From Kroger? A. Right. Q. Why is it that you were going to have or you were considering having Price & Marshall bu the Malease position with Kroger money? A. Because he knew the man and I didn't.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Did you know it was Kadish then? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Was there any discussion about Kroger going directly to Kadish and offering to buy him his position? A. Might have been. Q. Was there any particular benefit, economic benefit, to Kroger to having Price & Marshall buy the Malease position as opposed to Kroger buying it? A. Our experience has always been that when Kroger buys these things, negotiates these things directly, we pay more money. And if we can use an agent to run interference for us, we end up with a better price. Q. So when you say agent run it, "to run interference," was it in your contemplation that Price would not tell Kadish that he was merely an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	If you want to buy it from them, then I'll buy it from you or we can buy it direct or whatever works. He knew Malease, I did not. Q. When you say he could buy it from him, what do you mean, Price & Marshall would buy the Malease interest? A. Right. Q. And where was Price & Marshall going to get the money to buy the Malease interest, was there ever any discussion about that? A. From me. Probably talked about it on the phone. Q. From Kroger? A. Right. Q. Why is it that you were going to have or you were considering having Price & Marshall bu the Malease position with Kroger money? A. Because he knew the man and I didn't. Q. Was there any discussion as to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. Did you know it was Kadish then? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Was there any discussion about Kroger going directly to Kadish and offering to buy him his position? A. Might have been. Q. Was there any particular benefit, economic benefit, to Kroger to having Price & Marshall buy the Malease position as opposed to Kroger buying it? A. Our experience has always been that when Kroger buys these things, negotiates these things directly, we pay more money. And if we can use an agent to run interference for us, we end up with a better price. Q. So when you say agent run it, "to run interference," was it in your contemplation that Price would not tell Kadish that he was merely an agent acting for Kroger; was that the idea?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	If you want to buy it from them, then I'll buy it from you or we can buy it direct or whatever works. He knew Malease, I did not. Q. When you say he could buy it from him, what do you mean, Price & Marshall would buy the Malease interest? A. Right. Q. And where was Price & Marshall going to get the money to buy the Malease interest, was there ever any discussion about that? A. From me. Probably talked about it on the phone. Q. From Kroger? A. Right. Q. Why is it that you were going to have or you were considering having Price & Marshall bu the Malease position with Kroger money? A. Because he knew the man and I didn't. Q. Was there any discussion as to whether Price could simply go — The man being	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Did you know it was Kadish then? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Was there any discussion about Kroger going directly to Kadish and offering to buy him his position? A. Might have been. Q. Was there any particular benefit, economic benefit, to Kroger to having Price & Marshall buy the Malease position as opposed to Kroger buying it? A. Our experience has always been that when Kroger buys these things, negotiates these things directly, we pay more money. And if we can use an agent to run interference for us, we end up with a better price. Q. So when you say agent run it, "to run interference," was it in your contemplation that Price would not tell Kadish that he was merely an agent acting for Kroger; was that the idea? A. My idea was whatever he thought was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	If you want to buy it from them, then I'll buy it from you or we can buy it direct or whatever works. He knew Malease, I did not. Q. When you say he could buy it from him, what do you mean, Price & Marshall would buy the Malease interest? A. Right. Q. And where was Price & Marshall going to get the money to buy the Malease interest, was there ever any discussion about that? A. From me. Probably talked about it on the phone. Q. From Kroger? A. Right. Q. Why is it that you were going to have or you were considering having Price & Marshall bu the Malease position with Kroger money? A. Because he knew the man and I didn't. Q. Was there any discussion as to whether Price could simply go — The man being Kadish, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Did you know it was Kadish then? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Was there any discussion about Kroger going directly to Kadish and offering to buy him his position? A. Might have been. Q. Was there any particular benefit, economic benefit, to Kroger to having Price & Marshall buy the Malease position as opposed to Kroger buying it? A. Our experience has always been that when Kroger buys these things, negotiates these things directly, we pay more money. And if we can use an agent to run interference for us, we end up with a better price. Q. So when you say agent run it, "to run interference," was it in your contemplation that Price would not tell Kadish that he was merely an agent acting for Kroger; was that the idea? A. My idea was whatever he thought was the best method, because he knew the transaction
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	If you want to buy it from them, then I'll buy it from you or we can buy it direct or whatever works. He knew Malease, I did not. Q. When you say he could buy it from him, what do you mean, Price & Marshall would buy the Malease interest? A. Right. Q. And where was Price & Marshall going to get the money to buy the Malease interest, was there ever any discussion about that? A. From me. Probably talked about it on the phone. Q. From Kroger? A. Right. Q. Why is it that you were going to have or you were considering having Price & Marshall bu the Malease position with Kroger money? A. Because he knew the man and I didn't. Q. Was there any discussion as to whether Price could simply go — The man being	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Did you know it was Kadish then? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Was there any discussion about Kroger going directly to Kadish and offering to buy him his position? A. Might have been. Q. Was there any particular benefit, economic benefit, to Kroger to having Price & Marshall buy the Malease position as opposed to Kroger buying it? A. Our experience has always been that when Kroger buys these things, negotiates these things directly, we pay more money. And if we can use an agent to run interference for us, we end up with a better price. Q. So when you say agent run it, "to run interference," was it in your contemplation that Price would not tell Kadish that he was merely an agent acting for Kroger; was that the idea? A. My idea was whatever he thought was

	Page 94		Page 95
1	that he was actually acting for Kroger?	1	think when Kroger is directly involved, we have big
2	A. If we decided to proceed with this,	2	pockets and the cost goes up.
3	sure.	3	Q. So the idea was to conceal from
4	Q. Then why did you need him at all? If	4	Kadish the identity of the true buyer; is that
5	he was telling — If he was authorized to tell	5	right?
6	Kadish that he was acting for Kroger, wouldn't	6	A. No.
7	Kadish now know that it was Kroger that was buying?	7	
	A. Yeah.	_	Q. No. It was okay for Price to go and
8		8	tell him I'm acting for Kroger?
9	Q. So isn't it the truth that what you	9	A. If that was the best way to do the
10	felt as you were attempting here was to conceal	10	transaction, he could have done it that way.
11	from Kadish the true identify of the buyer?	11	Q. Did you think it was the best way to
12	MR. PHILLIPS: Objection.	12	do the transaction?
13	Mischaracterizes his testimony. You can answer.	13	A. I didn't know. I didn't know. I
14	A. I simply said we relied on Jim to	14	don't know the person.
15	select the best method approach that he could do to	15	Q. So you had no knowledge or feeling
16	get us the best price, the lowest cost.	16	one way or another whether you should simply let
17	Q. The "best method," let's assume for	17	Kadish know that Price is acting for Kroger, or
18	the moment — did the best method in Kroger's	18	whether Price should let Kadish think he's acting
19	contemplation include deception?	19	for himself; is that what you're saying?
20	MR. PHILLIPS: Objection,	20	A. Right.
21	argumentative. Go ahead.	21	Q. This letter was not - Withdrawn.
	A. I don't know if deception is the	22	
22	*		Now, at the time you wrote this
23	right term. We often have agents buy things for us	23	August 27, 2001 letter, did you have any knowledge
24	for our benefit as agents, because sellers often	24	or information concerning the relationship,
	Page 96		Page 97
	r age 90		
1	husiness or personal between Price and Kadish?	1	=
1	business or personal, between Price and Kadish?	1	A. No. The only thing I knew —
2	A. Other than I knew that he knew him,	2	A. No. The only thing I knew —Q. You can tell me the only thing you
2 3	A. Other than I knew that he knew him, no.	2 3	A. No. The only thing I knew — Q. You can tell me the only thing you knew, that's fine.
2 3 4	A. Other than I knew that he knew him, no. Q. Okay. So you had no knowledge one	2 3 4	 A. No. The only thing I knew — Q. You can tell me the only thing you knew, that's fine. A. What I thought he had agreed — the
2 3 4 5	A. Other than I knew that he knew him, no. Q. Okay. So you had no knowledge one way or the other as to whether Price had ever been	2 3 4 5	A. No. The only thing I knew — Q. You can tell me the only thing you knew, that's fine. A. What I thought he had agreed — the agreement with Butt was with the Bulkhouse property
2 3 4 5 6	A. Other than I knew that he knew him, no. Q. Okay. So you had no knowledge one way or the other as to whether Price had ever been involved with these properties on behalf of Kadish?	2 3 4 5 6	A. No. The only thing I knew — Q. You can tell me the only thing you knew, that's fine. A. What I thought he had agreed — the agreement with Butt was with the Bulkhouse property owner was that any expansion that they performed
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Other than I knew that he knew him, no. Q. Okay. So you had no knowledge one way or the other as to whether Price had ever been involved with these properties on behalf of Kadish? A. You mean other than at the original	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. No. The only thing I knew — Q. You can tell me the only thing you knew, that's fine. A. What I thought he had agreed — the agreement with Butt was with the Bulkhouse property owner was that any expansion that they performed would not be included in their fair market value
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Other than I knew that he knew him, no. Q. Okay. So you had no knowledge one way or the other as to whether Price had ever been involved with these properties on behalf of Kadish? A. You mean other than at the original transaction?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. No. The only thing I knew — Q. You can tell me the only thing you knew, that's fine. A. What I thought he had agreed — the agreement with Butt was with the Bulkhouse property owner was that any expansion that they performed would not be included in their fair market value purchase option when that was exercised.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Other than I knew that he knew him, no. Q. Okay. So you had no knowledge one way or the other as to whether Price had ever been involved with these properties on behalf of Kadish? A. You mean other than at the original transaction? Q. Right. Other than the 1983?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. No. The only thing I knew — Q. You can tell me the only thing you knew, that's fine. A. What I thought he had agreed — the agreement with Butt was with the Bulkhouse property owner was that any expansion that they performed would not be included in their fair market value purchase option when that was exercised. Q. Did Price ever tell you one way or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Other than I knew that he knew him, no. Q. Okay. So you had no knowledge one way or the other as to whether Price had ever been involved with these properties on behalf of Kadish? A. You mean other than at the original transaction? Q. Right. Other than the 1983? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. No. The only thing I knew — Q. You can tell me the only thing you knew, that's fine. A. What I thought he had agreed — the agreement with Butt was with the Bulkhouse property owner was that any expansion that they performed would not be included in their fair market value purchase option when that was exercised. Q. Did Price ever tell you one way or another at any time whether Kadish had a deal to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Other than I knew that he knew him, no. Q. Okay. So you had no knowledge one way or the other as to whether Price had ever been involved with these properties on behalf of Kadish? A. You mean other than at the original transaction? Q. Right. Other than the 1983?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. No. The only thing I knew — Q. You can tell me the only thing you knew, that's fine. A. What I thought he had agreed — the agreement with Butt was with the Bulkhouse property owner was that any expansion that they performed would not be included in their fair market value purchase option when that was exercised. Q. Did Price ever tell you one way or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Other than I knew that he knew him, no. Q. Okay. So you had no knowledge one way or the other as to whether Price had ever been involved with these properties on behalf of Kadish? A. You mean other than at the original transaction? Q. Right. Other than the 1983? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. No. The only thing I knew — Q. You can tell me the only thing you knew, that's fine. A. What I thought he had agreed — the agreement with Butt was with the Bulkhouse property owner was that any expansion that they performed would not be included in their fair market value purchase option when that was exercised. Q. Did Price ever tell you one way or another at any time whether Kadish had a deal to sell San Marcos to Butt? A. No. I don't know how he could do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Other than I knew that he knew him, no. Q. Okay. So you had no knowledge one way or the other as to whether Price had ever been involved with these properties on behalf of Kadish? A. You mean other than at the original transaction? Q. Right. Other than the 1983? A. Correct. Q. So Price never told you in words or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. No. The only thing I knew — Q. You can tell me the only thing you knew, that's fine. A. What I thought he had agreed — the agreement with Butt was with the Bulkhouse property owner was that any expansion that they performed would not be included in their fair market value purchase option when that was exercised. Q. Did Price ever tell you one way or another at any time whether Kadish had a deal to sell San Marcos to Butt?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Other than I knew that he knew him, no. Q. Okay. So you had no knowledge one way or the other as to whether Price had ever been involved with these properties on behalf of Kadish? A. You mean other than at the original transaction? Q. Right. Other than the 1983? A. Correct. Q. So Price never told you in words or substance at any time prior to August 27th, 2001 that Kadish had actually engaged Price and his firm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. No. The only thing I knew — Q. You can tell me the only thing you knew, that's fine. A. What I thought he had agreed — the agreement with Butt was with the Bulkhouse property owner was that any expansion that they performed would not be included in their fair market value purchase option when that was exercised. Q. Did Price ever tell you one way or another at any time whether Kadish had a deal to sell San Marcos to Butt? A. No. I don't know how he could do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Other than I knew that he knew him, no. Q. Okay. So you had no knowledge one way or the other as to whether Price had ever been involved with these properties on behalf of Kadish? A. You mean other than at the original transaction? Q. Right. Other than the 1983? A. Correct. Q. So Price never told you in words or substance at any time prior to August 27th, 2001	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. No. The only thing I knew — Q. You can tell me the only thing you knew, that's fine. A. What I thought he had agreed — the agreement with Butt was with the Bulkhouse property owner was that any expansion that they performed would not be included in their fair market value purchase option when that was exercised. Q. Did Price ever tell you one way or another at any time whether Kadish had a deal to sell San Marcos to Butt? A. No. I don't know how he could do that, he didn't own it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Other than I knew that he knew him, no. Q. Okay. So you had no knowledge one way or the other as to whether Price had ever been involved with these properties on behalf of Kadish? A. You mean other than at the original transaction? Q. Right. Other than the 1983? A. Correct. Q. So Price never told you in words or substance at any time prior to August 27th, 2001 that Kadish had actually engaged Price and his firm to act for Kadish to buy these properties? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. No. The only thing I knew — Q. You can tell me the only thing you knew, that's fine. A. What I thought he had agreed — the agreement with Butt was with the Bulkhouse property owner was that any expansion that they performed would not be included in their fair market value purchase option when that was exercised. Q. Did Price ever tell you one way or another at any time whether Kadish had a deal to sell San Marcos to Butt? A. No. I don't know how he could do that, he didn't own it. Q. If he could acquire it, did that ever
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Other than I knew that he knew him, no. Q. Okay. So you had no knowledge one way or the other as to whether Price had ever been involved with these properties on behalf of Kadish? A. You mean other than at the original transaction? Q. Right. Other than the 1983? A. Correct. Q. So Price never told you in words or substance at any time prior to August 27th, 2001 that Kadish had actually engaged Price and his firm to act for Kadish to buy these properties? A. No. Q. As of August 27th, 2001 did you know	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. No. The only thing I knew — Q. You can tell me the only thing you knew, that's fine. A. What I thought he had agreed — the agreement with Butt was with the Bulkhouse property owner was that any expansion that they performed would not be included in their fair market value purchase option when that was exercised. Q. Did Price ever tell you one way or another at any time whether Kadish had a deal to sell San Marcos to Butt? A. No. I don't know how he could do that, he didn't own it. Q. If he could acquire it, did that ever come up? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Other than I knew that he knew him, no. Q. Okay. So you had no knowledge one way or the other as to whether Price had ever been involved with these properties on behalf of Kadish? A. You mean other than at the original transaction? Q. Right. Other than the 1983? A. Correct. Q. So Price never told you in words or substance at any time prior to August 27th, 2001 that Kadish had actually engaged Price and his firm to act for Kadish to buy these properties? A. No. Q. As of August 27th, 2001 did you know whether Kadish had a deal with Butt with respect to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. No. The only thing I knew — Q. You can tell me the only thing you knew, that's fine. A. What I thought he had agreed — the agreement with Butt was with the Bulkhouse property owner was that any expansion that they performed would not be included in their fair market value purchase option when that was exercised. Q. Did Price ever tell you one way or another at any time whether Kadish had a deal to sell San Marcos to Butt? A. No. I don't know how he could do that, he didn't own it. Q. If he could acquire it, did that ever come up?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Other than I knew that he knew him, no. Q. Okay. So you had no knowledge one way or the other as to whether Price had ever been involved with these properties on behalf of Kadish? A. You mean other than at the original transaction? Q. Right. Other than the 1983? A. Correct. Q. So Price never told you in words or substance at any time prior to August 27th, 2001 that Kadish had actually engaged Price and his firm to act for Kadish to buy these properties? A. No. Q. As of August 27th, 2001 did you know whether Kadish had a deal with Butt with respect to the San Marcos property?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. No. The only thing I knew — Q. You can tell me the only thing you knew, that's fine. A. What I thought he had agreed — the agreement with Butt was with the Bulkhouse property owner was that any expansion that they performed would not be included in their fair market value purchase option when that was exercised. Q. Did Price ever tell you one way or another at any time whether Kadish had a deal to sell San Marcos to Butt? A. No. I don't know how he could do that, he didn't own it. Q. If he could acquire it, did that ever come up? A. No. Q. So I know the answer to this, I want to make sure: You never saw a contract between
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Other than I knew that he knew him, no. Q. Okay. So you had no knowledge one way or the other as to whether Price had ever been involved with these properties on behalf of Kadish? A. You mean other than at the original transaction? Q. Right. Other than the 1983? A. Correct. Q. So Price never told you in words or substance at any time prior to August 27th, 2001 that Kadish had actually engaged Price and his firm to act for Kadish to buy these properties? A. No. Q. As of August 27th, 2001 did you know whether Kadish had a deal with Butt with respect to the San Marcos property? A. Kadish?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. No. The only thing I knew — Q. You can tell me the only thing you knew, that's fine. A. What I thought he had agreed — the agreement with Butt was with the Bulkhouse property owner was that any expansion that they performed would not be included in their fair market value purchase option when that was exercised. Q. Did Price ever tell you one way or another at any time whether Kadish had a deal to sell San Marcos to Butt? A. No. I don't know how he could do that, he didn't own it. Q. If he could acquire it, did that ever come up? A. No. Q. So I know the answer to this, I want to make sure: You never saw a contract between Kadish or a Kadish controlled entity and Butt
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Other than I knew that he knew him, no. Q. Okay. So you had no knowledge one way or the other as to whether Price had ever been involved with these properties on behalf of Kadish? A. You mean other than at the original transaction? Q. Right. Other than the 1983? A. Correct. Q. So Price never told you in words or substance at any time prior to August 27th, 2001 that Kadish had actually engaged Price and his firm to act for Kadish to buy these properties? A. No. Q. As of August 27th, 2001 did you know whether Kadish had a deal with Butt with respect to the San Marcos property? A. Kadish? Q. Kadish.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. No. The only thing I knew — Q. You can tell me the only thing you knew, that's fine. A. What I thought he had agreed — the agreement with Butt was with the Bulkhouse property owner was that any expansion that they performed would not be included in their fair market value purchase option when that was exercised. Q. Did Price ever tell you one way or another at any time whether Kadish had a deal to sell San Marcos to Butt? A. No. I don't know how he could do that, he didn't own it. Q. If he could acquire it, did that ever come up? A. No. Q. So I know the answer to this, I want to make sure: You never saw a contract between Kadish or a Kadish controlled entity and Butt relating to the sale of San Marcos?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Other than I knew that he knew him, no. Q. Okay. So you had no knowledge one way or the other as to whether Price had ever been involved with these properties on behalf of Kadish? A. You mean other than at the original transaction? Q. Right. Other than the 1983? A. Correct. Q. So Price never told you in words or substance at any time prior to August 27th, 2001 that Kadish had actually engaged Price and his firm to act for Kadish to buy these properties? A. No. Q. As of August 27th, 2001 did you know whether Kadish had a deal with Butt with respect to the San Marcos property? A. Kadish? Q. Kadish. A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. No. The only thing I knew — Q. You can tell me the only thing you knew, that's fine. A. What I thought he had agreed — the agreement with Butt was with the Bulkhouse property owner was that any expansion that they performed would not be included in their fair market value purchase option when that was exercised. Q. Did Price ever tell you one way or another at any time whether Kadish had a deal to sell San Marcos to Butt? A. No. I don't know how he could do that, he didn't own it. Q. If he could acquire it, did that ever come up? A. No. Q. So I know the answer to this, I want to make sure: You never saw a contract between Kadish or a Kadish controlled entity and Butt relating to the sale of San Marcos? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Other than I knew that he knew him, no. Q. Okay. So you had no knowledge one way or the other as to whether Price had ever been involved with these properties on behalf of Kadish? A. You mean other than at the original transaction? Q. Right. Other than the 1983? A. Correct. Q. So Price never told you in words or substance at any time prior to August 27th, 2001 that Kadish had actually engaged Price and his firm to act for Kadish to buy these properties? A. No. Q. As of August 27th, 2001 did you know whether Kadish had a deal with Butt with respect to the San Marcos property? A. Kadish? Q. Kadish. A. No. Q. Do you know, as we sit here today,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. No. The only thing I knew — Q. You can tell me the only thing you knew, that's fine. A. What I thought he had agreed — the agreement with Butt was with the Bulkhouse property owner was that any expansion that they performed would not be included in their fair market value purchase option when that was exercised. Q. Did Price ever tell you one way or another at any time whether Kadish had a deal to sell San Marcos to Butt? A. No. I don't know how he could do that, he didn't own it. Q. If he could acquire it, did that ever come up? A. No. Q. So I know the answer to this, I want to make sure: You never saw a contract between Kadish or a Kadish controlled entity and Butt relating to the sale of San Marcos? A. No. Q. For about \$11 million?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Other than I knew that he knew him, no. Q. Okay. So you had no knowledge one way or the other as to whether Price had ever been involved with these properties on behalf of Kadish? A. You mean other than at the original transaction? Q. Right. Other than the 1983? A. Correct. Q. So Price never told you in words or substance at any time prior to August 27th, 2001 that Kadish had actually engaged Price and his firm to act for Kadish to buy these properties? A. No. Q. As of August 27th, 2001 did you know whether Kadish had a deal with Butt with respect to the San Marcos property? A. Kadish? Q. Kadish. A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. No. The only thing I knew — Q. You can tell me the only thing you knew, that's fine. A. What I thought he had agreed — the agreement with Butt was with the Bulkhouse property owner was that any expansion that they performed would not be included in their fair market value purchase option when that was exercised. Q. Did Price ever tell you one way or another at any time whether Kadish had a deal to sell San Marcos to Butt? A. No. I don't know how he could do that, he didn't own it. Q. If he could acquire it, did that ever come up? A. No. Q. So I know the answer to this, I want to make sure: You never saw a contract between Kadish or a Kadish controlled entity and Butt relating to the sale of San Marcos? A. No.

	Page 98		Page 99
1	MR. PHILLIPS: Wait for a question.		1 Q. Okay.
2	MR. CINQUE: They had it. I've		A. Did I see the Price & Marshall
3	given it — I can tell you on the record I've given		3 letter, cover letter in front of it, probably.
4	it to your lawyers.		4 Q. Did you see it back in September of
5	THE WITNESS: Okay.		5 2001?
6	MR. CINQUE: Probably some things		6 A. Probably.
7	you don't know about this case. They have one.		7 Q. When you say "probably," you're not
8	Same price as you guys sold it for, but that's		8 sure?
9	another day.		9 A. I can't say for certain.
10	BY MR. CINQUE:		10 Q. Okay.
11	Q. Okay. Exhibit P, have you ever seen		11 A. I knew this letter was going out. I can't
12E	Ex hibit P before?		12 say that I saw the cover letter. I probably did, but
13	A. Yes.		13 I'm not for sure.
14	Q. Okay. Exhibit P, by the way, is a		14 Q. So you knew the Hodge letter of
151	e tter on the letterhead of Price & Marshall datec		15 September 13th, 2000 was going out?
	ept ember 17th, 2001 from Price to Lawrence		16 A. Absolutely.
	Kadi sh. It has an attached letter from Jim Hodg		17 Q. And what did you know? Tell me what
	atedS eptember 13th, 2001.		18 you knew.
19	Now, I asked you whether you saw P,		19 A. Again, we wanted Jim Price to contact
	id yo u also see the document attached to P, the		20 Malease, Mr. Kadish, and see if we could buy out
	lodg e letter as well?		21 his position earlier, sooner.
22	A. I'm sorry, I saw the Hodge letter.		Q. Okay. You said you knew the letter was
23	When I answered, I was referring to the Hodge		23 going out, what does that mean; that means you saw
24	letter.		24 it before it was sent?
	Page 100		Page 101
1	A. Probably, yes.	1	So if it's probably, you know,
2	Q. Probably?	2	qualify that, because it's not fair to you or
3	A. Yeah, I think I did.	3	whatever. I'll ask another question.
4	Q. You think you did?	4	Did you ever discuss this letter with
5	A. I saw a draft. I don't know if I saw	5	Jim Hodge before today?
6	the final or not.	6	MR. PHILLIPS: Which letter?
7	Q. Who drafted this letter, the	7	MR. CINQUE: Yeah, either one.
8	September 13th letter?	8	MR. PHILLIPS: The September 13th?
9	A. Jim did, Jim Hodge.	9	MR. CINQUES: Exhibit P either page 1
10	Q. Jim Hodge. How do you know that?	10	or 2.
11	A. He signed it.	11	BY MR. CINQUE:
12	Q. Aside from seeing his signature on	12	A. Yes.
13	there, how do you know he drafted it?	13	Q. When did you discuss it with him most
14	A. Well, I'm sure we probably would have	14	recently?
15	asked Jim Price how he wants to structure this	15	A. Last week.
15	transaction, how we should best get into a	16	Q. This morning? Last week, okay.
16	nagotiation with Malagga to unwind this	17	A. I'm sorry, I did not discuss it with
	negotiation with Malease to unwind this	-,	
16	transaction, and he probably asked Jim to write him	18	Jim Hodge, I discussed it with my lawyer.
16 17	=		
16 17 18	transaction, and he probably asked Jim to write him a letter. Q. You're saying a lot of probablies in	18	Jim Hodge, I discussed it with my lawyer.
16 17 18 19	transaction, and he probably asked Jim to write him a letter. Q. You're saying a lot of probablies in there. Are you guessing because, you know, ever	18 19	Jim Hodge, I discussed it with my lawyer. Q. Okay. Aside from discussing it with
16 17 18 19 20	transaction, and he probably asked Jim to write him a letter. Q. You're saying a lot of probablies in there. Are you guessing because, you know, ever your lawyer will tell you we don't want you to	18 19 20	Jim Hodge, I discussed it with my lawyer. Q. Okay. Aside from discussing it with your lawyer, did you ever discuss it with Hodge?
16 17 18 19 20 21	transaction, and he probably asked Jim to write him a letter. Q. You're saying a lot of probablies in there. Are you guessing because, you know, ever	18 19 20 21	Jim Hodge, I discussed it with my lawyer. Q. Okay. Aside from discussing it with your lawyer, did you ever discuss it with Hodge? A. Probably back in September of 2001.

	Page 102	2	Page 103
1	A. This letter?	1	Q. You didn't have any native curiosity
2	Q. Um-hmm, yes.	2	once you talked to the lawyer to go to the horse's
3	A. I was aware that it was part — part	3	mouth who's sitting right over here and ask him
4	of the deposition.	4	about it; is that your testimony?
5	Q. But other than being aware that the	5	A. Last week?
6	September 13th, 2001 Hodge letter was part of the	6	Q. At any time before today did you ever
7	deposition, you can't enlighten us any further as	7	go to Hodge?
8	to what you knew?	8	A. Other than back in September of 2001,
9	A. I'm not sure what your question is.	9	no.
10	Q. Did you ever go to Hodge and ask him,	10	Q. Were you curious as to who wrote it?
11	Hodge, did you write this letter all by yourself;	11	A. Not really.
12	did you ever ask him that question?	12	Q. Would it come as a total shock to you
13	A. I can't say that I did, no.	13	if I suggested to you that Jim Price wrote this
14	Q. Did he ever tell you that he did	14	•
15	write it all by himself?	15	6 A. No.
16	A. I doubt it.	16	
17	Q. You doubt that he told you that?	17	•
18	A. No, I don't think so.	18	8 8
19	Q. Do you know whether this letter has	19	3
20	featured prominently in any aspect in this case?	20	
21	MR. PHILLIPS: Don't discuss	21	11
22	anything with the lawyers.	22	Q. Did you think that was perfectly
23	A. Just the discussion I had with my	23	
24	lawyer.	24	
		ge 104	
	1 Q. Do you know whether Price wrote t	he	1 record right now because there are no secrets here,
	2 letter?		2 sir, I represent to you that evidence that Price
	3 A. I think I do, yes.		3 had drafted this letter was furnished to me as part
	Sure you do, you know, a	nd	4 of the discovery in this case only after I pressed
	5 what do you know, tell us.		5 your lawyers for their failure to produce
	6 A. I know that my lawyer showed it to	me	6 documents.
	7 last week.	4	7 MR. PHILLIPS: Stop.
	8 MR. PHILLIPS: Let's not talk abou		8 MR. CINQUE: Do you have any -
	9 anything that occurred between us. If that's the		9 MR. PHILLIPS: Stop. Ask the
	10 only basis of your knowledge with respect to the 11 letter, then we'll claim privilege. Move on.	1118	10 questions, stop the speeches.
			11 MR. CINQUE: I sure will. 12 MR. PHILLIPS: Ask the question.
	12 MR. CINQUE: You'll claim 13 conspiracy, that's what you'll claim.		1
			13 MR. CINQUE: I sure will. 14 BY MR. CINQUE:
	AD COLOUE ELL	'm	15 Q. Sir, are you aware that at the
	15 MR. CINQUE: This is an outrage. I	111	2. 511, are you aware mat at me

27 (Pages 102 to 105)

deposition of Mr. Price on January 22nd, 2004 I

marked as Exhibits A, B and C a series of letters

between Mr. Phillips and myself referencing the

not answering these questions. Go to a question

that pertains to this case. Not your speeches, not

your posturing trying to get some type of

issue of document production by Kroger; do you know

MR. PHILLIPS: Don't answer. We're

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

that?

16 going to bring this to the attention of Judge

22 Just ask the next question. We're not going to

MR. PHILLIPS: Do what you need to,

MR. CINQUE: And I'm going to say --

MR. CINQUE: I'm going to say on the

MR. PHILLIPS: Stop the speeches.

17 Beckwith as soon as I can.

23 engage in this. Go ahead.

18

20

24

19 Bob.

	Page 106		Page 107
1	advantage. Ask a question that this witness would	1	BY MR. CINQUE:
2	have firsthand knowledge of.	2	Q. Who was responsible at Kroger for
3	MR. CINQUE: What I am going to do	3	producing documents required in this litigation, dc
4	is at the end of this deposition, I'm going to call	4	you know?
5	the Magistrate and see if we can get a ruling right	5	A. I would think it was my lawyer, our
6	now while I'm here.	6	law department.
7	BY MR. CINQUE:	7	Q. You think?
8	Q. Did you participate in any way in	8	A. That's what I would expect.
9	document production on the part of Kroger in this	9	Q. Who at Kroger has the ultimate
10	case?	10	responsibility for the supervision of this
11	A. What do you mean "document	11	litigation?
12	production"?	12	A. Ultimate responsibility?
13	Q. Are you aware that Kroger was under	13	Q. Right.
14	an obligation under the Federal Rules of Civil	14	A. Our general counsel I would think.
15	Procedure to produce certain documents in	15	Q. Were you ever told that you were
16	connection with this case?	16	required to produce documents in your file related
17	A. My lawyer asked me to	17	to the Bulkhouse transaction as part of this
18	MR. PHILLIPS: Stop. Don't testify	18	litigation?
19	about any conversations that we had with lawyers.	19	A. What I remember is my lawyer asked me
20	If you can't answer that question based on your own	20	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
21	knowledge, then let me know that and we'll claim	21	to see my file.
22	privilege.		MR. PHILLIPS: Stop. Don't communicate any information related to a
23	THE WITNESS: Okay. Ask the	22 23	•
24	question again then.		conversation you had with counsel. If you can't
	1	24	answer the question without referring to
	Page 108		Page 109
1	Page 108 conversations with counsel, then let me know that	1	Page 109 your file relating to the Bulkhouse transaction?
1 2	conversations with counsel, then let me know that	1 2	your file relating to the Bulkhouse transaction? " A. Yes.
2	conversations with counsel, then let me know that and I'll assume privilege.	1 2 3	your file relating to the Bulkhouse transaction? " A. Yes.
2 3	conversations with counsel, then let me know that and I'll assume privilege. MR. CINQUE: That's absolutely a	2	your file relating to the Bulkhouse transaction? '' A. Yes. Q. Did you do it directly or did you
2 3 4	conversations with counsel, then let me know that and I'll assume privilege. MR. CINQUE: That's absolutely a misstatement of the law of privilege. Privilege	2 3 4	your file relating to the Bulkhouse transaction? "A. Yes. Q. Did you do it directly or did you deputize someone to do it for you?
2 3 4 5	conversations with counsel, then let me know that and I'll assume privilege. MR. CINQUE: That's absolutely a misstatement of the law of privilege. Privilege goes to advice given by a lawyer. If you asked him	2	your file relating to the Bulkhouse transaction? '' A. Yes. Q. Did you do it directly or did you
2 3 4 5 6	conversations with counsel, then let me know that and I'll assume privilege. MR. CINQUE: That's absolutely a misstatement of the law of privilege. Privilege goes to advice given by a lawyer. If you asked him to search for documents, I'm entitled to know	2 3 4 5	your file relating to the Bulkhouse transaction? "A. Yes. Q. Did you do it directly or did you deputize someone to do it for you? A. I gave it to my attorney, my lawyer in-house.
2 3 4 5 6 7	conversations with counsel, then let me know that and I'll assume privilege. MR. CINQUE: That's absolutely a misstatement of the law of privilege. Privilege goes to advice given by a lawyer. If you asked him to search for documents, I'm entitled to know that. We'll deal with the Magistrate on this, but	2 3 4 5 6	your file relating to the Bulkhouse transaction? "A. Yes. Q. Did you do it directly or did you deputize someone to do it for you? A. I gave it to my attorney, my lawyer
2 3 4 5 6	conversations with counsel, then let me know that and I'll assume privilege. MR. CINQUE: That's absolutely a misstatement of the law of privilege. Privilege goes to advice given by a lawyer. If you asked him to search for documents, I'm entitled to know that. We'll deal with the Magistrate on this, but I'm giving you a chance now.	2 3 4 5 6 7	your file relating to the Bulkhouse transaction? "A. Yes. Q. Did you do it directly or did you deputize someone to do it for you? A. I gave it to my attorney, my lawyer in-house. Q. What did you give to your lawyer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	conversations with counsel, then let me know that and I'll assume privilege. MR. CINQUE: That's absolutely a misstatement of the law of privilege. Privilege goes to advice given by a lawyer. If you asked him to search for documents, I'm entitled to know that. We'll deal with the Magistrate on this, but I'm giving you a chance now. You know as a lawyer that parties	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	your file relating to the Bulkhouse transaction? "A. Yes. Q. Did you do it directly or did you deputize someone to do it for you? A. I gave it to my attorney, my lawyer in-house. Q. What did you give to your lawyer in-house? A. A book and a file.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	conversations with counsel, then let me know that and I'll assume privilege. MR. CINQUE: That's absolutely a misstatement of the law of privilege. Privilege goes to advice given by a lawyer. If you asked him to search for documents, I'm entitled to know that. We'll deal with the Magistrate on this, but I'm giving you a chance now. You know as a lawyer that parties have discovery obligations. If the lawyer goes to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	your file relating to the Bulkhouse transaction? "A. Yes. Q. Did you do it directly or did you deputize someone to do it for you? A. I gave it to my attorney, my lawyer in-house. Q. What did you give to your lawyer in-house?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	conversations with counsel, then let me know that and I'll assume privilege. MR. CINQUE: That's absolutely a misstatement of the law of privilege. Privilege goes to advice given by a lawyer. If you asked him to search for documents, I'm entitled to know that. We'll deal with the Magistrate on this, but I'm giving you a chance now. You know as a lawyer that parties have discovery obligations. If the lawyer goes to the client and asks the client to produce	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	your file relating to the Bulkhouse transaction? "A. Yes. Q. Did you do it directly or did you deputize someone to do it for you? A. I gave it to my attorney, my lawyer in-house. Q. What did you give to your lawyer in-house? A. A book and a file. Q. And what's that lawyer's name?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	conversations with counsel, then let me know that and I'll assume privilege. MR. CINQUE: That's absolutely a misstatement of the law of privilege. Privilege goes to advice given by a lawyer. If you asked him to search for documents, I'm entitled to know that. We'll deal with the Magistrate on this, but I'm giving you a chance now. You know as a lawyer that parties have discovery obligations. If the lawyer goes to the client and asks the client to produce documents, that is absolutely not privileged. Now	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	your file relating to the Bulkhouse transaction? "A. Yes. Q. Did you do it directly or did you deputize someone to do it for you? A. I gave it to my attorney, my lawyer in-house. Q. What did you give to your lawyer in-house? A. A book and a file. Q. And what's that lawyer's name? A. PaulParmele.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	conversations with counsel, then let me know that and I'll assume privilege. MR. CINQUE: That's absolutely a misstatement of the law of privilege. Privilege goes to advice given by a lawyer. If you asked him to search for documents, I'm entitled to know that. We'll deal with the Magistrate on this, but I'm giving you a chance now. You know as a lawyer that parties have discovery obligations. If the lawyer goes to the client and asks the client to produce documents, that is absolutely not privileged. Now do you wish to reconsider your position or do we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	your file relating to the Bulkhouse transaction? "A. Yes. Q. Did you do it directly or did you deputize someone to do it for you? A. I gave it to my attorney, my lawyer in-house. Q. What did you give to your lawyer in-house? A. A book and a file. Q. And what's that lawyer's name? A. PaulParmele. Q. Spell it, please. A. PaulParmele. I'm not sure. FARM
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	conversations with counsel, then let me know that and I'll assume privilege. MR. CINQUE: That's absolutely a misstatement of the law of privilege. Privilege goes to advice given by a lawyer. If you asked him to search for documents, I'm entitled to know that. We'll deal with the Magistrate on this, but I'm giving you a chance now. You know as a lawyer that parties have discovery obligations. If the lawyer goes to the client and asks the client to produce documents, that is absolutely not privileged. Now do you wish to reconsider your position or do we let the Magistrate rule on it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	your file relating to the Bulkhouse transaction? "A. Yes. Q. Did you do it directly or did you deputize someone to do it for you? A. I gave it to my attorney, my lawyer in-house. Q. What did you give to your lawyer in-house? A. A book and a file. Q. And what's that lawyer's name? A. PaulParmele. Q. Spell it, please. A. PaulParmele. I'm not sure. FARM E L E, or something like that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	conversations with counsel, then let me know that and I'll assume privilege. MR. CINQUE: That's absolutely a misstatement of the law of privilege. Privilege goes to advice given by a lawyer. If you asked him to search for documents, I'm entitled to know that. We'll deal with the Magistrate on this, but I'm giving you a chance now. You know as a lawyer that parties have discovery obligations. If the lawyer goes to the client and asks the client to produce documents, that is absolutely not privileged. Now do you wish to reconsider your position or do we let the Magistrate rule on it? MR. PHILLIPS: Ask a question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	your file relating to the Bulkhouse transaction? "A. Yes. Q. Did you do it directly or did you deputize someone to do it for you? A. I gave it to my attorney, my lawyer in-house. Q. What did you give to your lawyer in-house? A. A book and a file. Q. And what's that lawyer's name? A. PaulParmele. Q. Spell it, please. A. PaulParmele. I'm not sure. FARM E L E, or something like that. Q. You don't know how to spell his name
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	conversations with counsel, then let me know that and I'll assume privilege. MR. CINQUE: That's absolutely a misstatement of the law of privilege. Privilege goes to advice given by a lawyer. If you asked him to search for documents, I'm entitled to know that. We'll deal with the Magistrate on this, but I'm giving you a chance now. You know as a lawyer that parties have discovery obligations. If the lawyer goes to the client and asks the client to produce documents, that is absolutely not privileged. Now do you wish to reconsider your position or do we let the Magistrate rule on it? MR. PHILLIPS: Ask a question. BY MR. CINQUE:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	your file relating to the Bulkhouse transaction? "A. Yes. Q. Did you do it directly or did you deputize someone to do it for you? A. I gave it to my attorney, my lawyer in-house. Q. What did you give to your lawyer in-house? A. A book and a file. Q. And what's that lawyer's name? A. PaulParmele. Q. Spell it, please. A. PaulParmele. I'm not sure. FARM E L E, or something like that. Q. You don't know how to spell his name and you entrusted him to produce the documents'
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	conversations with counsel, then let me know that and I'll assume privilege. MR. CINQUE: That's absolutely a misstatement of the law of privilege. Privilege goes to advice given by a lawyer. If you asked him to search for documents, I'm entitled to know that. We'll deal with the Magistrate on this, but I'm giving you a chance now. You know as a lawyer that parties have discovery obligations. If the lawyer goes to the client and asks the client to produce documents, that is absolutely not privileged. Now do you wish to reconsider your position or do we let the Magistrate rule on it? MR. PHILLIPS: Ask a question. BY MR. CINQUE: Q. Okay. Sir, were you ever asked by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	your file relating to the Bulkhouse transaction? "A. Yes. Q. Did you do it directly or did you deputize someone to do it for you? A. I gave it to my attorney, my lawyer in-house. Q. What did you give to your lawyer in-house? A. A book and a file. Q. And what's that lawyer's name? A. PaulParmele. Q. Spell it, please. A. PaulParmele. I'm not sure. FARM ELE, or something like that. Q. You don't know how to spell his name and you entrusted him to produce the documents' MR. PHILLIPS: Stop arguing with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	conversations with counsel, then let me know that and I'll assume privilege. MR. CINQUE: That's absolutely a misstatement of the law of privilege. Privilege goes to advice given by a lawyer. If you asked him to search for documents, I'm entitled to know that. We'll deal with the Magistrate on this, but I'm giving you a chance now. You know as a lawyer that parties have discovery obligations. If the lawyer goes to the client and asks the client to produce documents, that is absolutely not privileged. Now do you wish to reconsider your position or do we let the Magistrate rule on it? MR. PHILLIPS: Ask a question. BY MR. CINQUE: Q. Okay. Sir, were you ever asked by nyone to produce any documents in your file	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	your file relating to the Bulkhouse transaction? "A. Yes. Q. Did you do it directly or did you deputize someone to do it for you? A. I gave it to my attorney, my lawyer in-house. Q. What did you give to your lawyer in-house? A. A book and a file. Q. And what's that lawyer's name? A. PaulParmele. Q. Spell it, please. A. PaulParmele. I'm not sure. FARM E L E, or something like that. Q. You don't know how to spell his name and you entrusted him to produce the documents'
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 ISa 19ro	conversations with counsel, then let me know that and I'll assume privilege. MR. CINQUE: That's absolutely a misstatement of the law of privilege. Privilege goes to advice given by a lawyer. If you asked him to search for documents, I'm entitled to know that. We'll deal with the Magistrate on this, but I'm giving you a chance now. You know as a lawyer that parties have discovery obligations. If the lawyer goes to the client and asks the client to produce documents, that is absolutely not privileged. Now do you wish to reconsider your position or do we let the Magistrate rule on it? MR. PHILLIPS: Ask a question. BY MR. CINQUE: Q. Okay. Sir, were you ever asked by myone to produce any documents in your file the lating to the Bulkhouse transaction that closed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	your file relating to the Bulkhouse transaction? "A. Yes. Q. Did you do it directly or did you deputize someone to do it for you? A. I gave it to my attorney, my lawyer in-house. Q. What did you give to your lawyer in-house? A. A book and a file. Q. And what's that lawyer's name? A. PaulParmele. Q. Spell it, please. A. PaulParmele. I'm not sure. FARM ELE, or something like that. Q. You don't know how to spell his name and you entrusted him to produce the documents' MR. PHILLIPS: Stop arguing with the witness, Bob.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 ISa 19ro	conversations with counsel, then let me know that and I'll assume privilege. MR. CINQUE: That's absolutely a misstatement of the law of privilege. Privilege goes to advice given by a lawyer. If you asked him to search for documents, I'm entitled to know that. We'll deal with the Magistrate on this, but I'm giving you a chance now. You know as a lawyer that parties have discovery obligations. If the lawyer goes to the client and asks the client to produce documents, that is absolutely not privileged. Now do you wish to reconsider your position or do we let the Magistrate rule on it? MR. PHILLIPS: Ask a question. BY MR. CINQUE: Q. Okay. Sir, were you ever asked by nyone to produce any documents in your file	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	your file relating to the Bulkhouse transaction? "A. Yes. Q. Did you do it directly or did you deputize someone to do it for you? A. I gave it to my attorney, my lawyer in-house. Q. What did you give to your lawyer in-house? A. A book and a file. Q. And what's that lawyer's name? A. PaulParmele. Q. Spell it, please. A. PaulParmele. I'm not sure. FARM ELE, or something like that. Q. You don't know how to spell his name and you entrusted him to produce the documents' MR. PHILLIPS: Stop arguing with the witness, Bob. MR. CINQUE: Be quiet.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 ISa 19ro 20in 21	conversations with counsel, then let me know that and I'll assume privilege. MR. CINQUE: That's absolutely a misstatement of the law of privilege. Privilege goes to advice given by a lawyer. If you asked him to search for documents, I'm entitled to know that. We'll deal with the Magistrate on this, but I'm giving you a chance now. You know as a lawyer that parties have discovery obligations. If the lawyer goes to the client and asks the client to produce documents, that is absolutely not privileged. Now do you wish to reconsider your position or do we let the Magistrate rule on it? MR. PHILLIPS: Ask a question. BY MR. CINQUE: Q. Okay. Sir, were you ever asked by myone to produce any documents in your file that goes a lating to the Bulkhouse transaction that closed in July of 2001? MR. PHILLIPS: You can answer that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	your file relating to the Bulkhouse transaction? "A. Yes. Q. Did you do it directly or did you deputize someone to do it for you? A. I gave it to my attorney, my lawyer in-house. Q. What did you give to your lawyer in-house? A. A book and a file. Q. And what's that lawyer's name? A. PaulParmele. Q. Spell it, please. A. PaulParmele. I'm not sure. FARM ELE, or something like that. Q. You don't know how to spell his name and you entrusted him to produce the documents' MR. PHILLIPS: Stop arguing with the witness, Bob. MR. CINQUE: Be quiet. MR. PHILLIPS: Stop. MR. CINQUE: Tone down.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 ISa 19re 20 ii	conversations with counsel, then let me know that and I'll assume privilege. MR. CINQUE: That's absolutely a misstatement of the law of privilege. Privilege goes to advice given by a lawyer. If you asked him to search for documents, I'm entitled to know that. We'll deal with the Magistrate on this, but I'm giving you a chance now. You know as a lawyer that parties have discovery obligations. If the lawyer goes to the client and asks the client to produce documents, that is absolutely not privileged. Now do you wish to reconsider your position or do we let the Magistrate rule on it? MR. PHILLIPS: Ask a question. BY MR. CINQUE: Q. Okay. Sir, were you ever asked by myone to produce any documents in your file a lating to the Bulkhouse transaction that closed in July of 2001?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	your file relating to the Bulkhouse transaction? "A. Yes. Q. Did you do it directly or did you deputize someone to do it for you? A. I gave it to my attorney, my lawyer in-house. Q. What did you give to your lawyer in-house? A. A book and a file. Q. And what's that lawyer's name? A. PaulParmele. Q. Spell it, please. A. PaulParmele. I'm not sure. FARM ELE, or something like that. Q. You don't know how to spell his name and you entrusted him to produce the documents' MR. PHILLIPS: Stop arguing with the witness, Bob. MR. CINQUE: Be quiet. MR. PHILLIPS: Stop.

Q. — it's what you thought was

A. I thought — what I thought was

Q. Okay. Do you remember what you

19

20

21

22

23

24

reasonable?

A. Correct.

thought was reasonable?

A. Involvement with the dealings?

A. I don't recall if there were many,

but if there were any, I would have been involved.

transactions or dealings with Malease; in other

words, let's put it this way: Do you know whether

Q. Do you have any recollection of any

Q. Right.

18

19

20

21

22

24

	Page 1 14	Page 115
1	reasonable was the present value of that rent	Q. Subsequent to September of 2001, did
2	stream, that 2-year rent stream present value to	2 you ever have any discussion with Mr. Hodge or
3	that point in time.	3 anyone — or Mr. Price or anyone at Kroger
4	Q. And did that - Did you contemplate	4 concerning the legal position of Malease?
5	any premium of any kind in that calculation?	5 A. Not really.
6	A. The only premium would have been in	6 MR. CINQUE: Okay, all right.
7	the form of what discount rate I used.	7 That's all I have for the witness at this time
8	Q. And what do you mean by that?	8 subject to obtaining certain rulings, which I
9	A. Well, whenever you do a present value	9 described previously.
10	calculation, you do a discount rate. And it could	10 MR. PHILLIPS: We'll reserve on
11	be a very low rate, which raises the number or very	11 signature if it's order. Send it to me and I'll
12	high rate, which lowers the number.	12 get it to the witness to review.
1 3		13
	mind?	14
15	A. A fairly low rate.	15
16	Q. Of, such as?	16
17	1	17 EDWARD N. WALDVOGEL
18	Q. As opposed to 7?	18
19	A. The deal was 12 percent.	19 DEPOSITION CONCLUDED AT 11:35 A.M.
20	Q. Twelve plus	20
2 1 22	A. Twelve plus.Q. For two years, talking about two	21 22
	yea rs?	23
24	A. Right.	24
	Page 116	Page 117
1	CERTIFICATE	1 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto set my hand
2	STATE OF KENTUCKY:	2 and official seal of office at Cincinnati, Ohio,
3	: SS	3 this day of , 2004.
4 5	STATE AT LARGE:	4
_	I, BRITNEY L. FISHER, the undersigned, a duly qualified and commissioned notary public within and	5
6	for the State of 'Kentucky, do hereby certify that	6 7 MY COMMISSION EXPIRES: BRITNEY L. FISHER
8	before the giving of his aforesaid deposition, the	8 JULY 3, 2005. NOTARY PUBLIC-STATE OF
9	said EDWARD N. WALDVOGEL was by me first duly sworn	9 KENTUCKY
10	to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but	10 KENTOCKT
1 1	the truth; that the foregoing is the deposition	11
1 2		12
13		13
14	respects pursuant to agreement; that said	14
1 5	deposition was taken by me in stenotypy and	15
16	transcribed by computer-aided transcription under	16
17	my supervision; that the transcribed deposition is	17
18	to be submitted to the witness for his examination	18
19	and signature; that I am neither a relative of nor	19
20	attorney for any of the parties to this cause, nor	20
2 1	relative of nor employee for any of their counsel,	21
22	and have no interest whatever in the result of the	22
23 24	action.	23
24		24

118

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO 2 WESTERN DIVISION 3 THE KROGER CO, PLAINTIFF,: -VS- : CASE NO. C-I-02-439 MALEASE FOODS CORP., : DEFENDANT.: Britney L. Fisher, a court reporter, first 7 duly cautioned and sworn, testifies and affirms that EDWARD N. WALDVOGEL, a witness herein, was notified that the transcript was ready for review and signature on Wednesday, March 17, 2004 2004, by forwarding a copy of the transcript to Mr. Scott Phillips, Esq. 10 Within thirty-one days (pursuant to Rule (30)E of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure), EDWARD N. WALDVOGEL, a witness herein, did not 11 present signature of s.aid deposition. The original transcript is now being tendered into the hands of Mr. Robert W. Cinque, Further affiant saycth naught. 17 18 Britney L. Fisher Sworn to me and subscribed in my presence this 1920 day of , 2004. 21 Pamela Sue Spangler Notary 22 23 24 Public: State of Ohio My commission expires: April 29, 2007

Paae 1

A
abandoned 23:23
24:3
abandonment 23:4,6
23:1626:336:15
ability 39:1 56:15
able 36:6 63:5 73:20
78:24
about 5:4,4,8 8:7 9:21
9:24 12:4,13,14
13:9,13,14 14:2,19
14:20 15:2,9 18:6,7
19:7,9,16,1820:7
20:1721:722:5
23:6 24:3 25:3 26:3
29:5,11 30:931:9
31:16,18,2032:12
32:15,19,2033:18
35:1 36:739:10,20
39:2240:1041:1,22
42:347:21 49:4
51:2452:1253:6,14
57:858:861:23
63:10,11 72:3,19
75:4,1078:980:8
82:989:790:11
92:10,11 93:397:22
98:7 103:4 104:8
106:19 113:15
114:22
above 18:2 22:5,8:
absolutely 6:24 21:1 35:590:1899:16
108:3,12
accelerated 60:18
accept 45:4
acceptable 63:16
accomplish 7:20 36:6
account 19:23 21:6
accountant 19:14
accounting 16:23
17:1635:1060:8
accreted 68:5
accreting 68:13
accurate 66:17
accusation 74:14
accusations 1 10:4
acquaint 45:23
acquire 71:18 97:14
acquired 74:14
acquiring 58:13
act 6:7 96: 14
acted 59:9
acting 93:19 94:1,6
95:8,17,18
action 116:23
actual 5:12,18 8:3
72:2485:11
actually 17:15,19 18:5
23:736:4,945:23
,,,,

82:994:1 96:13
add 6:9
addition 47:23 additional 6":21 9:6
addressed 33.7 36: 15
adds 22:9
adequate 6:17
adequately 73:9 adjusted 21: 15, 20
Administration 60: 1
advantage 62: 19,23
71:21,2272:9,11,11
106:1 advantaged 69: 1 7
advantages 63:21
65:11,1571:10,11
71:12,15,17 advice 103:21 108:5
affiant 11 8: 15
affirms 11 8:7
affixed 3:7
aforesaid 116:8 after 7:10,16 9:15
12:24 14:5 16:3
20:1533:869:3
83:5,7,13,1785:20 105:4
again 16:11 19:16
33:1146:257:24
63:2376:1681:2 99:19 103:18
106:24
against 16:24 20:4
37:10 age 4:3
agenda 3 1:8,24
agent 93:14,16,19
agents 65:4 94:23,24
ago 25:3 35:14 80:1 agree 27:8 63:22 64:2
65:1266:779:1,3
79:12,13,19,23
agreed 45:6 87:11 97:4
agreement 1:14 2:24
49:15,18,2254:18
55:1 56:772:5,15 97:5 116:14
ahead 12:922:845:2
45:546:264:10 79:1294:21 104:23
111:23
AI 38:6,10
allocated 17:24
allocation 15:16 allow 65:23
allowed 39: 12
along 81: 14
already 13:18 15:24 18:1 51:2270:22
10.1 31.22/0.22

85:286:15,1988:1
90:19
always 7: 18 93: 11 among 14:24
amortization 35:19
amount 14: 14,21 15:6
15:7,8
analysis 47: 12, 13
78:2480:15 analyzed 47:8
and/or 32:23 52:3
another 31:17 74:18
95:1697:1098:9
101:3 answer 11:1 12:10,20
17:3,4,1822:21
28:2339:643:19
46:3 50:951:17
52:8,1353:1257:24 57:2467:676:16
79:994:1397:17
105:21 106:20
107:24 108:21
answered 5 1:22 98:23
answering 105:22 anybody 37:3 43:13
44:12
anymore 13:3
anyone 11:9,18,20
31:1645:1648:13 52:2469:1770:9
75:18,1876:11
108:18 113:5 115:3
115:3
anything 8:9 12:4 25:1328:2232:15
52:11 77:2 102:22
104:9
anyway 17:9
any where 49: 19 56:18
appear 90:15
appearance 90:18
APPEARANCES 2:1
applied 20:4 9 1:22
appraisal 32:4 approach 94:15
103:20
approval 77:21,22
approved 83:1,4 Approximately 14:17
April 13:21 14:5,13
14:14 15:2,2,10,21
16:2,1747:591:12
118:22
area 60:8 arguing 109:17
argument 46:21
110:5,6
argumentative 45:2

46:2 94:21
arise 8:22
around 5:17,19 48:24
54:572:21
aside 16: 11 50:17
53:8 100:12 101:19
asked 23:21 32:11,21
43:14,1557:23
76:1579:898:19
100:15 18 103:19
100:15,18 103:19 106:17 107:19
108:5,17
asking 12: 14 32: 15
49:1 51:2364:12
82:5 110:4
asks 108: 11
aspect 12: 17 22: 16
23:1431:945:11
102:20
aspects 62:18
asset 24:5 34:5, 15
41:17
assets 6:4,5 7:23 34:7
40:755:13,24
assist 54:5
assume 13:15 46:17
50:1657:1270:11
94:17 108:2 113:9
assumed 18:6,920:10
20:21 22:969:1
73:19
assumption 21:3
77:14
assure 6:8
attached 28: 18 29:5
29:1461:21 98:17
98:20
attachment 61:23
attempting 94: 10
attempting 94: 10 attention 62:15
attempting 94: 10 attention 62:15 104:16
attempting 94: 10 attention 62:15 104:16 attorney 11:11 49:24
attempting 94: 10 attention 62:15 104:16 attorney 11:11 49:24 50:2 109:5 116:20
attempting 94: 10 attention 62:15 104:16 attorney 11:11 49:24 50:2 109:5 116:20 attractive 34:20
attempting 94: 10 attention 62:15 104:16 attorney 11:11 49:24 50:2 109:5 116:20
attempting 94: 10 attention 62:15 104:16 attorney 11:11 49:24 50:2 109:5 116:20 attractive 34:20 August 83:1 1,16 85:17,2486:13 87:3
attempting 94: 10 attention 62:15 104:16 attorney 11:11 49:24 50:2 109:5 116:20 attractive 34:20 August 83:1 1,16
attempting 94: 10 attention 62:15 104:16 attorney 11:11 49:24 50:2 109:5 116:20 attractive 34:20 August 83:1 1,16 85:17,2486:13 87:3 87:1488:1,9,14
attempting 94: 10 attention 62:15 104:16 attorney 11:11 49:24 50:2 109:5 116:20 attractive 34:20 August 83:1 1,16 85:17,2486:13 87:3 87:1488:1,9,14 89:2,8,11,2290:3
attempting 94: 10 attention 62:15 104:16 attorney 11:11 49:24 50:2 109:5 116:20 attractive 34:20 August 83:1 1,16 85:17,2486:13 87:3 87:1488:1,9,14 89:2,8,11,2290:3 90:11,2091:21
attempting 94: 10 attention 62:15 104:16 attorney 11:11 49:24 50:2 109:5 116:20 attractive 34:20 August 83:1 1,16 85:17,2486:13 87:3 87:1488:1,9,14 89:2,8,11,2290:3
attempting 94: 10 attention 62:15 104:16 attorney 11:11 49:24 50:2 109:5 116:20 attractive 34:20 August 83:1 1,16 85:17,2486:13 87:3 87:1488:1,9,14 89:2,8,11,2290:3 90:11,2091:21 95:2396:12,16 112:15
attempting 94: 10 attention 62:15 104:16 attorney 11:11 49:24 50:2 109:5 116:20 attractive 34:20 August 83:1 1,16 85:17,2486:13 87:3 87:1488:1,9,14 89:2,8,11,2290:3 90:11,2091:21 95:2396:12,16 112:15 author 29:16 31:7,23
attempting 94: 10 attention 62:15 104:16 attorney 11:11 49:24 50:2 109:5 116:20 attractive 34:20 August 83:1 1,16 85:17,2486:13 87:3 87:1488:1,9,14 89:2,8,11,2290:3 90:11,2091:21 95:2396:12,16 112:15 author 29:16 31:7,23 82:21
attempting 94: 10 attention 62:15 104:16 attorney 11:11 49:24 50:2 109:5 116:20 attractive 34:20 August 83:1 1,16 85:17,2486:13 87:3 87:1488:1,9,14 89:2,8,11,2290:3 90:11,2091:21 95:2396:12,16 112:15 author 29:16 31:7,23 82:21 authorities 10:1 1
attempting 94: 10 attention 62:15 104:16 attorney 11:11 49:24 50:2 109:5 116:20 attractive 34:20 August 83:1 1,16 85:17,2486:13 87:3 87:1488:1,9,14 89:2,8,11,2290:3 90:11,2091:21 95:2396:12,16 112:15 author 29:16 31:7,23 82:21 authorities 10:1 1 authority 65:6
attempting 94: 10 attention 62:15 104:16 attorney 11:11 49:24 50:2 109:5 116:20 attractive 34:20 August 83:1 1,16 85:17,2486:13 87:3 87:1488:1,9,14 89:2,8,11,2290:3 90:11,2091:21 95:2396:12,16 112:15 author 29:16 31:7,23 82:21 authorities 10:1 1 authority 65:6 authorized 77:17
attempting 94: 10 attention 62:15 104:16 attorney 11:11 49:24 50:2 109:5 116:20 attractive 34:20 August 83:1 1,16 85:17,2486:13 87:3 87:1488:1,9,14 89:2,8,11,2290:3 90:11,2091:21 95:2396:12,16 112:15 author 29:16 31:7,23 82:21 authorities 10:1 1 authority 65:6 authorized 77:17 93:24 94:5
attempting 94: 10 attention 62:15 104:16 attorney 11:11 49:24 50:2 109:5 116:20 attractive 34:20 August 83:1 1,16 85:17,2486:13 87:3 87:1488:1,9,14 89:2,8,11,2290:3 90:11,2091:21 95:2396:12,16 112:15 author 29:16 31:7,23 82:21 authorities 10:1 1 authority 65:6 authorized 77:17 93:24 94:5 authorizing 77:20
attempting 94: 10 attention 62:15 104:16 attorney 11:11 49:24 50:2 109:5 116:20 attractive 34:20 August 83:1 1,16 85:17,2486:13 87:3 87:1488:1,9,14 89:2,8,11,2290:3 90:11,2091:21 95:2396:12,16 112:15 author 29:16 31:7,23 82:21 authorities 10:1 1 authority 65:6 authorized 77:17 93:24 94:5

avoiding 71:7 aware 10:13 13:543:9 43:2244:2046:14 50:12,1853:861:4 66:15 101:22 102:3 102:5 105:15 106:13 away 80:4 a.m 1:17 115:19

```
В
B3:14 10:15,21,21
 61:19,19 105:17
back 5:11 12:2323:18
 24:1026:335:7
 46:2149:278:11
  86:21,2487:1 99:4
  101:21 103:8 111:7
  113:1,5
background 59:15
 62:7
bad 29:3 73: 15
balance 68:14
Balkhouse 22:16 47:1
bankers 6:7
banker's 63:1
based 36: 11 106:20
bases 19:18,22
basically 60: 17 82:3
 113:7
basis 9:15 17:15 18:1
  19:820:12,13,24
  21:4,5,14,18,21
  22:1,3,1035:11
  37:20 43:7 44:7
 46:23 54:16 104:10
bates 29:24
bear 9: 17
became 36:3 55:6
Beckwith 104:17
become 35:24 60:2
becomes 9:8
before 1:185:525:13
 28:11 31:2,833:4
  37:1 61:1762:2
  64:1469:3,770:1
  74:6 76:3 78:8 80:5
  83:588:1 98:12
  99:24 101:5 103:6
  103:18 111:11
  116:8
beg81:1
begins 25:20 85: 19
behalf 2:2,9 53:1, 10
  53:1565:2496:6
being 4:3 30:4 3 1:9
  36:1345:1849:10
  64:775:1292:20
  102:5 110:2 118:13
believe 9:13 10:4 13:1
```

				Paee2
17:1529:1730:23	41:855:18,1956:16	29:8 78:20 80:9	112:11,14 115:6	complete 69:22
36:2437:354:11,19	58:6,10,13,16,23	care 65:18 67:7,8	118:13	completely 47:3 110:2
55:966:1979:16	60:23 67:3 70:20	carries 10:6	Civil 1:142:23 106:14	complex 63:20 65:10
believed 64:7	74:9,1582:4,785:3	cart 74:6	118:11	complicated 32:10
bell 45: 17 below 7:22 68:2	85:21 86:11 97:5 107:17 108:19	case 1:7 10:1434:1 98:7 100:23 102:20	claim 10:14,1843:10 44:1,2345:12	35:837:1262:14 computation 22:1
benchmark 7:9	107.17 108.19	105:4,23 106:10,16	104:11,12,13	compute 8:3
beneficial 35:22 36:1	Bulkhouse's 71:13	118:4	104.11,12,13	computer-aided
36:1,3,985:6,8	bullet 27:8 71:5,16	cash 8:16,17 9:4 55:4	claiming 43: 11, 18	116:16
benefit 61:4,4 69:10	75:3	60:2068:13,20,24	clarify 49:1	computing 19:22
70:1874:2293:7,8	bunch 38:5	77:14	clear 38:13 40:6,7, 19	20:2421:9
94:24	buried 39: 12	cause 35:18 39:13	41:11,1642:844:15	conceal 94: 10 95:3
benefited 36:4	business 45:20 60:1	116:20	48:16,1857:10	concept 66: 16 75:23
benefits 59:6	96:1	caused 35:12 60:18	66:2373:1476:6	concerned 35:21 41:1
best 9 1:24 93:21	Butler 23:2,5, 19	85:23	85:12 112:3	57:1661:762:8,22
94:15,16,17,1895:9	24:1032:2338:19	cautioned 118:7	clearly 42:15	69:987:1788:11
95:11 100:16	39:1742:748:11,21	Center 1:16 2:6	client 108:11,11	concerning 95:24
103:19 bet 79:9	49:350:13,1952:3 52:7,12,1772:1	certain 35:17 42:15 42:1669:2399:9	close 61:5 69:6 78:8 closed 85:21 86:10	115:4 conclude 42:11,12
better 59: 10 61:8	Butt 10:15,15,18 12:4	106:15 115:8	87:21 90:4 108:19	concluded 42:9 76:3
69:1979:1080:6,21	12:14,22 13:6,19,24	certainly 77:18 91:16	closing 5:12 59:6 69:2	88:5,13 115:19
91:1893:15	16:3,14 17:1 96:17	certification 60:6,7	69:1772:2473:5,17	conclusion 31:22
between 2:19 13:6	96:2497:5,11,19	certified 4:4 60:3	74:1276:11,21 83:5	40:12,15,1648:20
21:16,21 42:648:24	buy 9:6 37:2,4 39:1	certify 116:7	83:7,13,1988:5	49:23 67:6
96:1 97:18 104:9	49:1655:11,2356:5	cetera 8:1 32:2358:5	89:16,2390:11,15	conclusions 53:14
105:18 113:6	56:1457:21 58:4,5	65:2 78:20 80:9 chance 108:8	90:21 Co 1:525:2089:4	confused 86: 18
big 95:1 billing 89: 10, 14	65:8 66:22 70:22 71:1 72:475:676:5	change 64: 13	118:3	connection 11:2 24:22 25:1 27:1 28:14
binder 43:4	80:586:591:792:1	changed 5:22,23	code 62:20,24	45:11 50:20106:16
hinders 42: 15	92:1 2 4 5 9 1693:4	35·1878·3	collaboration 62:12	consideration 71·2
blank 66:9	93:994:2396:14	changes 8:10	62:13	73:3,4
Board 77:2 1,22	99:20	characterize 73:1	collect 112:12	considerations 35:3,6
Bob 22:7 43:1 61:11	buyer 34:1 94:11 95:4	charge 29:8	college 4: 1 1	39:10
76:1579:880:17	buying 9: 10 36: 19	charged 16:23	combination 8:19	considering 92:16
104:14,19 109:18	37:2258:2,1985:2 91:11 93:1094:7	check 17:17 19:13	38:17	conspiracy 104:13
110:1,9 book 35:15 109:9	buyout 43:5	83:1785:1387:9,19 90:7,13	come 18:17 32:21,23 33:1840:2145:15	constantly 34:22 consultants 6:7
books 35: 11	buys 93: 12	Chief 77:24	49:897:15 103:12	consulted 29:5 3 1:9
boss 8:20	ouys 75. 12	choosing 45: 15	comes 9: 10 52:4	consummate 13:20
both 62:4 67:2 71:13	C	chose 11 1:18	coming 8:8 24:21	consummated 13:18
78:480:19	C 68:7,7 105:17 116:1	Cincinnati 1:17 2:8	32:21	contact 33: 17, 18
bottom 24:7 27:7 3 1:6	116:1	32:21,22,24117:2	comment 85:10	99:19
bought 17:21 20:13	CA 85:11	Cinque 2:10,12,12 4:7	commission 1 17:7	contained 11:10
20:1521:1637:21	calculation 114:5,10	12:10,13,19 17:6	118:21	containing 3:16
40:741:1347:1	calculations 24: 10 calendar 8:5	22:11,1428:846:3	commissioned 116:6	contemplated 114:4
86:6 113:8,11 break 7:1 19:961:12	call 7:5 32:11 63:5	46:449:6,751:7,9 51:13,18,2052:1	committee 8:20 communicate 107:22	contemplated 22:17 contemplation 34:10
Brief 22:13 61:14	106:4	53:18,1961:13,16	communication 82:5	58:393:1794:19
112:13	called 25:21 43:4	64:2265:1 75:14,16	82:1086:1	content 51:23
bring 104:16	49:1456:8	76:17,1979:5,9,11	communications	contention 45:7
Britney 1:18 116:5	calling 32:14	80:1881:1,3,20,24	82:17	contents 70: 16
117:7 118:6,17	calls 30: 12 67:5	82:18,22,2384:5,11	company4:21 11:14	continue 84:20
broken 12:21	came 30: 16 33:8 5 1:5	84:1286:2387:2	77:24	contract 13:5,9 18:13
Brown 1:162:547:21	51:8	98:2,6,10 101:7,9	comparing 31:19	18:15,1839:1245:5
48:13 50:4 budgets 6:3	capable 62:5 capacity 4:22	101:11 104:12,15 104:20,24105:8,11	compelled 59:14 compensate 72:16	58:14,1997:18,24 contracts 55:12,24
buildings 7:24 19:20	capital 4:23 5:3,5,14	104.20,24103.8,11	compete 39:4	57:22
19:21,23	5:176:1,2,3,20,23	107:1 108:3,16	competent 62:6	controlled 97: 19
Bulkhouse23:1,15	7:15,21,21 8:3,20	109:19,21,23 110:7	competing 39:8	conversation 51:24
27:1 37:1 40:10	9:6 10:3,4,5,627:13	110:10,13,18,20	complementing 86:7	107:23

	T			Pace 3
conversations 106:19	89:298:15,18	57:1 115:9	24:2225:1,4,7	emanating 52:17
108:1	110:23	describing 19:12	37:1338:1839:12	employed 4:20
converting 85:3	daughters 4: 12	designed 62: 19	40:1842:7,845:4	employee 26:10
convinced 37:23 38:1	day 8:10 2F:11, 16,17	determine 42:8	47:3 56:1757:2	116:21
38:2,15,20	34:844:1057:10	device 81:13	76:4,6105:6 106:15	empty 23:24
cooperate 1 1 1 :20	83:1784:1 98:9	differ 81:1	107:3,16 108:6,12	enclose 90:7
copy 118:9	110:17 117:3	difference 80:2	108:18 109:16	encumbrance 19:6
Corp 1:829:1930:14	118:18	different 5:15 35:10	111:15	41:2,8,2249:11
118:4	days 16:20 43:23 70:2	35:1667:4	doing 28:3 37:6,10	encumbrances 14:3,6
corporate 54:17	70:3 78:781:5	diligence 16:18	72:15,19	39:940:19,2442:9
corporation 6:2,21	118:10	direct 8: 13 92:2	domiciled 13:1	48:7
correct 6:15 7:13	deal 16:2 37:13, 16	directly 93:4, 13 95:1	done 23:20 28:4 34:21	end 34:8 36:20 37:24
11:16 14:4,7 15:1,4	42:1982:796:17,23	109:3	38:1547:677:1	40:657:10,1063:20
18:14,21 21:19	97:10 108:7 110:18	Director 5:5,17	83:6 85:20 86:8,8	63:2469:3,773:14
24:18,1926:11	114:19	disadvantages 75:2,3	95:10	93:14 106:4
28:1436:1237:6,9	dealing 91:22 109:23	disagree 110:12	double 10:2	engage 54:4 104:23
43:21 47:9,10,23	110:2	disaster 67: 18,21	doubt 30:20 102:16	engaged 28:1 64:15
48:1053:16,2055:2	dealings 31:10 112:17	discount 43:7 114:7	102:17	66:296:13
58:765:4,5,13 68:9	112:18,23	114:10,13	down 9:7 12:21 15:22	engagement 29:4,6
80:1582:383:2,3	deals 39:9 81:8	discovery 105:4	26:668:2 109:21	30:1954:9,18,20
83:10,2084:15	dealt 37:19 73:17	108:10	draft 100:5	enjoys 7:14
86:1489:12,19,24	debt 8:10 9:7 21:3	discuss 25:21 31:11	drafted 100:7,13	enlighten 102:7
90:5,16,2296:10	68:2,5,12,21 77:15	39:1441:1848:12	105:3	enormous 63:21
110:23 111:4,9,17	deceive 1 1 1 :4	52:666:1470:9	drag 8 1:9 due 10:10 16:18	65:11
111:21 112:4,9	deception 94: 19,22	71:24101:4,13,17		enough 45:22 48:16
113:21	decide 80:5	101:20102:21 112:5	duly 4:3 116:5,9 118:7	entered 61:15 93:23
cost 7:21,21 10:4,5,6 34:2363:694:16	decided 13:369:18 70:22,24 94:2	discussed 24:2 25:4,6	during 5:13 26:4	entire 39:20 entirely 44:2
95:2	decision 8:17	28:340:1,369:4	69:1676:9 101:24	entirety 62:1 1
costs 35:20	decisions 6:9	74:1791:11 101:18	duties 5:20	entitled 89: 18 108:6
counsel 2:19 51:6.8	deduct 64:4	discussing 24:9 48:5	duties 3.20	entity 69: 11 97:19
51:15,1652:4,6	deductions 60: 19	72:7 75:22 76:2	Е	entries 35:10,10
107:14,23 108:1	defendant 1:9,12 2:9	101:19	£2:163:10,14 10:21	entrusted 109:16
116:21	118:5	discussion 25:24	23:1,1024:625:24	equal 19:7,9
counterclaim 46:8,10	deferred 7 1:8	31:1433:1542:6,18	68:7,877:11 109:14	equally 62:5
46:1549:5	DeFurioni 38:6,10,10	42:2348:969:15	109:14 116:1,1	equivalent 26:16
course 17:12	degree 59:22	72:1874:11 75:10	each 6:3 7:9 19:19	error 7:23
courses 59:16	deliberation 44: 18	75:1791:2092:10	35:2466:13	Esq 2:3,10 118:9,14
court 1:1 43:24,24	department 107:6	92:1993:3 102:23	earlier 72:9 81:6	essence 40:4
87:1 118:1,6	depend 9:2	115:2	99:21	estate 26: 14 27:4,5
cover 64:13 99:3, 12	depending 8:10 21:15	discussions 38:19	early 12:2431:15	29:1062:9
CPA 59:17,18	deposed 4:5 50:15,18	39:1940:5,2241:21	62:21 91:7,12	et 7:24 32:22 58:5
critical 78: 12 80:4 cross-examination	51:4,21 52:4 deposition 1:11 2:20	42:3 45:1649:3 76:4,9	earn 10:2 East 1:17 2:7	65:2 78:20 80:9 evacuated 12:24
1:132:223:11 4:6	2:243:5,1550:21	dismissed 44:4	economic 23:4,6,15	even 19:923:739:10
1:132:223:11 4:6 crystal 44: 14	51:2452:18,20	dismissed 44:4 dispose 34:6	24:1626:336:15	44:1890:19 100:21
curiosity 103:1	81:2284:3 101:24	DISTRICT 1:1, 2	80:1593:8	event 67:18 83:8
curious 103:10	102:4,7 105:16	118:1,1	economics 31:19 78:6	ever 8:22 11:1 23:9
current 48:24	106:4115:19116:8	division 1:36:3 118:2	78:9,1381:4	24:3,2425:1328:10
currently 78: 19	116:11,13,15,17	divisions 6:8	Ed 25:21 57:24	29:530:1937:15
C-1-02-4391:7 118:4	118:12	document 3:16 6:7	Edward 1:11 2:21	40:12,21 42:18
	denositions 51:1	11:1336:1842:14	3:124:2 115:17	43:1344:8.1245:10
<u>D</u>	53:11	43:1,3,748:15	116:9,12 118:7,11	45:1546:752:16
02:33:1030:2468:8	depreciation 19:20,21	49:1961:2262:17	effective 72:5	54:11 65:1666:14
77:10	21:15,20	67:2469:21,22,23	effort 73:22	78:1592:1096:5,23
damages 11:13	deputize 109:4	69:2470:5,1598:20	efforts 36: 13, 16, 18	97:9,1498:11 101:4
date 5:18 14:878:12	derived 24: 14	105:19 106:9,11	eggs 32:2	101:20 102:10,12
80:3,1382:13	describe 5:21 26:23	documentation 16:19	either 101:7,9	102:14 103:6
dated 25:19 28:1 7	described 28: 19 32: 15	documented 50:16	Eleven 55:7	107:15 108:17
30:2277:1085:17	39:24 40:23 48:7	documents 15:15	eliminated 41: 10,1 2	113:5 115:2
1		1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Severything 57:9					Pace 4
location	every 8:1031:14	facilities 17:22 18:14	fiscal 8:4	gain 19:1021:10,11	48:1849:292:16
17:02.1			Fisher 1:18 116:5		93:8
Each 44.2 87.24 113.2 Each 47.2 87.2 113.2 Each 47.2 113.2 Each 47.2 87.2 113.2 Each 47.2 87.2 113.2 Each 47.2 113.2 Each 47.2 87.2 113.2 Each 47.2 87.2 113.2 Each 47.2 113.2 Each 47.2 87.2 113.2 Each 47.2 87.2 113.2 Each 47.2 113.2 Each 47.2 87.2 113.2 Each 47.2 87.2 113.2 Each 47.2 113.2 Each 47.2 87.2 113.2 Each 47.2 87.2 113.2 Each 47.2 113.2 Each 47.2 87.2 113.2 Each 47.2 87.2 113.2 Each 47.2 113.2 Each 47.2 87.2 113.2 Each 47.2 87.2 113.2 Each 47.2 113.2 Each 47.	everything 57:9	facility 13:2 14:10	117:7 118:6,17	gave 70:11, 12,14	head 74:19 77:5
cxacty 15:1730:7 factor 20:23.24 21:2 fair factor 20:23 7:2	100.2				
T1-9		fact 44:2 87:24 113:2			hear 45:10 51:4
Examination 3:6	exactly 15:1730:7	factor 20:23,24 21:2	fixing 84:1	general 6:22 107:14	heard 50:15 51:3, 11
The common of	71:9	factored 2 1:24	flat 54:23	generally 5:21	51:14
examined 44-73:9 examined 44-73:9 examined 84-11,15 graph 84-11,16 graph 84-11 graph 84-12 graph 84-12 graph 84-12 graph 84-12 graph 84-12 graph 84-12 graph 84-13	examination 3:6	failure 7:20 105:5	Florida 4: 15	gentleman 26:7	hearing 50:17
example 8.4.11.15 cxcrcise 8.72.416 cxcrc 8.816.17 10:5 excres 8.16.17 10:5 excres 8.16.17 10:5 excres 8.17.2416 25:15.29.960:10 25:15.29.20.99 26:16.20.99 26:16.20.99 26:16.20.99 26:16.20.99 26:16.20.99 26:16.20.99 26:10.20.9	116:18	fair 32:4 36:21 40:17	flow 9:5	getting 74:6 111:20	help 28:23 70:17
25:15 cxecs 8:16,17 10:5 familiar 10:223:11 following 60:6 follows 4:5 foods 1:829:1930:14 gist 40:19 50:6 53:22 go.8:13 109.7 given 98:3,3 108:5 liight 14:12 given 91:8 3:155:13 foregoing 116:11 foregoing 118:9 foregoing 116:11 foregoing 118:9 foregoing 116:11 foregoing 118:9 foregoing 118:14 foregoing 118:9 foregoing 118:9 foregoing 118:9 foregoing 118:9 foregoing 118:9 foregoing 118:9 foregoing 118:14 foregoing 118:9 foregoin	examined 4:4 73:9	49:666:11 72:23	folks 34:14 38:18	ghost 110:22,24 111:8	helped 86:8
exercise 8:7 24:16 25:1529:960:10 25:100:123 25:149:960:20 25:149:9	example 8:4,11,15	97:7 101:2	follow 25:21 45:5	Giobbi 38:8,9 61:19	her 3:2
Exercises 8.7 24:16	25:15		following 60:6		hereinafter 1:15 4:4
43:5 56:1658:20 82:1283:22 far 35:21 57:1558:3 61:762:7,2269:9 foregoing 116:11 forewer 81:10 86:6 foregoing 116:11 forewer 81:10 86:6 sexisting 42:15 75:7 fast ibit 23:1,9 24:6 22:15,18,24,2428:9 28:10,1630:2233:3 favor 44:16 formally 8:8 33:461:1769:20 77:1081:2282:1 featured 102:20 featured 102:20 77:1081:2282:1 February 69:24 70:21 featured 102:20 77:1081:2282:1 February 69:24 70:21 featured 102:20 77:1081:2282:1 february 69:24 70:21 18:11 forewer 81:10 86:6 formally 8:8 37:3 45:2 46:2 64:9 49:251:11,23,24 57:864:15,1665:16 formally 8:8 71:227:19,2373:7 77:1178:11 forewer 81:10 86:6 formally 8:8				1 = 1	
Exercised 40:20 47:2 97:8 61:762:7,2269:9 78:11 80:287:17 Exhibit 23: 1,9 24:6 25:15,182,42428:9 28:10,1630:2233:3 33:461:1769:20 Featured 102:20 Featured					
97:8 cxercising 42:15 75:7 78:11 80:287:17 foregoing 116:11 forever 81:10 86:6 25:15,18,24,2428:9 favor 44:16 forever 81:10 86:6 28:10,1630:2233:3 favor 44:16 foregoing 188:7 33:46:1769:20 77:1081:2282:1 featured 102:20 featured 102:20 77:1081:2282:1 February 69:24 70:21 formally 8:8 94:21 95:7 102:10 87:864:15,1665:16 72:1684:886:7 79:1291:1 92:20 77:1081:2282:1 February 69:24 70:21 forwarding 118:9 forwarding 118:9 forwarding 118:9 forwarding 118:9 forwarding 118:9 forwarding 118:9 forwarding 18:9 forwarding 18:9 forwarding 18:9 forwarding 18:9 fee 54:23 82:7 87:11 feeling 3 1:24 95:15 fee 54:23 82:7 87:10 feeling 3 1:24 495:15 fee 54:23 82:7 87:10 feeling 3 1:24 95:15 fee 54:23 82:7 87:10 feeling 3 1:24 95:15 feeling 3 1:24 95:1					
exercising 42:15 75:7 78:11 80:287:17 forewer 81:10 86:6 25:15,18,24,2428:9 28:10,1630:2233:3 favor 44:16 foreget 43:1 foregeting 38:7 77:1281:2236:24 49:251:11,23,24 77:20181:2282:1 83:1584:3,685:14 77:1272:19,2373:7 78:11489:298:11,12 federal 1:13 2:22 formally 8:8 94:21 95:7 102:10 88:1689:22,2390:8 88:1					- C
Exhibit 23: 1.9 24:6 25:15,18,24,2428:9 favor 44:16 forgetting 38:7 37:345:2462:64:9 57:864:15,1665:16 57:874:15,1665:16 57:864:15,1665:16 57:864:15,1665:16 57:864:15,1665:16 57:864:15,1665:16 57:864:15,1665:16 57:864:15,1665:16 57:864:15,1665:16 57:864:15,1665:16 57:864:15,1665:16 57:864:15,1665:16 57:864:15,1665:16 57:864:15,1665:16 57:864:15,1665:16 57:864:15,1665:16 57:864:15,1665:16 57:874:15,1665:16 57:864:15,1665:16 57:864:15,1665:16 57:864:15,1665:16 57:864:15,1665:16 57:864:15,1665:16 57:864:15,1665:16 57:864:15,1665:16 57:864:15,1665:16 57:864:15,1665:16 57:864:15,1665:16 57:864:15,1665:16 57:864:15,1665:16 57:864:15,1665:16 57:864:15,1665:16 57:864:15,1665:16 57:874:15,1864:12 57:201:106:11 57:201:106:11 57:201:106:11 57:201:106:11 57:201:106:11 57:201:106:11 57:201:106:11 57:1066:2370:7 57:11 57:201:106:11 57:1066:2370:7 57:11 57:1066:2370:7 57:11 57:1066:2370:7 57:11 57:1066:2370:7 57:11 57:1066:2370:7 57:11 57:1066:2370:7 57:1066:2370:7 57:1066:2370:7 57:1066:2370:7 57:1066:2370:7 57:106					
28:15,18,24,2428.9 favor 44:16 feasibility 72:19 form 61 18 114:7 79:1291:1 92:20 77:1081:2282:1 83:1584:3,685:14 71:2272:19,2373:7 71:181:1 98:14 101:9 112:7 113:3 105:17 feeling 3 1:24 95: 15 fell 89: 19 expert sides 62: 20 expect doi: 10: 20: 21:2368:21 expectation 7:9 9:15 9:16 expension 97:6 expect doi: 40: 179: 19: 15 9:16 expension 6: 6: expenditures 78:20 80:9 filed 46: 15 final 47: 477:20 100:6 finally 37:21 85: 20 experience 93: 11 expert 11:627:4,4 finance 63: 2 expert size 60: 13 experience 93: 11 expert 11:627:4,2 finance 63: 2 final 51:347:748:19 expires 117:7 118:21 expire 1 13:9 ex	1				, and the second
28:10.1630:2233:3 33:461:1769:20 77:1081:2282:1 83:1584:3.685:14 85:1489:298:11,12:7 113:3 105:17 existed 62:20 testing 15:23 18:1 19:2420:4,6,10 21:2368:21 19:2420:4,6,10 21:2368:21 expectation 79:9:15 9:16 expenditures 78:20 expectation 6:6 expenditures 78:20 80:9 16 expenditures 78:20 80:16 first 4:3 29:16 33:16 109:1.2 100:18 103:2, 144:00:21 100:18 103:2, 144:00:21 100:18 103:2, 144:00:21 100:18 103:2, 144:00:21 100:18 103:2, 144:00:21 100:18 103:2, 144:00:21 100:18 103:2, 144:00:21 100:18 103:2, 144:00:21 100:18 103:2, 144:02:21 100:18 100	· ·				7 7
33:461:1769:20 February 69:24 70:21 Formally 8:8 forth 1:15 46:22 forth 2:16 47:27:19,2373:7 forth 2:16 47:27:19,2373:7 forth 2:16 48:18 forth 1:15 46:22 forth 2:16 47:27:19,2373:7 forth 2:16 48:8 forth 1:15 46:22 forth 2:16 48:18 forth 2:15 46:22 forth 2:16 48:28 forth 2:16 48:38 forth 2					
T7:1081:2282:1 83:1584:3,685:14 85:1489:298:11,12 77:1178:11 77:1178:11 6rowarding 118:9 6rowa		1			
83:1584;3,685;14 85:1489:298:11,12 98:14 101:9 112:7 113:3 exhibits 3: 15 25: 13 105:17 existed 62:20 existing 15:23 18:1 18:11 feed at 12:3 2:22 found 88:8 105:17 existed 62:20 existing 15:23 18:1 19:2420:4,6,10 21:2368:21 expectation 7:9 9:15 9:16 expect 66:4 107:8 expectation 7:9 9:15 9:16 expectations 6:6 expenditures 78:20 80:9 expect 6:9 expect			_		
85:1489:298:11,12 98:14 101:91127 federal 1:13 2:22 found 88:8 78:23 95:2 108:5,10 93:5 94:4 95:8 96:2 10:10106:14 frame 48:3 free 9:4 41:10,16 79:2281:984:2 10:210,12 103:3 105:17 fee 54:23 82:7 87: 11 fee 9:4 41:10,16 79:2281:984:2 10:210,12 103:3 108:5 109:16 fell 89: 19 76:6 few 25:10,11 33:13 free 9:4 41:10,16 79:2281:984:2 102:10,12 103:3 108:5 109:16 111:15 frame 48:3 free 9:4 41:10,16 79:2281:984:2 102:10,12 103:3 108:5 109:16 111:15 frame 48:3 free 9:4 41:10,16 79:2281:984:2 102:10,12 103:3 108:5 109:16 111:15 frame 48:3 free 9:4 41:10,16 79:2281:984:2 102:10,12 103:3 108:5 109:16 111:15 frame 48:3 free 9:4 41:10,16 79:2281:984:2 102:10,12 103:3 108:5 109:16 111:15 frame 48:3 free 9:4 41:10,16 79:2281:984:2 102:10,12 103:3 108:5 109:16 111:15 frame 48:3 free 9:4 41:10,16 79:2281:984:2 109:16,230 11:32:3 free 9:4 41:10,16 79:2281:984:2 109:16 free 9:4 41:10,16 79:2281:984:2 104:22,24 106:3,4 from 8:7 12:23 16:24 good 4:8,9,18 61:12 find 25:18 30:24 30:2332:3,1837:6 graduate 59:21 graduate 59:21 graduate 59:21 graduate 59:22 graduate 59:21 graduate 59:22 31:11 32:1460:21 graduate 59:22 31:11 32:1460:21 graduate 59:22 31:11 32:1460:21 growth 9:21 growth 9:21 growth 9:21 98:22,2399:14 103:7,17 110:23,24 growth 9:21 103:7,17 110:23,24 growth 9:21 growth		3			
98:14 101:9 112:7		1			
113:3					
exhibits 3: 15 25: 13 105:17 fee \$4:23 82:7 87: 11 fee \$4:38 82:7 87: 11 fee \$4:38 82:7 87: 11 fee ing 3 1:24 95: 15 existed \$62:20 existing 15:23 18:1 fell 89: 19 felt 90:20 94: 10 felt 90:20 94: 10 felt 90:20 94: 10 ferway 3 1:8,24 from 8: 7 12:23 16:24 expansion 97:6 expect 66: 4 107: 8 expectation 7: 99: 15 growth 8: 9 expectation 6: 6 expectation 6: 6 expectations 6: 6 expectations 6: 6 expensive 34: 21, 23 expensive 34: 21, 23 experience 93: 11 experience 93: 11 experition 66: 13 experition 66: 13 experition 66: 13 experition 66: 15 final 47: 477: 20 100: 6 experition 66: 13 final 47: 477: 20 100: 6 experition 66: 10 experition					
105:17					
existed 62:20 feeling 3 1:24 95: 15 fell 89: 19 76:6 76:6 19:2420:4,6,10 felt 90:20 94: 10 Friday 3 1:8,24 21:2368:21 few 25:10,11 33:13 from 8:7 12:23 16:24 good 4:8,9,18 61:12 filt 1:5 hittory 31: 8 hittory				1 1	
existing 15:23 18:1 fell 89: 19	existed 62:20			92:8,1593:499:11	
21:2368:21 few 25:10,11 33:13 from 8:7 12:23 16:24 gone 15:20 16:1837:8 history 31:1 8 hit 7:18 form 8:7 12:23 16:24 good 4:8,9,18 61:12 hit 7:18	existing 15:23 18:1	_	76:6	99:15,23 104:16,20	himself 95:19 102:15
21:2368:21 few 25:10,11 33:13 from 8:7 12:23 16:24 gone 15:20 16:1837:8 history 31:1 8 hit 7:18 form 8:7 12:23 16:24 good 4:8,9,18 61:12 hit 7:18		felt 90:20 94: 10	Friday 3 1:8,24		hired 11:5
expension 97:6 expect 66:4 107:8 figure 24:4 37: 15 55:3 expect 46:4 107:8 expect 46:4 107:16; 20 108:18 expenditures 78:20 file 25:18 30:24 expenditures 78:20 109:1,9 50:1751:6,8,11,14 52:4,17,1853:8 expensive 34:21,23 experience 93:11 experience 93:11 experience 93:11 experience 93:11 experience 93:11 experience 63:2 finally 37:21 85:20 expensive 36:2 1.0 expiration 66:13 experience 66:13 experience 66:13 experience 66:13 experience 93:13 experience 93:14 expert 11:627:4,4 finance 63:2 88:2092:1,2,4,11 expiration 66:13 expiration 66:14 expiration 66:15 expiration 66:15 expiration	21:2368:21	few 25:10,11 33:13	from 8:7 12:23 16:24	gone 15:20 16:1837:8	history 31:1 8
expect 66:4 107:8 expectation 7:9 9:15 figure 24:4 37: 15 55:3 figures 10:3 figures 10:4 figures 10:4 figures 10:5 figures 10:4 figures 10:5 figures 10:4 figures 10:5 figures 10:4 figures 10:5 figures 10:4 figures	exists 13:6	43:2344:10	23:2,5,21 24:2	good 4:8,9,18 61:12	hit 7: 18
expectation 7:9 9:15 figures 10:3 file 25:18 30:24 30:2332:3,1837:6 graduate 59:21 graduated 59:22 31:11 32:1460:21 graduated 59:22 graduated 59:22 31:11 32:1460:21 graduated 59:22 graduated 59:22 31:11 32:1460:21 graduated 59:22 graduated 59:22 graduated 59:22 graduated 59:22 graduated 59:22 graduated 59:22 graduated 59:21 graduated 59:22 graduated 59:23 graduated 59:22 graduated 59:23 graduated 59:24	expansion 97:6		25:14,1926:20		h nun-urn 37:7
9:16 expectations 6:6 107:16,20 108:18 30:2332:3,1837:6 graduated 59:22 great 59: 18 61:1562:3,582:21 91:493:2398:17,21 91:493:2		figure 24:4 37: 15 55:3	27:1028:2,1729:10	gosh 7:11 14:1638:5	Hodge 2:16 25:20
expectations 6:6 expenditures 78:20 80:9 expenses 6:19 expensive 34:21,23 experience 93:11 expert 11:627:4,4 financial 6:5 27:4,5 expiration 66:13 expiration 66:13 expiration 66:13 expiration 62:10 expiration 20:3 23:22 expires 117:7 118:21 explore 64:18 extensive 101:23 expensils 3: 16 expensition 66:23 expires 10:23 expires 10:23 expires 69:23 expires 10:23 expires 69:23 expires 10:23 expires 69:23 expires 10:23 expires 69:23 e-mails 3: 16 expired 10:19 expiration 6:18 expires 10:23 expires 10:23 expires 10:23 expires 10:23 expires 10:23 expires 4:3 29:16 33:16 expires 10:25 expires 10:23 expires 4:3 29:16 33:16 expires 10:25 expires 10:23 expires 4:3 29:16 33:16 expires 10:25 expires 10:25 expires 10:25 expires 10:25 expires 10:23 expires 10:23 expires 4:3 29:16 33:16 expires 10:25 expires 10:2		* *			
expenditures 78:20	,			1 = 1	
S0:9 filed 46: 15 final 47:4 77:20 100:6 finally 37:21 85:20 finally 37:21 85:20 86:6 80:1483:1885:15 guessing 75:21 100:21 103:7,17 110:23,24 guy 11:5 53:5 guys 38:5, 11 98:8 Hodge's 26: 12 82:4 financial 6:5 27:4,5 guys 38:5, 11 98:8 Hodge's 26: 12 82:4 financial 6:5 27:4,5 guys 38:5, 11 98:8 Hodge's 26: 12 82:4 financial 6:5 27:4,5 guys 38:5, 11 98:8 Hodge's 26: 12 82:4 financial 6:5 27:4,5 guys 38:5, 11 98:8 Hodge's 26: 12 82:4 financial 6:5 27:4,5 guys 38:5, 11 98:8 Hodge's 26: 12 82:4 financial 6:5 27:4,5 guys 38:5, 11 98:8 Hodge's 26: 12 82:4 financial 6:5 27:4,5 financial 6:5 27:4,5 guys 38:5, 11 98:8 Hodge's 26: 12 82:4 financial 6:5 27:4,5 financial 6:5 27:4,5 guys 38:5, 11 98:8 Hodge's 26: 12 82:4 financial 6:5 27:4,5 financial 6:5 27:4,5 financial 6:5 27:4,5 guys 38:5, 11 98:8 Hodge's 26: 12 82:4 financial 6:5 27:4,5 financial 6:5 27:4,5 financial 6:5 27:4,5 financial 6:5 27:4,5 guys 38:5, 11 98:8 Hodge's 26: 12 82:4 financial 6:5 27:4,5 guys 38:5, 11 98:8 Hodge's 26: 12 82:4 financial 6:5 27:4,5 guys 38:5, 11 98:8 Hodge's 26: 12 82:4 financial 6:5 27:4,5 guys 38:5, 11 98:8 Hodge's 26: 12 82:4 financial 6:5 22 financial 6:5 22 financial 6:5 22 financial 6:5 27:4,5 fi					7
expenses 6:19 expensive 34:21,23 experience 93:11 experience 93:11 expert 11:627:4,4 finance 63:2 financial 6:5 27:4,5 expiration 66:13 expire 1 13:9 expires 117:7 118:21 explain 20:3 23:22 expires 117:7 118:21 explain 20:3 23:22 final 5:20 fine 97:3 extracted 69:23 e-mails 3: 16 first 4:3 29:16 33:16 first 4:3 29:16 33:16 first 4:3 29:16 33:16 final 47:4 77:20 100:6 59:1061:1969:23 final 47:4 77:20 100:6 69:1010:15,18 63:4 100:23 final 10:20 102:6,10,11 103:7,17 110:23,24 110:21 103:7,17 110:23,24 112:8 115:2 guys 38:5, 11 98:8 Hodge's 26: 12 82:4 holder 37:0 holes 66:6 honest 65:22 holes 6:6 honest 65:22 holes 117:7 118:21 holes 66:6 honest 65:22 holes 12 82:4 119:100:12 holes 66:6 honest 65:22 holes 13 11:100:12 holes 66:6 honest 65:22 holes 13 holes 14:100:12 holes 66:6 holes 14:100:12 holes 66:6 holes 14:100:1		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
expensive 34:21,23				_	*
experience 93:11 expert 11:627:4,4 finance 63:2 finance 63:2 finance 63:2 finance 63:2 finance 63:2 financial 6:5 27:4,5 guys 38:5, 11 98:8 financial 6:5 26:12 82:4 financial 6:5 27:4,5 guys 38:5, 11 98:8 financial 6:5 26:12 82:4 financial 6:5 27:4,5 guys 38:5, 11 98:8 financial 6:5 26:12 82:4 financial 6:5 27:4,5 guys 38:5, 11 98:8 financial 6:5 26:12 82:4 financial 6:5 27:4,5 guys 38:5, 11 98:8 financial 6:5 26:12 82:4 financial 6:5 27:4,5 guys 38:5, 11 98:8 financial 6:5 26:12 82:4 financial 6:5 27:4,5 guys 38:5, 11 98:8 financial 6:5 26:12 82:4 financial 6:5 27:4,5 guys 38:5, 11 98:8 financial 6:5 26:12 82:4 financial 6:5 27:4,5 guys 38:5, 11 98:8 financial 6:5 26:12 82:4 financial 6:5 27:4,5 guys 38:5, 11 98:8 financial 6:5 26:12 82:4 financial 6:5 27:4,5 guys 38:5, 11 98:8 financial 6:5 26:12 82:4 financial 6:5 27:4,5 guys 38:5, 11 98:8 financial 6:5 26:12 82:4 financial 6:5 27:4,5 guys 38:5, 11 98:8 financial 6:5 26:12 82:4 financial 6:5 27:4,5 guys 38:5, 11 98:8 financial 6:5 26:12 82:4 financial 6:5 27:4,5 guys 38:5, 11 98:8 financial 6:5 26:12 82:4 financial 6:5 27:4,5 guys 38:5, 11 98:8 financial 6:5 26:12 82:4 financial 6:5 27:4,5 guys 38:5, 11 98:8 financial 6:5 26:12 82:4 financial 6:5 27:4,5 guys 38:5, 11 98:8 f					
expert 11:627:4,4 finance 63:2 88:2092:1,2,4,11 guy 11:5 53:5 Hodge's 26: 12 82:4					
53:1,3,9,14 expertice 62: 10 expiration 66:13 expire 1 13:9 expires 117:7 118:21 explain 20:3 23:22 explore 64:18 extensive 101:23 expires 23: 16 expired 10:23 expires 23: 16 expires 27:4,5 financial 6:5 27:4,7 finalcial financial 6:5 27:4,7 holder 37:0 hold	=				
expiration 66:13					
expired 13:9 financing 32:1 34:19 front 99:3 H 3:14 30:22 honest 65:22 expires 117:7 118:21 explain 20:3 23:22 fine 97:3 fine 97:3 fine 97:3 explore 64:18 extensive 101:23 extracted 69:23 e-mails 3: 16 first 4:3 29:16 33:16 front 99:3 H 3:14 30:22 honest 65:22 hone				guys 36.3, 11 96.6	
expires 117:7 118:21 explain 20:3 23:22 find 15:1347:748:19 48:2256:18,23 fine 97:3 full 44:1 62:17 explore 64:18 extensive 101:23 extracted 69:23 e-mails 3: 16 first 4:3 29:16 33:16 find 15:1347:748:19 48:13 50:4 habit 103:16 hand 117:1 habit 103:16 hand 117:1 handing 22:24 25: 12 horse 74:7 horse's 103:2 hurdle 7:5.8, 10,17,19 funds 16:5,7 hands 118:13 fursibled 105:3 handshake 54:16 habit 103:16 habit 103:16 hand 117:1 habit 103:16 hand 117:1 handing 22:24 25: 12 horse 74:7 horse's 103:2 hurdle 7:5.8, 10,17,19 funds 16:5,7 hands 118:13 handshake 54:16 H.E 10:15 12:22 16:3				Н	
expires 117:7 118:21 explain 20:3 23:22 find 15:1347:748:19 48:2256:18,23 fine 97:3 full 44:1 62:17 explore 64:18 extensive 101:23 extracted 69:23 e-mails 3: 16 first 4:3 29:16 33:16 find 15:1347:748:19 48:13 50:4 habit 103:16 hand 117:1 habit 103:16 hand 117:1 handing 22:24 25: 12 horse 74:7 horse's 103:2 hurdle 7:5.8, 10,17,19 funds 16:5,7 hands 118:13 fursibled 105:3 handshake 54:16 habit 103:16 habit 103:16 hand 117:1 habit 103:16 hand 117:1 handing 22:24 25: 12 horse 74:7 horse's 103:2 hurdle 7:5.8, 10,17,19 funds 16:5,7 hands 118:13 handshake 54:16 H.E 10:15 12:22 16:3		financing 32:1 34:19	front 99:3		
explain 20:3 23:22	_ =	_			
84:23 fine 97:3 full 44:1 62:17 handing 22:24 25: 12 horse 74:7 explore 64:18 finish 91:6 fully 73: 8 28:9 horse 74:7 extensive 101:23 firm 27:2247:21 50:4 function 31:17 handle 46: 19.21 hurdle 7:5,8, 10,17,19 extracted 69:23 96:13 funds 16:5,7 hands 118:13 7:22 10:1 e-mails 3: 16 first 4:3 29:16 33:16 furnished 105:3 handshake 54:16 H.E 10:15 12:22 16:3					
explore 64:18 finish 91:6 fully 73: 8 28:9 horse's 103:2 extensive 101:23 extracted 69:23 e-mails 3: 16 first 4:3 29:16 33:16 function 31:17 funds 16:5,7 handle 46: 19.21 hurdle 7:5,8, 10.17,19 7:22 10:1 hands 118:13 handshake 54:16 H.E 10:15 12:22 16:3	II = -	*		handing 22:24 25: 12	_
extensive 101:23 firm 27:2247:21 50:4 function 31:17 handle 46: 19.21 hurdle 7:5.8, 10.17.19 extracted 69:23 e-mails 3: 16 first 4:3 29:16 33:16 furnished 105:3 hands 118:13 handshake 54:16 H.E 10:15 12:22 16:3					
e-mails 3: 16 first 4:3 29:16 33:16 furnished 105:3 handshake 54:16 H.E 10:15 12:22 16:3			function 31:17	handle 46: 19.21	
62:16 1774:1075:3	e-mails 3: 16				H.E 10:15 12:22 16:3
		62:16 1774:1075:3	further 102:7 118:15	hannen 58·9 61·2 2	τ
F 79:17 88:890:11 happened 36:9 I				=1	
F 25:15, 18,24 116:1					·
face 68:2 firsthand 106:2 028:9,10,1661:19 having 25:23 32:13 identification 81:23	1ace 08:2	mrsmand 106:2	028:9,10,1001:19	maving 25:23 32:13	ruenuncation 81:23
		L	I .	I .	

				Pace 5
84:4	issue 39:16 44:14	92:21,2393:1,4,18	8:2,13,15,239:11	layperson's 84:24
identify 94:11	105:19	93:2494:6,7,11	9:13,22 10:1,2,9,14	leading 76: 10
identity 95:4	issues 8:8 38:23	95:4,17,1896:1,6	11:19,21,23 13:6,16	league 4:17
imagine 33:8	i.e 18:19	96:13,14,17,19,20	13:17,24 16:8,12	learn 37: 18
immediate 17:18 impact 6:5 importance 79:24	J J 33:3,4	96·2397·10 19 19 98:1799:20111:4 113:3,6,10	17·11 12 19·10 23:1824:925:20 26:10,1327:2329:1	learning 52:1 1 lease 5:11 29:2035:7 36:2037:1,941:4.9
important 45: 18,20	James 2: 16 25: 19 28:1830:24 January 28: 17 29:2 30:2332:24105:16 JDP 29:17 30:23 77:12 Jen 82:5 Jesuit 4:13, 15 Jim 23:2,20 25:20 26:2,727:329:11 29:1231:7,11,23 32:1457:761:19 62:3,3,5 75:20 82:4	Kentucky 1:20 116:2	32:1,4,634:10	43:549:1656:11
45:21,2269:676:18		116:7 117:9	35:2236:1,1642:19	57:2258:21 66:21
78:2380:13,14		kills 81:8	44:13,1650:553:1	66:21 67:2,1571:6
100:23		kind 11:12 16:19	54:6,1555:11,22	71:1872:475:4
incandescent 44: 15		38:23 50:7,1952:19	57:21 58:2,461:5	leases 55:12,23 57:21
include 30:13 55:21		67:18,2081:10	62:1,2363:16,17,22	58:566:12,24
56:2,4,13,1557:15		114:5	64:1865:2,7,12,24	least 7: 12 34: 10
57:17,2266:20		knew 23:19 31:15	66:8,1069:670:18	leave 71:6
94:19		41:459:261:2	71:22,2372:673:8	left 60:21 68:21 77:12
included 18:429:18		63:11 78:11 88:4,13	75:6,776:577:19	91:4
43:356:2487:11		88:18 89:1092:3,18	78:13 84:785:7,15	left-hand 29: 18
97:7		93:21 96:2,297:1,3	89:492:13,1793:4	legal 16:18 67:6 73:9
income 6:21 10:10		99:11,14,18,22	93:8,10,12,1994:1	73:11 115:4
17:13 19:4,860:11	82:21 85:1794:14	102:8 111:7,19	94:6,795:1,8,17	legally 111:20
60:18,23	98:1799:19 100:9,9	know 10: 18,20,23,24	105:19 106:9,13	legitimate 87:4
increasing 68: 14	100:10,15,18 101:5	11:4,7,18,20,24	107:2,9 115:3 118:3	lengthy 69:22
independent 23:14	101:18 103:13 19	12:1 2 3 4 7 13:9 10	Kroger's 16:1045:20	less 36:1
inflow 60:20	110:22 113:7	13:13 15:5,1617:18	53:10,1565:366:18	let 8: 11 9:222:24
information 95:24	Jim's 113:10	18:1522:2224:13	71:1394:18 L L 1:18 2:18 10:21	46:19,2057:12
107:22	iob 27:14	24:1525:5.627:9		61:11 64:1666:3
inherent 10:7	John 11:4	28:2430:15,18,21		95:16,18 106:21
initial 5: 10, 10	joint 62: 11, 13	38:439:1042:2		108:1,14 112:11
initials 77: 12 initials 77: 12 initiate 82:6 instances 8:22 instead 91:12 intangible 55: 12,24 intended 57:4 90: 14 intention 7:19 intentions 6:4 interest 34:24 36:19 58:6,1067:368:13 68:13 74:1 1585:21	Jonathan 10:20 Judge 104: 16 judgement 44:9 81:13 July 3:17 72:24 73:22 74:1278:8,1279:1 80:3,1482:5,10,12 83:20,23 84:13 85:2286:11,19 87:22 88:5,14 89:24 90:4,16,22 108:20	43:11 44:4,2345:7 48:551:9,1052:24 56:2059:1,3,861:1 61:1262:663:10 65:1467:7,2369:13 69:1470:14,15,17 70:21 71:8,11 72:3 74:5 78:8,24 79:7 79:1686:1287:18 88:10,12,17,1890:4 90:1592:2393:1	27:11 69:2077:11 109:14 116:5 117:7 118:6,17 lack 47:8 land 19:20,21 large 19:14 116:4 last 8:2 14:18 15:8,9,9 27:833:1378:6 79:13 101:15,16 103:5 104:7 111:12	113:9 letter 3: 17 25:22 28:1729:4,630:19 33:17,1952:2254:8 54:2256:19,2357:5 61:18,2264:13,17 77:10,13 80:1284:6 84:1385:1587:4,8 88:1289:2390:7,14 90:1895:21,23 98:15 17 21 22 24
86:11 92:6,9 116:22	jumping 48:24	94:7,2295:13,13,14	later 59: 14 72:9 89:22	99:3,3,11,12,14,22
interested 33:24	June 33:9 54:5 61:18	95:1796:16,22	law 107:6 108:4	100:7,8,19 101:4,6
interfere 39:1	61:2364:1765:3	97:12,17,23 98:7	lawful 4:3	101:23 102:1,6,11
interference 93:14,17	jurisdiction 3:3	99:17 100:5,10,13	Lawrence 98:16	102:19 103:14
invested 8:17 9:2	jury 44: 18	100:21,24101:1	lawsuit 13:17 16:11	104:2,11 105:3
investment 6:6,11,13	just 4:11 5:21 13:22	102:19 104:1,4,5,6	16:1243:1045:19	110:22 111:8 112:6
6:17,20,237:9,24	22:1926:2330:11	105:19 106:21	46:1450:1353:2,10	112:6,7
8:3 10:348:1763:1	31:439:2440:22	107:4 108:1,6,9	53:16	letterhead 85: 15
investments 6:2 8:13	43:1756:13,19,21	109:15 110:21	lawyer 25:3 100:22	98:15
9:7,11,24	56:22,24 59:2,13	111:1,3 112:24	101:18,20,22	letters 103:17 105:17
invoice 87: 14 88: 1,22	72:14,21 75:1480:1	113:2,4	102:24 103:2 104:6	let's 8: 12, 15 10:2
89:2,7 90:20	80:1681:1783:15	knowledge 23:12	106:17 107:5,19	13:15,22 16:11
involved 5:9 10:18	84:1,2086:3,490:6	27:21 32:437:11	108:5,9,10 109:5,7	21:11 26:2435:4
14:23 19:1822:15	102:23 104:22	52:360:2295:15,23	110:6 111:16	57:1271:1091:1
30:431:11,13,15	112:3 113:9	96:4 104:10 106:2	lawyers 43: 14, 15,23	94:17 104:8 112:24
63:788:695:1 96:6 112:21 involvement 1 12:17 112:18 in-house 109:6,8	K K61:17 Kadish 24:8 27:8	106:21 known 28:5 knows 12:14 Kroger 1:54:21 5:7 5:10,206:227:14	44:946:19,21 47:19 47:2048:1 13 21 98:4 102:22 105:5 106:19 lawyer's 79:15 109:10	level 62:8,10,10 liability 35: 18 Libbert 10:20 11:2,4 53:6,7,8,17,18 life 45:20

				Paeee
like 7:24 18:730:8	makes 17:20 32:9	may 2:21,24 3:7 26:4	mind 90:3 114:14	73:23
31:1432:1833:21	56:2263:1277:13	28:1229:764:11	mine 71:15	negotiated 88:19
54:1661:867:14,19	making 6:9 42: 16	maybe 70:16 73:15	minus21:14	negotiates 8 1:7 93: 12
67:2271:1477:2	Maleasel:8"29:19	75:19	minute 80:1 112:11	negotiating 86:9 91:6
80:581:11 84:19	30:14,1637:840:21	McMullen 27:19 78:3	Mischaracterizes	91:19
90:10 109:14	40:2441:2,19,23	mean 6:12 10:17	94:13	negotiation 81:11
line 33:16 110:3		24:1427:3,2433:17	misleading 84:20	100:17
lines 64:1	42:12,13,2043:18 44:2,1446:11,15	34:3,2438:2341:12	misstatement 108:4	neither 116:19
Linn 25:20 27:1 1,13	49:10,1050:855:21	41:1544:1752:18	moment 13:15 57:13	neutral 85:9
27:1829:731:12	56:3,5,1457:15,19	55:1656:657:4	94:18 112:5	never 24: 17 33: 10
listening 22:7 80:23	58:8,9,11,1671:18	59:866:967:13	money 9:1 17:2490:9	53:13 74:1796:11
litigation 107:3,11,18	72:11,1373:3,4,10	68:3,10,19,2373:11	90:2492:9,1793:13	97:18 112:4
LLC 1:162:5	73:12,16,2374:13	74:881:1691:9,23	monitor 6:23 9:1 1,12	new 2:14,14 80:9
loan 68: 14	75:5,777:491:1,11	92:5 96:7 99:23	month 33:13	news 53:23
logistics 27:17 31: 17	91:2292:3,6,9,17	106:11 114:8	months 33:14	next21:ll 66:568:15
long 5:1,6 19:21 35:13	93:999:20100:17	means 6:16 55:14	more 24:9 25: 10	71:11 104:22
look 9:18 28:10,20,22	103:20 111:20	59:2,460:1766:12	35:2236:1,352:22	Ninety-one 34: 12
49:2457:1 68:16	112:17,23 115:4	71:899:23	72:14,1693:13	Nodding 74: 19 77:5
70:7,1671:1083:15	118:4	meant 44:6 59:9	110:16	None 37: 11
83:21 84:2,989:1	Malease's43:10	73:1678:15	morning 4:8,9 101:16	nonetheless 89:21
90:10	44:23 45:7,12,23	meeting 25:2,2	mort 14:9	nonreturn 7:23
looked 25:23,24 35:14	46:5,747:9,13	member 8:21	mortgage 14:10,15,22	normal 16:17 17:11
48.1464.7	man 92· 18.20	memo 23:9 25:18 27:8	15:3 5 6 8 23 16:6 9	notary 1:193:138
looking 29:24 34:22	manage 6:1	30:2231:6,10,22	16:13,23 18:3,4,7,9	116:6 117:8 118:21
82:12	Management 4:24 5:4	32:16,18,2084:14	20:4,9,10,22,24	nothing 37:5 113:14
looks 67:14 71:14	5:5,14,186:1 27:14	memory 23: 14 70: 17	21:2324:1 47:4	116:10
82:284:19	29:8	75:22	68:5,1269:1 85:4	notice 43:4
loopholes 62:20,23	manner 66:4	mentioned 6:11 10:1	mortgages 14:7 19:24	notices 42:16
63:4,13	manufactured 87:6	merely 93: 18	20:19,2021:6,24	notified 118:8
lose 72: 16	many 30:9,9,10 32:19	merit 44:3 47:9	22:5 34:23	notify 90:8
lot 19:19 100:20	62:18 112:20	merits 47:8	most 8:5 29:9 36:23	number 8:9 13:22
low 114:11,15	man's 62:6	Merrill 33:23 37: 19	82:10 101:13	15:1626:328:4
lower 6: 19 29: 18 63:5	March 1:18 118:8	38:3,12,14,1839:15	motion 44:9	55:6 114:11,12
110:7.16	Marcos 10:19 12:22	39:1741:2142:4.6	motivated 34:14	numbers 7:18 24:7
lowers 114:12	13:3,7 14:1015:2	47:18,2448:10,21	mouth 58:18 103:3	35:13 113:15
lowest 94: 16	15:11,1418:19	49:359:11 64:18	move 81:13 104:11	nutshell 27:3
Lurie 77·11 Lynch 33:24 37:19	22:1623:1 15 19 34:5,1636:1496:18	69·11 70·1971·14 86:9	much 13·23 18·5 80·2 85:8 110:16	0
38:3,12,14,1839:15	96:2497:11,20	Messrs 50: 13	municipal 10:11	02:1861:19
	· ·		•	
39:1741:2242:4,6	margin 7:22	method 81:17 91:21	must 66:8	object 22:19 63:13
47:18,2448:10,21 49:359:11 64:19	margins 6:18 mark 8 1:21	93:21 94:15,17,18 103·19	myself 62:3 105:18	Objection 12:8 17:2
69:11 70:19 86:9	marked 3: 15 81:22	Michael 61:1 8 77:11	N	48:2 51:5 57:18.23
Lynch's71:14	84:3,9 105:17	middle 82: 19	N1:11 2:18,21 3:10	64:967:569:12
	market 36:21 40:17	mioht 11·11 12·15	3.124.227.11 11	94.12.20.111.5.22
M	66:11 97:7	17:1924:325:4,10	83:15 115:17 116:9	obligated 16:8 85:2
M89:2 109:13	markets 8:10	25:1433:2334:20	116:12 118:7,11	obligation 106:14
made 15:10 16:16	Marshall 27:22 28: 18	39:3,1541:1942:3	name 10:22 43:1 62:7	obligations 73:13
36:14,1647:462:17	29:1 30:9,2453:22	42:1973:2093:6	109:10,15	85:1,4,4108:10
73:2277:1778:5	54:5,17,22 65:3	Mike 38:9 78:2,3	names 38:7	obstruction 109:24
79:23	69:2470:1082:6	mill 84: 19	native 103:1	obtaining 115:8
Magistrate 106:5	83:2,892:5,8,16	million 8:16 13:14,23	naught 118:15	obviously 71:1
108:7,14 110:5,19	93:998:1599:2	14:2,19,21 15:9,22	necessarily 60:9	occupancy 63:6 66:21
mainly 40:3	master 29:20 37:9	16:24 17:12,22,23	necessary 62:10 66:3	occur 42:4 67:1,3
make6:16,19 7:10,23	41:4,943:549:16	18:2,14,19 19:6,17	90:21	occurred 22: 18 24: 17
8:169:6 16:8.17	56:1057:2258:20	19:17,1920:5,9,18	need 13:2,3 94:4	31:1483:1989:24
24:1539:11 57:9	66:2067:271:6,17	20:21 21:7,12,13,14	104:18	90:16,22 104:9
80:285:12,2390:10 90:14,1797:18	75:4 Master's 59:22,24	21:1848:1755:4 77:1585:12,13	needed 11:1257:9 needs 78:7	October 23:2 24: 11 25:19,2227:21
113:12	matter 6:22 61:5	97:22	negotiate 64: 15 73:20	off 13:23 14:6 15:24
115.12	matter 0.22 01:3	71.22	negotiate 04: 15 /5:20	011 13.23 14.0 13.24
		1		
		EDODTING INC 212		

				Paae
16:1,4,622:1228:6	one-third 15:18	97:13 106:20	percent 7: 10, 15 9:14	44:1549:961:12,13
28:7 64:23,24	ongoing 9:15 37:20	owner 97:6	9:20 114:17,19	67:15 71:6,1775:3
Offendorpher38:9	only 20:22 44:1 58:5	owning 37:24 -	percentage 7:2 15:16	78:13 80:14 113:8
offer 37:2,4 68:16	97:1,2 100:24	O'Brien 50:3,6 75:20	perfectly 103:22	114:3
77.13 1778.7 18	104·10 105·4 110·3	0 B11011 0 010,0 70120	nerformed 97.6	noints 35:17 43:8
81:5	114:6	P	r	policy 6:23 9:4 54: 17
offering 72:4 93:4	operate 66:4	P2:18 98:11, 12,14,19	period 12:1839:18,21	portion 15:21 16:22
office 7:24 33:9 117:2	operating 6:8 12:22	98:20101:9109:13	40:1	69:21
Officer 77:24	opinion 50:7	112:7 113:3,3,3	permits 55: 12,23	position 5:2 26:12
offices 1:15	opinions 53:14	page 29:14,15, 16	person 11:2237:19	27:1833:2437:23
official 28:2 117:2	opposed 3 5:23 93:9	54:21 55:1062:16	77:1995:14	40:8,942:1943:16
often 7:4 94:23,24	114:18	62:1666:568:15,16	personal 96:1	44:1345:2346:6,16
oh 23:4 87:11 90:21	opposite 80:17	71:11,17 101:9	personally 47:8	47:1,9,1450:14
Ohio 1:2,17 2:8 117:2	option 36:22 40: 17,20	pages 28:19 53:22	pertains 105:23	71:773:1674:10,13
118:1,21	47:249:1556:10	69:23	phantom 60: 11, 23	85:391:1992:17
okay 4:20,22 5:9,24	58:10,11,2066:6	paid 14:6,20 15:24	Phillips 2:3 11:24	93:5,999:21 108:13
8:15,229:20,24	67:1675:897:8	16:1,3 17:13 18:6,8	12:8,12,16 17:2,5	115:4
12:7 13:22 14:9	options 23:22 24:1	19:1622:4,954:22	19:1 22:6,1928:6	possibility 39:23
15:2016:1017:8	36:11 56:16	86:15,20	39:543:1945:1	possible 69:2 88:3
18:1720:321:9	order 82:13 89:14	Pamela 11 8:20	46:1 48:2,23 50:9	posturing 105:24
22:11,2423:1324:6	115:11	papers 43:24	51:5,8,10,17,19,22	potential 11:13
24:17,2425:926:6	original 23:20 35:20	paragraph 55:4,10	52:8,1353:1757:18	potentially 72:3
26:10,1227:7,11	63:7 68:4,5,12 96:7	56:1257:1459:5	57:2361:1164:9,20	precise 45: 15
28:1629:1330:13	118:13	62:1864:1 67:23	67:569:1275:12	premium 72:13 114:5
30:2231:4,5,6,24	originally 34:21	78:682:884:18	76:1477:779:3,7	114:6
32:2033:3,1536:13	other 14:1 23:1334:7	Pardon 5 1:7	80:11,16,2282:14	prepared 70: 18, 19
39:1441:7,1542:2	34:1835:2436:14	Parmelel09:11,13	82:16.2084:894:12	prepay 56:10
42:11,2246:18	36:1750:21 53:9,11	part 10:14 16:922:2	94:2098:1 101:6,8	presence 3:2,7 118:18
47:11,2048:549:6	53:17,1855:12,24	45:2064:13 100:23	102:21 104:8,14,18	present 2:15 43:6
49:21 50:651:16	57:2058:1261:4	102:3,3,6 105:3	104:21 105:7,9,12	114:1,2,9 118:12
52:654:455:3,8,16	71:1272:1080:23	106:9 107:17	105:18,21 106:18	presently 13:6
56:1859:560:2,10	81:1891:2396:2,5	participate 106:8	107:21 108:15,2.1	President 4:23 5:3,14
60:1361:10,20	96:7,9 102:5 103:8	particular 8:4,9 15:6	109:17,20,22 110:1	26:14
62:1563:10,12,19	112:23 113:16	15:732:1745:14	110:8,12,15 111:5	pressed 105:4
65:1666:567:1	ourselves 24:5 75:19	60:761:372:1,2	111:22 115:10	previous 27:14,15
68:1869:14,2070:4	out 3:2,7 7:1 9:17	93:7 112:6	118:9	60:18
71:4,10,1674:3	15:1324:1,432:21	parties 2:20 108:9	phone 30:11 33:20	previously 28: 19 48:7
75:276:1 77:6.10	32:2233:2234:2	116:20	92:12	115:9
78:5,1879:2281:20	37:15,2238:24	partners 59:6 60:23	piece 91:6	price 13:10,12 23:3
82:12,2483:4,8,18	39:2340:741:13	party 38:24 43:1 75:5	pieces 9:8	23:2024:1025:19
83:19,2484:685:1	42:1647:748:6,22	76:8	place 16:1268:21	27:9,2228:1729:1
85:6,14,2387:10,13	49:1656:5,1458:11	passed 21:16 36:3	69:1 71:7,1873:1	29:1730:8,24,24
89:3,1391:893:3	58:20 59:2 62:2	past 7: 14 9: 18 43:22	75:476:791:14,15	32:20,2333:17
95:796:498:5,11	64:7,1465:2472:4	44:1070:1,3	116:12	48:21 50:13,1952:3
98:1499:1,10,22 101:16,19 106:23	75:676:881:985:3	path 40: 18 42:8 76:7	placed 61: 17	52:7,12,1753:21
	88:891:2,7,12	Paul 109:1 1,13	Plaintiff 1:62:2 118:3 plan 91:13,15	54:4,17,2257:8
108:17 113:22 115:6	99:11,15,20,23	pay 9:7 10:9 15:22 16:617:918:564:4	planning 91:5,9	59:1061:1964:12 64:1665:367:15
old 29: 10	103:20110:3 113:8 113:11	68:2485:293:13	plans91:10	68:1669:4,16,24
once 66:2 69: 18 80:5	outlay 71:18	payable 60: 19	play 26:24	70:1071:1,3,4,24
88:19 103:2 112:4	outlines 46: 16	paying 43:5 68:13	plays 26:24	72:9,11 77:1378:15
one 9:5 12:3 18:13,18	outrage 104:15	84:19	please 67: 11 84:2,21	82:683:2,885:17
25:8 26:4 29:9,23	over 9:18 10:3 19:19	payment 14:18 15:8,9	109:12	86:1,987:388:19
30:2,8,1031:24	22:431:8,2332:22	15:10 16:8,17,23	plus 17:24 43:7 77:14	89:1090:1591:7,18
38:9,10,1040:24	35:1938:6,11,19	24:1645:482:6	114:21	91:21 92:5,8,16,20
54:11 62:1 70:11,12	39:18,2040:1 77:12	83:1,590:8	PM 24:7	93:8,15,18,2494:16
74:1780:2282:10	88:19 103:3	payments 35:9 42:16	PM01 175 30:1	95:7,17,1896:1,5
82:11,18,2084:9,11	overview 71:5	47:4	PNC 1:162:6	96:11.1397:998:8
89:1 95:1696:4	own 34:6,18 41:10	people 38: 14 41: 18,20	pockets 95:2	98:15,1699:2,19
97:998:7 101:7	49:21 66:23 78:24	65:2393:22 111:18	point 18:17 27:8 32:3	100:15 101:24
	•	•	•	•

	1			Pace 8
103:13,16,19 104:1	68:670:2271:1	114:13,15	62:1598:23 107:24	42:12,1349:460:24
105:2,16 110:22	96:18,2497:5	rather 68: 12 69:22	refers 27:9	96:17 104:10
111:8 113:1,2,6,8	proposal 29:14,22	re 25:19	reflected 3 1:10	respectful 110:16
113:11,12 115:3	proposals 29: 19 30:14	reach 40: 12 48:20	reflection 66:17	respective 2:20
prices 67:10	30:16	49:22	refresh 70: 17	respects 1 16:14
Price's 62:24	proposed 30:5 68:16	read 23:13 26:1 31:1	refund 17:19	responsibility 107:10
primary 66:13	provide 59:6	31:433:8,10,12,13	regard 45:19 46:12	107:12
principal 68:3	provided 63:21 65:11	37:1344:946:7	71:21	responsible 1 1 :23
prior 15:8 17:22,23	public 1:19 116:6	49:14,1750:24	regardless 17:10	107:2
23:7,2424:21 34:11	118:21	52:1653:1356:13	regular 8:6 16:8	result 13:24 116:22
36:1664:672:4	public-court 3: 1,4,8	65:21 67:1075:15	regularly 10:9	retailer 13:1
73:5,17,2276:10 87:1496:12 112:5	PUBLIC-STATE 117:8	79:17,1984:2086:3 86:4,21,23 87:1	related 107:16,22 relates 77:4	retained 64:20,22,23 65:2
privilege 104:11	publish 8:8	reading 38:18 42:7	relating 10:1544:14	return 6:6,1 1,13, 18
106:22 108:2,4.4	punched 66:6	49:22 65:24	55:13,2497:20	6:21,237:158:3
privileged 51:19	purchase 29:20 36:17	ready 118:8	108:19 109:1	9:11,13
108:12	36:21 39:4,840:17	real 26: 14 27:3,5	relationship 28:24	returned 64: 18,21
probablies 100:20	47:249:1556:16	29:1062:9	95:24	review 6:3 8:14 11:15
probably 7:11 9:14	58:11,2059:1066:6	reality 9: 16	relative 116:19,21	11:1724:21,2425:6
15:19 16:16,2029:3	66:8,1074:1 75:8	really 7:20 43:12 69:8	relied 47: 11 48:22	62:2,6,1077:23
29:11 42:546:17	97:8	72:21 73:6 80:2	94:14	115:12 118:8
54:1061:2466:12	pure 18:23 19:3	81:16 103:11 115:5	relieve 41:19 42:9	reviewed 28:13 73:12
75:1978:489:9	purpose 70:23 87:7 purposes 16:2441:6	reason 34: 13 90:2,23	relieved 41: 14,14,24	78:4
91:1892:11 98:6 99:3,6,7,12 100:1,2	purposes 16:2441:6 pursuant 1:13, 14 2:22	111:3,17,18 reasonable 113:8,11	rely 47: 17 48:20 49:21	reviewing 11:23 76:4 78:1980:8 111:14
100:14,18 101:1,21	2:23 116:14118:10	113:17,18,20,23	remaining 75:5	ridiculous 110:2
111:10	put 11:12 16:11 30:11	113.17,16,20,23	remember 23:5 26:2	right 4:18,19 5:17,19
problem 39: 16 111:20	41:5 53:1557:12	recall 24:9 25:23 3 1:9	29:1330:2,4,7	18:20,2222:1,4,5
problems 39:13	58:1767:2369:10	32:23 33:2 49:20	32:13,1435:1342:5	26:830:1833:8,11
Procedure 1:142:23	112:24	54:1461:2264:12	43:252:11 69:15	34:11,17,1935:2
106:15 118:11	P.C2:12	70:2372:1874:4	70:472:776:1	36:5,1041:2342:1
proceed 48: 16 54: 15		75:1076:11 112:20	87:15 88:2.3.21	43:1844:2445:14
94:2 111:19	0	recapture 7 1:7	100:24 107:19	45:2446:12,20,24
proceeding 11:3 32:2	qualification 79:16	receive 72:13 83:9	111:11 113:22	47:12,1548:1,8
50:20	qualified 116:6	received 13:24 17:12	reminded 11 1:1 1,13	50:22 53:4 56:4,9
proceeds 16:24 17:14	qualify 101:2	83:11	removed 66:24	56:13 58:4,6,23
procurement 6:4 produce 105:5 106:15	question 12:11,12,14 17:2428:2329:3	receiving 60:23 recent 82:10	renewal 66: 13 rent 35:15,16 43:6,6	59:1964:866:8,10 66:1871:3,472:24
107:16108:11,18	37:2239:7,844:8	recently 8:5 24:23	63:364:571:8	73:21 76:5 79:20
108:24 109:16	51:11 73:1576:15	28:15 101:14	72:1685:1,4114:1	81:6,1982:7,18,22
producing 107:3	79:6,886:21,23	recess 22:13 61:14	114:2	83:9,1284:14,20
production 105:19	98:1 101:3 102:9,12	112:13	repaying 34:23	85:18,1986:14,18
106:9,12	104:22 105:12,22	recitation 46:11	report 11:1.8.9.13.15	87:7,12,20,23 88:15
professional 66:4	106:1,20,24 107:24	recognize 29:22 84:16	11:17,2326:18	88:1789:4,6,14,16
profit 18:23 19:3	108:15,22	recollection 23: 17	27:1650:1453:1,3	89:20,21 90:1 91:17
prohibition 37:10 prominently 102:20	questions 12:2028:22	35:1576:23 112:22	53:22 113:5	92:7,14,21 94:23
prominently 102:20	31:18,21 32:11 84:21 105:10,22	reconsider 108:13 record 22:12 28:6,7	reported 27: 18 reporter 3:1,4,8 87:1	95:5,20 96:9 103:3 105:1 106:5 107:13
proper 103:23	110:3	28:1649:1 64:23,24	118:6	112:19 114:24
properties 14:22,23	quiet 109:19	74:23 77:887:1	reports 53:9	115:6
18.8 19.1720.14		98.3 105.1	reprehensible 110·14	rights 40.21 42.13
21:6,1029:21 37:24	<u>R</u>	records 83:22	represent 105:2	50:755:18,21 56:2
39:255:11,2356:1	R 10:21 68:777:11	reduce 34:22	representative 63:17	57:15,19 58:5,15,16
57:11,21 60:2463:3	109:13 116:1	refer 26:2	require 44:18 58:15	73:13
66:10,2367:19,21	raised 110:9,10	referable 15:14 19:5	77:21,22	ring 45: 17
68:2076:579:1	raises 114:11	reference 22:20 26:6 62:1763:1287:10	required 77:23 107:3 107:16	risk 38:21, 22,23,24 48:17
85:2286:6,11 96:6 96:14	range 9:14 113:14 rate 7:6,8,10,17,19,22	referenced 33:16	reserve 115:10	Robert 2:10 118:13
property 13:740:20	10:234:2443:7	referencing 105:18	resolved 44:16,17	Rodney 27: 19 78:2,3
41:1055:1365:9	63:3 114:7,10,11,12	referring 20: 11 26:1	respect 13:729:1	role 5:15,16 26:23,24
	, ~,,-2	3		,
•	•	•	•	•

Paee9

room 7: 19,22 26:8				
100111 7. 17,22 20.0	86:1089:798:20	simultaneously 16:13	Specify 12:16	subscribed 118:18
60:21 61:1591:4	99:2,4,20 106:5	45:11,1349:1867:2	speeches 104:21	subsequent 1 12:15
93:23	107:20	76:1277:2	105:10,23	115:1
_ ,	seeing 61:22 70:4	since 5:4,8 9:19 24:3	spell 109: 12, 15	substance 43:24
round 13:23	100:12	29:1730:933:7,10	spelling 68:7	44:13 96:12
	seems 80:8	33:12,21 34:1035:4	split 9:6,7 14:24	substitute 76: 12
	seen 25:13 31:2 33:3	61:9	spoke 47:24	Sue 11 8:20
106:14 118:11	54:11 62:770:1	sir 10:12 88:4 105:2	spot 66: 12	suggested 103:13
ruling 106:5 rulings 115:8	98:11 select 94: 15	105:15 108:17 110:21 112:15	SSI 16:3 starting 35:4 63:11	sum 14:20 summarily 44:3,16,17
U	self-evident 53:13	sit 24: 13 30: 15 44:22	starting 55.4 65.11	summarry 29:19 30:14
	sell 16·3 18·13 18	96.22 110.21	state 1.19 10.10 116.7	44.962.1664.13
S	21:1041:1759:14	sitting 23:23 103:3	116:4,7 118:21	Summer 5:18
82:18,183:14	96:2397:11	situation 19:9 35:12	statement 50:14	superiors 77:23
	sellers 94:24	36:249:1059:7	52:17,19,21 63:22	supervision 107:10
	selling 33:24 70: 19	situations 59:13	63:2365:1266:14	116:17
	send 65:23 87:14	six 85:20	66:1568:1972:1	support 60:20
same4:17 5:167:11	115:11	sixth 76: 15	75:13,1478:579:23	supposed 13:20
	sending 29:4 90:23 senior 26:15,17	sold 16:14 17:10	statements 50:18 85:24 86:2,3	sure 10:17,23 13:8 15:1922:2023:8
	sent 62:2 64:7,14	21:12,13,1798:8 sole 52:2	states 1:1 29:1830:13	26:527:19,2428:21
13:7 14:10 15:2,11	83:1687:8,1988:1	solely 41:6	31:2362:1863:20	30:21 33:534:12
15:14 18:1922:16	90:1999:24110:23	some6:207:19 11:12	64:1771:6,17 118:1	37:4,12,1739:11
23:1,15,1934:5,16	111:4 113:1,3	16:1825:333:9	stationery 84:7	44:5,1948:1449:24
/	sentence 65:22 78:6	35:1937:1039:9,11	status 73:9, 12	57:3,961:1363:18
97:11,20	78:1679:1481:4	48:653:2267:18,20	stays 71:18 75:4	65:15 70:13,23
	sentences 86:4	72:976:12,1279:24	stenotypy3:1 116:15	73:11 75:1 79:24
27:13,1829:731:7 31:12.23 32:7.7.14	September 98: 16, 18 99:4.15 100:8 101:8	84:21 85:798:6 105:24 111:14	steps 32:22 still 37:24 90:20 9 1:2	81:1582:8,2294:3 97:1899:8.13
sandwich 71:6	101:21 102:6103:8	somebody 39:3	91:5,8	100:14 102:9
satisfied 14:4,12 15:3	110:23 111:7 112:6	someone 11:627:19	stimulate 81:1 7	103:24 104:4
16:1349:973:8,13	112:7,16 115:1	27:2047:11 51:20	stipulate 80: 11	105:11,13 109:13
saw 70:10 97:18 98:19	series 24:7 105:17	57:13 66:6 109:4	stipulated 2:19	111:3 113:4,12
	served 44:10	something 8: 18 18:7	stipulations 1:15	surplus 24:5 34:5,15
	services 27:23 28:1	28:1334:939:23	stock 9:6, 10, 13,22	surprise 54:13
sayeth 118:15	86:1689:11,15	48:657:1 58:3	10:6,7	sworn 4: 1,4 116:9
saying 19:7 31:7 52:2 56:1258:1765:10	set 1:15 16:243:23 81:8 117:1	67:19,2269:470:14 73:2080:686:12	stop 104:21 105:7,9 105:10 106:18	118:7,18
	settle 45:21	87:18 88:17 109:14	107:21 109:17.20	$\dot{ ext{T}}$
	settling 40: 18	sometimes 7:24 30: 11	109:22	12:18,183:14,16
says 24:7 31:7 32:21	shareholders 6:10	somewhere 9:14	stopped 23: 18	10:15,16,21 68:7
1 -	shirttai!41:5 43:6	15:15	stopping 37:5	81:2282:1 116:1,1
	shock 103: 12	soon 69:2 104:17	stream 114:2.2	table 70: 16
	show 8:9 22:24 24:20	sooner 61:7 69:19	Street 1:17 2:7	take 19:19,23 28:10
Schlotman 78:3 school 4: 13, 16	83:2089:1 showed 104:6 111:15	72:1580:6,2099:21 sorry 14:21 20:6	structure 17:17 32:8 34:462:14,1963:20	53:2358:11,2059:2 61:1262:1970:16
Scott 2:3 23:2,5,19	111:16	74:2498:22 101:17	63:21 65:11 100:15	83:15,1884:289:1
	side 29:18 73:8 81:18	112:16 113:1	struggling 32:7	103:20 112:11
	sign 54:8 103:17	sort 75:21	studied 59: 16	113:1
	signature 3:6,7	sounds 83:22	stuff 16:1946:20	taken 1:122:21,24
search 108:6	100:12 115:11	source 16:5,7 52:2	subject 39:24 40:2	21:21 68:20 116:13
seated 26.7	116:19 118:8,12	SOUTHERN 1:2	48:968:20 101:23	116:15
· ·	signed 30: 19 100:11	118:1 Spangler 118:20	115:8	taking 2 1:6 44: 13 62:23
	significant 73:4 similar 70:14 76:12	Spangler 118:20 speak 32: 17 65:6	sublease 23:24 subleased 12:23 13:4	62:23 talk 8:7 104:8
	simplifying 59:7	speak 32: 17 65:6 specialty 60:6	submitted 3:5 11:2	talked 23:21 25:3
	simply 63:2 85:3	specific 42:6 52:23	43:2350:13,1953:1	29:11 30:832:19
25:1228:10,11 29:4	92:2094:1495:16	specifically 69:5	53:10,22 88:22	33:2038:6,11 57:7
	simultaneous 58:24	77:13	116:18	72:3,21 92:11 103:2
30:1349:1871:19 77:1578:2081:4	59:1,3	specifics 43:20	subordinate 26: 15	113:15

Page 10

				Page 10		
talking 9:21 20:723:5	things 9:5 39:13 65:24	73:21 74:12,12,14	transcription 116:16	UNITED 1:1 118:1		
26:235:1 36:7	73:877:1 93:12,13	76:10,15,20,2077:2	transpired 113:6	until 36:20 37:13		
38:1739:2240:10	94:23 98:6	81:795:2296:12	tried 42: 7 45:4	91:12		
41:2247:20,2449:4	think 5:19 9:19 10:24	97:10 103:6113:9	true 88:4 94:11 95:4	unusual 81:10		
53:6 78:9 80:8 82:9	11:11 13:13 17:7,18	114:3 115:7 116:12	truth 94:9 116:10,10	unwind 24:5 34:4		
114:22	17:19 18:6 19:14	timely 78:19	116:11	35:22 100:17		
talks 32:20 75:4	32:6,733:2240:2	times 26:4 30:9 32:19	try 7:18 39:3 81:8	unwinding 30: 10		
tax 7:10,16 9:15 17:13	41:2346:9,2049:14	67:4	trying 7:20 15:1324:4	35:1636:7		
17:15 19:5.835:3.6 35:10,12,15,1836:2	50:11 53:1254:12 55:15,1756:2058:1	title 16: 19 40:7, 19 41:14,17,19,24	35:1247:748:19,22 55:2058:1781:17	unwound 47:3 76:7 use 7:8 9:12 13:22		
38:2339:941:5	58:1259:965:21	48:1857:11 73:14	85:5,2090:17	55:1356:1 63:13		
59:7,1360:1861:3	66:3,7,967:9,16,17	76:6	105:24	84:11 93:14 113:16		
62:20,23 63:4,21	73:1276:17,1879:5	today 5:22 24: 13	turn 58: 15	used 9:5 15:2245:11		
65:11,1571:7	79:1980:19,22	28:11,1430:1531:2	Twelve 114:20,21	114:7		
taxation 59:16,16	83:1487:1688:7,8	44:2267:870:1	Twenty 18:10 20:8	uses 55:3		
taxes 10:10	88:2391:2495:1,11	84:1996:22 101:5	twenty-one 18:10	using 23:18 63:3		
tell 5:22 11:9 12:1,15	95:18 100:3,4 102:18 103:18,22	103:6 110:22	two 5:4,4 17:22,23	76:11		
22:825:1433:15 44:1251:14,16	102:18 103:18,22	Todd 1:16 2:5 together 4: 17 11:12	19:1925:5,8,11 29:1930:1434:7,18	usually 9:7 62: 13 66:2 81:8		
56.24 59.1269.20	111.15 117.3,7,14	told 11·11 33·20 21	36·17 <i>4</i> 2·2 <i>4</i> .7,16	01.0		
70:13 80:1890:21	thinking 46:24	33:2348:1453:14	86:3 114:22,22	V		
93:18,2494:595:8	third 2:13 71:16	53:21 80:1 91:24	two-party 49: 15, 17	vague 12:8, 13, 16		
97:2,998:399:17	thirty-one 118:10	96:11 102:17	49:2256:772:5,15	vaguely 10:22		
100:22 102:14	though 57:6 67: 17	103:18 107:15	type 39:15 105:24	value 6:9 9:21 10:7		
104:5 116:10	70:1372:678:4	Tom 50:3 75:20	typical 10:1	36:2140:1743:6		
telling 38:13 43:24	80:890:19	tone 109:21 110:4,13	typically 7:149:1	66:11 68:597:7		
81:1287:3,13,18,21 88·1689·2390·11	thought 6: 14 22:2	110:15 tool 70: 19	10:254:1565:23	114:1,2,9 vantage 32:3		
94:5	51:2364:2268:24	top 66:5 82:11	U	various 26:21 27:1		
ten 38:19 53:22	73:2075:2383:6	total 63:5 103:12	U2:183:17 10:15	versus 24:8 59:14		
tender 45:5	88:1693:2097:4	touched 8 1:5	77:11 84:2,3,6	very 19:1435:8		
tendered 118:13	113:19.23.24.24	toward 24:6 63:20.24	uh-huh 7:3 9:23 18:12	114:11.11		
term 9:12 60:10,14	thoughts 112:12	town 4: 12	20:1 26:935:2	Vice 4:23 5:3,14		
63:1466:13,14 94:23	three 9:5,8 14:22,23 14:2415:12,13	training 4: 18 transaction 5:10	40:11 54:2455:5 60:1565:967:12	26:14 view 78: 13 80: 14		
terminate 67: 15	17:22 18:8 19:17,23	10:15 12:5,17,17,18	72:1283:1784:17	vis-a-vis 58:16		
termination 22: 16,20	20:1321:6,7,10	13:18 14:1,23 15:20	84:2285:16	voice 110:7,8,1 1,15		
22:2223:2,1524:16	28:19,2029:20	16:9 19:5,11 22:17	ultimate 107:9,12	VS1:7 118:4		
37.1 67.10 17	55.11.23.57.21.64.1	20.521.15.1022.8	ultimately 37.22 55.6	***		
terms 7:2 21:9 68:17	82:14,1685:21	30:531:15,1932:8	um-hmm36:1248:11	W		
84:24 113:18 terrible 78: 14	86:11 89:21 114:17 through 15:21 16:18	32:1533:2234:3,7 34:2035:8,17,20	53:2459:2066:19 68:2270:871:20	W2:10 118:13 wait 36:4,20 73:18, 19		
testified 80·16	37:2058:11 72:24	37:1238:1539:20	75:977:1691:3	98:1		
testifies 118:7	89:19	41:842:1747:4	102:2	waiting 91: 12		
testify 106:18	throughout 28:4	54:1655:1858:24	under 63:19 66:6	waived 3:4		
testimony 19:2 24:22	32:18 110:17	61:663:865:8	67:1068:2,1671:5	Waldvogel 1: 1 1 2:21		
25:1 28:1490:2	time 8:2.7.7 10:3	68:11 73:575:5	71:1675:2 106:13	3:124:2.822:15		
94:13 101:23 103:4	12:18 14:18 16:14 18:1620:2021:16	76:882:488:13	106:14 116:16	25:21 57:14115:17 116:9,13 118:7,11		
112:3 Texas 13:2	21:21 23:5,5,21,21	89:1991:693:21 95:10,1296:8	undersigned 116:5 understand 22:21	walk 57: 13 80:4		
their 6:3,4,5,6 19:18	24:2,225:7,14,14	100:16,18 107:17	43:15,1744:1,6,7	walking 32:2		
29:2033:2437:22	26:20,20,22 28:2,3	108:19 109:1	45:346:5,23 55:14	want 25:5,6 28:22		
40:7,950:21 53:11	29:11,1230:631:16	transactions 26:21	57:1658:2259:4	31:1,742:248:24		
55:13 56:1 59:7	31:2032:5,10,18,18	27:228:3,429:10	113:13	63:2,473:1 84:8		
97:7 105:5 116:21	33:9,23 34:21 35:14	35:23 36:8,23,23	understanding 55:22	92:1 97:17 100:22		
themselves 57:2	35:17.1936:3.11	112:23	56:260:13.1666:22 68:11	100:24 113:7.11.12		
thereabouts 7:15 18:11 33:1 89:12	38:648:249:955:1 55:2258:4,2260:7	transcribed 3:2,5 116:16,17	understands 32:1	wanted 3 1:23 34:6,6 34:1838:21 57:8,8		
thing 46:20,24 56:8	64:665:1967:16	transcript 50:24	understood 41:3	74:985:1299:19		
81:1397:1,2 113:9	70:1072:1,273:4	118:8,9,13	unencumbered 66:1 1	wanting 86:5		
·						
TCC DEDODTING INC. 212 702 0500						